

On certain subclasses of multivalent analytic functions with higher order derivatives

H. E. Darwish, A.Y. Lashin, R.M. El-Ashwah and E. M. Madar

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University
Mansoura 35516, Egypt
e-mail: Darwish333@yahoo.com
e-mail: aylashin@mans.edu.eg

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Damietta University
New Damietta 34517, Egypt
e-mail: r_elashwah@yahoo.com
e-mail: EntesarMadar@Gmail.com

Received 15 December 2019; Accepted 20 February 2020
(Communicated by Iqbal Jebril)

Abstract

The main object of the present paper is to derive some properties of certain general classes $\mathcal{M}_{p,q}(\alpha, A, B)$ and $\mathcal{N}_{p,q}(\alpha, A, B)$ of multivalent analytic functions with higher order derivatives in the open unit disk.

Key Words: Analytic functions; p-Valently starlike functions; p-Valently convex functions; Differential subordination.

1 Introduction

Let \mathcal{A}_p be the class of functions of the form:

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (p \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}) \quad (1)$$

which are analytic and p -valent in the open unit disk

$$\Delta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}.$$

If $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ are analytic in Δ , we say that $f(z)$ is subordinate to $g(z)$, written symbolically as follows:

$$f \prec g \text{ in } \Delta \text{ or } f(z) \prec g(z) \quad (z \in \Delta),$$

if there exists a Schwarz function $w(z)$, which (by definition) is analytic in Δ with

$$w(0) = 0 \text{ and } |w(z)| < 1 \quad (z \in \Delta)$$

such that

$$f(z) = g(w(z)) \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

Furthermore, If the function $g(z)$ is univalent in Δ , then we have the following equivalence (cf., e.g., [8]; see also [[10], p.4]):

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \quad (z \in \Delta) \Leftrightarrow f(0) = g(0) \text{ and } f(\Delta) \subset g(\Delta).$$

Definition 1 For fixed parameters $A, B(-1 \leq B < A \leq 1)$ and $\alpha > 0$, a function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{M}_{p,q}(\alpha, A, B)$, if and only if

$$(1 - \alpha) \frac{f^{(q)}(z)}{\delta(p, q) z^{p-q}} + \alpha \frac{f^{(q+1)}(z)}{\delta(p, q+1) z^{p-q-1}} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}, \quad (2)$$

$$(p \in \mathbb{N}, q \in \mathbb{N}_0; = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}; p > q),$$

where

$$f^{(q)}(z) = \delta(p, q) z^{p-q} + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \delta(k, q) a_k z^{k-q}, \quad (3)$$

and

$$\delta(i, j) = \frac{i!}{(i-j)!} = \begin{cases} 1 & (j = 0) \\ i(i-1) \dots (i-j+1) & (j \neq 0). \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Definition 2 For fixed parameters $A, B(-1 \leq B < A \leq 1)$, a function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{N}_{p,q}(\alpha, A, B)$, if and only if

$$\frac{1}{p-q} \left[(1 - \alpha) \frac{z f^{(q+1)}(z)}{f^{(q)}(z)} + \alpha \left(1 + \frac{z f^{(q+2)}(z)}{f^{(q+1)}(z)} \right) \right] \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}, \quad (5)$$

$$(p \in \mathbb{N}, q \in \mathbb{N}_0; p > q).$$

Remark 1 Let $p = 1, q = 0, A = 1$ and $B = -1$, the class $\mathcal{N}_{p,q}(\alpha, A, B)$ reduce to the class α -convex function which introduce by Mocanu [11] and studied by ([12], [13]).

Recently, many authors defined and investigated many subclasses defined by the higher order derivative (see [1], [2], [3], [6] and [19]).

The object of the present paper is to derive some properties for the general classes $\mathcal{M}_{p,q}(\alpha, A, B)$ and $\mathcal{N}_{p,q}(\alpha, A, B)$ by using the method of differential subordination.

2 Preliminaries

To prove our main results, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 1 ([4], [8] and [10]). Let $\phi(z)$ be analytic in Δ and $h(z)$ be analytic and convex (univalent) in Δ with $h(0) = \phi(0) = 1$. If

$$\phi(z) + \frac{z\phi'(z)}{\gamma} \prec h(z) \quad (\operatorname{Re}(\gamma) \geq 0; \quad \gamma \neq 0; \quad z \in \Delta), \quad (6)$$

then

$$\phi(z) \prec \psi(z) = \gamma z^{-\gamma} \int_0^z t^{\gamma-1} h(t) dt \prec h(z) \quad (z \in \Delta),$$

and $\psi(z)$ is the best dominant of (6).

We denote by $P(\gamma)$ the class of functions $\varphi(z)$ given by

$$\varphi(z) = 1 + b_1 z + b_2 z^2 + \dots, \quad (7)$$

which are analytic in Δ and satisfy the following inequality:

$$\operatorname{Re}(\varphi(z)) > \gamma \quad (0 \leq \gamma < 1, \quad z \in \Delta).$$

Lemma 2 ([7]). Let the function $\varphi(z)$ given by (7) be in the class $P = P(0)$. Then

$$\operatorname{Re}(\varphi(z)) \geq \frac{1 - |z|}{1 + |z|} \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

Lemma 3 ([16]). If $\varphi_j \in P(\gamma_j)$ ($0 \leq \gamma_j < 1; j = 1, 2$), then

$$\varphi_1 * \varphi_2 \in P(\gamma_3) \quad \gamma_3 = 1 - 2(1 - \gamma_1)(1 - \gamma_2).$$

The result is the best possible.

Lemma 4 ([9], [15]). *If $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, $\beta > 0$, and the complex number γ satisfy $\operatorname{Re}(\gamma) \geq -\beta(1-A)/(1-B)$, then the following differential equation:*

$$q(z) + \frac{zq'(z)}{\beta q(z) + \gamma} = \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (z \in \Delta)$$

has a univalent solution in Δ given by

$$q(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{z^{\beta+\gamma}(1+Bz)^{\beta(A-B)/B}}{\beta \int_0^1 t^{\beta+\gamma-1}(1+Bt)^{\beta(A-B)/B} dt} - \frac{\gamma}{\beta}, & B \neq 0, \\ \frac{z^{\beta+\gamma} \exp(\beta Az)}{\beta \int_0^1 t^{\beta+\gamma-1} \exp(\beta At) dt} - \frac{\gamma}{\beta}, & B = 0. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

If the function $\phi(z)$ given by

$$\phi(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots,$$

is analytic in Δ and satisfies the following subordination:

$$\phi(z) + \frac{z\phi'(z)}{\beta(\phi(z)) + \gamma} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (z \in \Delta). \quad (9)$$

Then

$$\phi(z) \prec q(z) \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (z \in \Delta)$$

and $q(z)$ is the best dominant (9).

Lemma 5 (Wilken and Feng [18]). *Let μ be a positive measure on $[0, 1]$. Let $g(z, t)$ be a complex-valued defined on $\Delta \times [0, 1]$ such that $g(\cdot, t)$ is analytic in Δ for each $t \in [0, 1]$ and that $g(z, \cdot)$ is μ -integrable on $[0, 1]$ for all $z \in \Delta$. In addition, suppose that $\operatorname{Re} \{g(z, t)\} > 0$, $g(-r, t)$ is real and*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1}{g(z, t)} \right) \geq \frac{1}{g(-r, t)} \quad \text{for } |z| \leq r < 1 \text{ and } t \in [0, 1].$$

If the function $G(z)$ is defined by

$$G(z) := \int_0^1 g(z, t) d\mu(t),$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1}{G(z)} \right) \geq \frac{1}{G(-r)}.$$

For real or complex numbers a, b and $c (c \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots)$, the Gauss hypergeometric function is defined by

$${}_2F_1(a, b; c; z) = 1 + \frac{a.b}{1.c}z + \frac{a(a+1).b(b+1)}{2!c(c+1)}z^2 + \dots$$

We note that the series converges absolutely for $z \in \Delta$ and hence represents an analytic function in the unite disk Δ (see, for details, [[17], Chapter 14]).

Each of the identities (asserted by Lemma 6 below) is fairly well known (cf., e.g., [[17], Chapter 14]).

Lemma 6 For real or complex numbers a, b and $c (c \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots)$,

$$\int_0^1 t^{b-1}(1-t)^{c-b-1}(1-zt)^{-a} dt = \frac{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c-b)}{\Gamma(c)} {}_2F_1(a, b; c; z) \quad (\operatorname{Re}(c) > \operatorname{Re}(b) > 0); \quad (10)$$

$${}_2F_1(a, b; c; z) = (1-z)^{-a} {}_2F_1(a, c-b; c; \frac{z}{z-1}); \quad (11)$$

$${}_2F_1(a, b; c; z) = {}_2F_1(b, a; c; z); \quad (12)$$

and

$${}_2F_1(a, b; \frac{a+b+1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\frac{a+b+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{a+1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{b+1}{2})}. \quad (13)$$

3 Main Results

Theorem 7 If $f \in \mathcal{M}_{p,q}(\alpha, A, B)$, then

$$\frac{1}{\delta(p, q)} \frac{f^{(q)}(z)}{z^{(p-q)}} \prec Q(z) \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (z \in \Delta), \quad (14)$$

where the function $Q(z)$ is given by

$$Q(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{\frac{A}{B} + (1 - \frac{A}{B})(1 + Bz)^{-1} {}_2F_1(1, 1; \frac{p-q}{\alpha} + 1; \frac{Bz}{Bz+1})}{1 + \frac{p-q}{(p-q)+\alpha}Az} & (B \neq 0) \\ 1 + \frac{p-q}{(p-q)+\alpha}Az & (B = 0), \end{cases}$$

is the best dominant of (14). Furthermore,

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1}{\delta(p, q)} \frac{f^{(q)}(z)}{z^{(p-q)}} \right) > \rho \quad (z \in \Delta), \quad (15)$$

where

$$\rho(p, q, \alpha, A, B) = \begin{cases} \frac{\frac{A}{B} + (1 - \frac{A}{B})(1 - B)^{-1} {}_2F_1(1, 1; \frac{p-q}{\alpha} + 1; \frac{B}{B-1})}{1 - \frac{p-q}{(p-q)+\alpha}A} & (B \neq 0) \\ 1 - \frac{p-q}{(p-q)+\alpha}A & (B = 0). \end{cases}$$

The result is the best possible.

Proof. Setting

$$\phi(z) = \frac{1}{\delta(p, q)} \frac{f^{(q)}(z)}{z^{p-q}} \quad (z \in \Delta). \quad (16)$$

Then the function $\phi(z)$ is analytic in Δ with $\phi(0) = 1$. Differentiating (16), we get

$$\frac{f^{(q+1)}(z)}{\delta(p, q+1)z^{p-q-1}} = \phi(z) + \frac{z\phi'(z)}{p-q}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} & (1-\alpha) \frac{f^{(q)}(z)}{\delta(p, q)z^{p-q}} + \alpha \frac{f^{(q+1)}(z)}{\delta(p, q+1)z^{p-q-1}} \\ &= \phi(z) + \left(\frac{\alpha}{p-q} \right) z\phi'(z) \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \quad (z \in \Delta). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Now, by using Lemma 1 for $\gamma = \frac{p-q}{\alpha}$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(z) \prec Q(z) &= \frac{p-q}{\alpha} z^{-\frac{p-q}{\alpha}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{p-q}{\alpha}-1} \left(\frac{1+At}{1+Bt} \right) dt \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{A}{B} + \left(1 - \frac{A}{B}\right) (1+Bz)^{-1} {}_2F_1\left(1, 1; \frac{p-q}{\alpha} + 1; \frac{Bz}{Bz+1}\right) & (B \neq 0) \\ 1 + \frac{p-q}{(p-q)+\alpha} Az & (B = 0), \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

by change of variables followed by use (11) (with $a = 1$, $b = \frac{p-q}{\alpha}$ and $c = b+1$). This proves the assertion (14) of Theorem 7. Next, to prove the assertion (15) of Theorem 7, it suffices to show that

$$\inf_{|z|<1} \{\operatorname{Re}(Q(z))\} = Q(-1).$$

Indeed, for $|z| \leq r < 1$,

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \right) \geq \frac{1-Ar}{1-Br}.$$

Setting

$$G(s, z) = \frac{1+Asz}{1+Bsz}$$

and

$$d\mu(s) = \frac{p-q}{\alpha} s^{\frac{p-q}{\alpha}-1} ds \quad (0 \leq s \leq 1),$$

which is a positive measure on $[0, 1]$, we get

$$Q(z) = \int_0^1 G(s, z) d\mu(s),$$

so that

$$\operatorname{Re}(Q(z)) \geq \int_0^1 \frac{1 - Asr}{1 - Bsr} d\mu(s) = Q(-r) \quad (|z| \leq r < 1).$$

Letting $r \rightarrow 1^-$ in the above inequality, we obtain the assertion (18). The result in (15) is best possible as function $Q(z)$ is the best dominant of (14). ■

By putting $q = 0$ in Theorem 7, we deduce the following consequence.

Corollary 8 *Let $\alpha > 0$ and let the function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$ satisfy the following inequality:*

$$(1 - \alpha) \frac{f(z)}{z^p} + \alpha \frac{f'(z)}{pz^{p-1}} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (z \in \Delta). \quad (18)$$

Then

$$\frac{f(z)}{z^p} \prec Q(z) \quad (z \in \Delta),$$

where the function $Q(z)$ is given by

$$Q(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{A}{B} + \left(1 - \frac{A}{B}\right) (1 + Bz)^{-1} {}_2F_1\left(1, 1; \frac{p}{\alpha} + 1; \frac{Bz}{Bz+1}\right) & (B \neq 0) \\ 1 + \frac{p}{p+\alpha} Az & (B = 0), \end{cases}$$

is the best dominant of (14). Furthermore,

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{f(z)}{z^p} \right) > \rho \quad (z \in \Delta),$$

where

$$\rho(p, \alpha, A, B) = \begin{cases} \frac{A}{B} + \left(1 - \frac{A}{B}\right) (1 - B)^{-1} {}_2F_1\left(1, 1; \frac{p}{\alpha} + 1; \frac{B}{B-1}\right) & (B \neq 0) \\ 1 - \frac{p}{p+\alpha} A & (B = 0). \end{cases}$$

The result is the best possible.

By putting $A = 1 - 2\eta$ and $B = -1$ in Corollary 8, we deduce the following consequence.

Corollary 9 [14] *Let $\alpha > 0$ and let the function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$ satisfy the following inequality:*

$$(1 - \alpha) \frac{f(z)}{z^p} + \alpha \frac{f'(z)}{pz^{p-1}} \prec \frac{1 + (1 - 2\eta)z}{1 - z} \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

Then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{f(z)}{z^p} \right) > \eta + (1 - \eta) \left[{}_2F_1\left(1, 1; \frac{p}{\alpha} + 1; \frac{1}{2}\right) - 1 \right] \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

The result is the best possible.

For a function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$, the generalized Bernardi-Libera-Livingston integral operator $F_{\mu,p} : \mathcal{A}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_p$ is defined by (cf., eg., [5])

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\mu,p}f(z) &= \frac{\mu+p}{z^\mu} \int_0^z t^{\mu-1} f(t) dt \\ &= \left(z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \binom{\mu+p}{\mu+k} z^k \right) \star f(z) \quad (\mu > -p; z \in \Delta). \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

It follows from (3) and (19) that

$$z(F_{\mu,p}f(z))^{q+1} = (\mu+p)f^q(z) - (\mu+q)(F_{\mu,p}f(z))^q. \quad (20)$$

Theorem 10 *If $\mu > -(p-q)$. Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{M}_{p,q}(\alpha, A, B)$, for some $\alpha, \alpha > 0$, then the function $F_{\mu,p}f(z)$ defined by (19) satisfies*

$$(1-\alpha) \frac{(F_{\mu,p}f(z))^q}{\delta(p,q)z^{p-q}} + \alpha \frac{(F_{\mu,p}f(z))^{q+1}}{\delta(p,q+1)z^{p-q-1}} \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \quad (z \in \Delta),$$

and

$$\frac{(F_{\mu,p}f(z))^q}{\delta(p,q)z^{p-q}} \prec Q'(z) \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \quad (21)$$

where

$$Q'(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{\frac{A}{B} + (1 - \frac{A}{B})(1+Bz)^{-1} {}_2F_1(1, 1; (\mu+p)+1; \frac{Bz}{Bz+1})}{1 + \frac{(\mu+p)}{(\mu+p)+1}Az} & (B \neq 0) \\ 1 + \frac{(\mu+p)}{(\mu+p)+1}Az & (B = 0), \end{cases}$$

is the best dominant of (21).

Furthermore,

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{(F_{\mu,p}f(z))^q}{\delta(p,q)z^{p-q}} \right) > \sigma \quad (z \in \Delta), \quad (22)$$

where

$$\sigma(p, q, \alpha, A, B) = \begin{cases} \frac{\frac{A}{B} + (1 - \frac{A}{B})(1-B)^{-1} {}_2F_1(1, 1; (\mu+p)+1; \frac{B}{B-1})}{1 - \frac{\mu+p}{(\mu+p)+1}A} & (B \neq 0) \\ 1 - \frac{\mu+p}{(\mu+p)+1}A & (B = 0). \end{cases}$$

The result is the best possible.

Proof. It is clear that the function $F_{\mu,p}f(z)$ in \mathcal{A}_p . Differentiating both sides of the equality

$$F_{\mu,p}f(z) = \frac{(\mu+p)}{z^\mu} \int_0^z t^{\mu-1} f(t) dt. \quad (23)$$

From (20), we have

$$z(F_{\mu,p}f(z))^{q+1} + (\mu+q)(F_{\mu,p}f(z))^q = (\mu+p)f^q(z). \quad (24)$$

Letting

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(z) &= (1 - \alpha) \frac{(F_{\mu,p}f(z))^{(q)}}{\delta(p,q)z^{p-q}} + \frac{\alpha}{p-q} \frac{z \left((F_{\mu,p}f(z))^{(q)} \right)'}{\delta(p,q)z^{p-q}} \\ &= 1 + b_1z + b_2z^2 + \dots,\end{aligned}$$

then (24) becomes

$$\phi(z) + \frac{z\phi'(z)}{(\mu+p)} = (1 - \alpha) \frac{f^q(z)}{\delta(p,q)z^{p-q}} + \frac{\alpha}{p-q} \frac{f^{q+1}(z)}{\delta(p,q)z^{p-q-1}}. \quad (25)$$

It follows from (25) that

$$\phi(z) + \frac{z\phi'(z)}{\mu+p} \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \quad (z \in \Delta),$$

using the method of the proof of Theorem 7, we can obtain the assertion of Theorem 10. ■

Theorem 11 *Let $-1 \leq B_j \leq 1$ ($j = 1, 2$). If each of the functions $f_j(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$ satisfies the following subordination condition*

$$(1 - \alpha) \frac{f_j^{(q)}(z)}{\delta(p,q)z^{p-q}} + \alpha \frac{f_j^{(q+1)}(z)}{\delta(p,q+1)z^{p-q-1}} \prec \frac{1 + A_jz}{1 + B_jz} \quad (j = 1, 2; z \in \Delta). \quad (26)$$

If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$ is defined by

$$\frac{f^{(q)}(z)}{\delta(p,q)} = \frac{f_1^{(q)}(z)}{\delta(p,q)} * \frac{f_2^{(q)}(z)}{\delta(p,q)} \quad (27)$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ (1 - \alpha) \frac{f^{(q)}(z)}{\delta(p,q)z^{p-q}} + \alpha \frac{f^{(q+1)}(z)}{\delta(p,q+1)z^{p-q-1}} \right\} > \gamma, \quad (28)$$

where

$$\gamma = 1 - \frac{4(A_1 - B_1)(A_2 - B_2)}{(1 - B_1)(1 - B_2)} \left[1 - \frac{1}{2} {}_2F_1 \left(1, 1; \frac{p-q}{\alpha} + 1; \frac{1}{2} \right) \right].$$

The result is the best possible when $B_1 = B_2 = -1$.

Proof. Suppose that each of the functions $f_j(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$ ($j = 1, 2$) satisfies the condition (26). Then by letting

$$\varphi_j(z) = (1 - \alpha) \frac{f_j^{(q)}(z)}{\delta(p,q)z^{p-q}} + \frac{\alpha}{p-q} \frac{z(f_j^{(q)}(z))'}{\delta(p,q)z^{p-q}} \quad (j = 1, 2), \quad (29)$$

we have

$$\varphi_j(z) \in P(\gamma_j) \quad \left(\gamma_j = \frac{1 - A_j}{1 - B_j}; \quad j = 1, 2 \right).$$

From (29), we have

$$\frac{f_j^{(q)}(z)}{\delta(p, q)} = \frac{p - q}{\alpha} z^{-(p-q)(\frac{1}{\alpha}-1)} \int_0^z t^{\frac{p-q}{\alpha}-1} \varphi_j(t) dt \quad (j = 1, 2). \quad (30)$$

Now if $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$ is defined by (27), we find from (30) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f^{(q)}(z)}{\delta(p, q)} &= \frac{f_1^{(q)}(z)}{\delta(p, q)} * \frac{f_2^{(q)}(z)}{\delta(p, q)} \\ &= \left(\frac{p - q}{\alpha} z^{-(p-q)(\frac{1}{\alpha}-1)} \int_0^z t^{\frac{p-q}{\alpha}-1} \varphi_1(t) dt \right) \\ &\quad * \left(\frac{p - q}{\alpha} z^{-(p-q)(\frac{1}{\alpha}-1)} \int_0^z t^{\frac{p-q}{\alpha}-1} \varphi_2(t) dt \right) \\ &= \frac{p - q}{\alpha} z^{-(p-q)(\frac{1}{\alpha}-1)} \int_0^z t^{\frac{p-q}{\alpha}-1} \varphi_0(t) dt \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

where

$$\varphi_0(t) = \frac{p - q}{\alpha} z^{-(p-q)(\frac{1}{\alpha}-1)} \int_0^z t^{\frac{p-q}{\alpha}-1} (\varphi_1 * \varphi_2)(t) dt. \quad (32)$$

Since $\varphi_1(z) \in P(\gamma_1)$ and $\varphi_2(z) \in P(\gamma_2)$, it follows from Lemma 3 that

$$(\varphi_1 * \varphi_2)(z) \in P(\gamma_3) \quad (\gamma_3 = 1 - 2(1 - \gamma_1)(1 - \gamma_2)). \quad (33)$$

According to Lemma 2, we have

$$\operatorname{Re}(\varphi_1 * \varphi_2)(z) > \gamma_3 + (1 - \gamma_3) \frac{1 - |z|}{1 + |z|} = (2\gamma_3 - 1) + \frac{2(1 - \gamma_3)}{1 + |z|}. \quad (34)$$

Now by using (34) in (32), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{Re} \left\{ (1 - \alpha) \frac{f^{(q)}(z)}{\delta(p, q) z^{p-q}} + \frac{\alpha}{p - q} \frac{z(f^{(q)}(z))'}{\delta(p, q) z^{p-q}} \right\} \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \{ \varphi_0(z) \} \\ &= \frac{p - q}{\alpha} \int_0^1 u^{\frac{p-q}{\alpha}-1} \operatorname{Re}(\varphi_1 * \varphi_2)(uz) du \\ &> \frac{p - q}{\alpha} \int_0^1 u^{\frac{p-q}{\alpha}-1} \left(2\gamma_3 - 1 + \frac{2(1 - \gamma_3)}{1 + u} \right) du \\ &= 1 - \frac{4(A_1 - B_1)(A_2 - B_2)}{(1 - B_1)(1 - B_2)} \left[1 - \frac{p - q}{\alpha} z^{-(\frac{p-q}{\alpha})} \int_0^1 u^{\frac{p-q}{\alpha}-1} (1 + u)^{-1} du \right] \\ &= 1 - \frac{4(A_1 - B_1)(A_2 - B_2)}{(1 - B_1)(1 - B_2)} \left[1 - \frac{1}{2} F_1 \left(1, 1; \frac{p - q}{\alpha} + 1; \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] \\ &= \gamma \quad (z \in \Delta) \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of the assertion (28).

When $B_1 = B_2 = -1$, we consider the functions $f_j^{(q)}(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$ ($j = 1, 2$), are defined by

$$f_j^{(q)}(z) = \frac{p-q}{\alpha} z^{-(p-q)(\frac{1}{\alpha}-1)} \int_0^z t^{\frac{p-q}{\alpha}-1} \left(\frac{1+A_j t}{1-t} \right) dt \quad (j = 1, 2),$$

for which we have

$$\varphi_j(z) = (1-\alpha) \frac{f_j^{(q)}(z)}{\delta(p,q)z^{p-q}} + \frac{\alpha}{p-q} \frac{z(f_j^{(q)}(z))'}{\delta(p,q)z^{p-q}} = \frac{1+A_j z}{1-z} \quad (j = 1, 2),$$

and

$$(\varphi_1 * \varphi_2)(z) = 1 - \frac{(1+A_1)(1+A_2)}{1-z}.$$

Hence, for $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$ given by (27), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ (1-\alpha) \frac{f^{(q)}(z)}{\delta(p,q)z^{p-q}} + \frac{\alpha}{p-q} \frac{z(f^{(q)}(z))'}{\delta(p,q)z^{p-q}} \right\} \\ &= \varphi_0(z) \\ &= \frac{p-q}{\alpha} \int_0^1 u^{\frac{p-q}{\alpha}-1} \left(1 - (1+A_1)(1+A_2) + \frac{(1+A_1)(1+A_2)}{1-uz} \right) du \\ &= 1 - (1+A_1)(1+A_2) + (1+A_1)(1+A_2)(1-z)^{-1} {}_2F_1 \left(1, 1; \frac{p-q}{\alpha} + 1; \frac{z}{z-1} \right) \\ &\rightarrow 1 - (1+A_1)(1+A_2) + \frac{1}{2}(1+A_1)(1+A_2) {}_2F_1 \left(1, 1; \frac{p-q}{\alpha} + 1; \frac{1}{2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

as $z \rightarrow -1$,

which evidently completes the proof of Theorem 11. ■

Theorem 12 *If $f \in \mathcal{N}_{p,q}(\alpha, A, B)$, then*

$$\frac{1}{p-q} \frac{z f^{(q+1)}(z)}{f^{(q)}(z)} \prec \frac{\alpha}{p-q} \frac{1}{\tilde{Q}(z)} = \tilde{O}(z) \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \quad (z \in \Delta), \quad (35)$$

where

$$\tilde{Q}(z) = \begin{cases} \int_0^1 t^{\frac{p-q}{\alpha}-1} \left(\frac{1+Btz}{1+Bz} \right)^{\frac{p-q}{\alpha}(A-B)/B} dt, & B \neq 0 \\ \int_0^1 t^{\frac{p-q}{\alpha}-1} \exp\left(\frac{p-q}{\alpha}(t-1)Az\right) dt, & B = 0 \end{cases} \quad (36)$$

and $\tilde{O}(z)$ is best dominant of (35). Furthermore, if

$$A \leq -\frac{\alpha}{p-q}B \quad \text{with} \quad -1 \leq B < 0,$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1}{p-q} \frac{zf^{(q+1)}(z)}{f^{(q)}(z)} \right) > \tilde{\rho} \quad (z \in \Delta),$$

where

$$\tilde{\rho}(p, q, A, B) = \frac{1}{{}_2F_1(1, (\frac{p-q}{\alpha}(B-A)/B); (\frac{p-q}{\alpha} + 1); B/(B-1))}.$$

The result is best possible.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{N}_{p,q}(\alpha, A, B)$. Let us put

$$g(z) = \left(\frac{f^{(q)}(z)}{\delta(p, q)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-q}} \quad (37)$$

and

$$r_1 := \sup\{r : g(z) \neq 0 \quad (0 < |z| < r < 1)\}.$$

Then $g(z)$ is single-valued and analytic in $|z| < r_1$. Carrying out logarithmic differentiation in (37), it follows that the function $\varphi(z)$ given by

$$\varphi(z) = \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} = \frac{1}{p-q} \frac{zf^{(q+1)}(z)}{f^{(q)}(z)} \quad (38)$$

is analytic in $|z| < r_1$ and $\varphi(0) = 1$. Differentiating logarithmically (38), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{p-q} \left[(1-\alpha) \frac{zf^{(q+1)}(z)}{f^{(q)}(z)} + \alpha \left(1 + \frac{zf^{(q+2)}(z)}{f^{(q+1)}(z)} \right) \right] \\ &= \varphi(z) + \frac{\alpha z \varphi'(z)}{(p-q)\varphi(z)} \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \quad (|z| < r_1). \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

Thus, by using Lemma 4, we find that

$$\varphi(z) \prec \tilde{O}(z) \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \quad (|z| < r_1), \quad (40)$$

where $\tilde{O}(z)$ is the best dominant of (36) and is given by (8) with

$$\beta = \frac{p-q}{\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma = 0.$$

Since, for $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, it easy to see that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \right) > 0 \quad (|z| < r_1),$$

by (40), we have

$$\operatorname{Re}(\varphi(z)) > 0 .$$

Now (38) shows that $g(z)$ is starlike (univalent) in $|z| < r_1$. Thus it is not possible that $g(z)$ vanishes on $|z| = r_1$ if $r_1 < 1$. So we conclude that $r_1 = 1$, and hence $\varphi(z)$ is analytic in Δ . Hence (40) implies that

$$\varphi(z) \prec \tilde{O}(z) \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

This proves the assertion (35) of Theorem 12.

Next, we show that

$$\inf_{|z| < 1} \left\{ \operatorname{Re}(\tilde{O}(z)) \right\} = \tilde{O}(-1). \quad (41)$$

Indeed, if we set

$$a = \frac{p-q}{\alpha}(B-A)/B, \quad b = \frac{p-q}{\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad c = \frac{p-q}{\alpha} + 1,$$

then $c > b > 0$. From (36), by using (10) to (11), we see that, for $B \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Q}(z) &= (1 + Bz)^a \int_0^1 t^{b-1} (1 + Btz)^{-a} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{b} {}_2F_1 \left(1, a; c; \frac{Bz}{Bz+1} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

To prove (41), we need show that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{1}{\tilde{Q}(z)} \right\} \geq \frac{1}{\tilde{Q}(-1)} \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

Since

$$A < -\frac{\alpha}{p-q}B \quad \text{with} \quad -1 \leq B < 0,$$

implies that $c > a > 0$, by using Lemma (5), we find from (42) that

$$\tilde{Q}(z) = \int_0^1 g(z, t) d\mu(t),$$

where

$$g(z, t) = \frac{1 + Bz}{1 + (1 - t)Bz} \quad (0 \leq t \leq 1)$$

and

$$d\mu(t) = \frac{\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(c-a)} t^{a-1}(1-t)^{c-a-1} dt,$$

which is a positive measure on $[0, 1]$.

For $-1 \leq B < 0$, it may be noted that $\operatorname{Re} \{g(z, t)\} > 0$, $g(-r, t)$ is real for $0 \leq |z| \leq r < 1$ and $t \in [0, 1]$. Therefore, by using Lemma 5, we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{1}{\tilde{Q}(z)} \right\} \geq \frac{1}{\tilde{Q}(-1)} \quad (|z| \leq r < 1),$$

which, upon letting $r \rightarrow 1-$, yields

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{1}{\tilde{Q}(z)} \right\} \geq \frac{1}{\tilde{Q}(-1)}.$$

Further, by taking

$$A \rightarrow \left(-\frac{\alpha}{p-q} B \right) +$$

for the case

$$A = -\frac{\alpha}{p-q} B,$$

and using (35) we get

$$\tilde{\rho}(p, q, A, B) = \frac{1}{{}_2F_1(1, (\frac{p-q}{\alpha}(B-A)/B); (\frac{p-q}{\alpha} + 1); B/(B-1))}.$$

The result is best possible. ■

By putting $q = 0$ in Theorem 12, we deduce the following consequence.

Corollary 13 *Let $\alpha > 0$ and let the function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$ satisfy the following inequality:*

$$\frac{1}{p} \left\{ (1 - \alpha) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \right\} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}.$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{p} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \frac{\alpha}{p} \frac{1}{\tilde{Q}(z)} = \tilde{O}(z) \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (z \in \Delta),$$

where

$$\bar{Q}(z) = \begin{cases} \int_0^1 t^{\left(\frac{p}{\alpha}-1\right)} \left(\frac{1+Btz}{1+Bz}\right)^{\frac{p}{\alpha}(A-B)/B} dt, & B \neq 0 \\ \int_0^1 t^{\left(\frac{p}{\alpha}-1\right)} \exp\left(\frac{p}{\alpha}(t-1)Az\right) dt, & B = 0 \end{cases}$$

and $\tilde{O}(z)$ is best dominant of (35). Furthermore, if

$$A \leq -\frac{\alpha}{p}B \quad \text{with} \quad -1 \leq B < 0,$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1}{p} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > \tilde{\rho}$$

where

$$\bar{\rho}(p, A, B) = \frac{1}{{}_2F_1\left(1, \left(\frac{p}{\alpha}(B-A)/B\right); \left(\frac{p}{\alpha}+1\right); B/(B-1)\right)}.$$

The result is best possible.

By putting $A = 1 - 2\eta$ ($0 < \eta < 1$) and $B = -1$ in Corollary 13, we deduce the following consequence.

Corollary 14 *Let $\alpha > 0$ and let the function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$ satisfy the following inequality:*

$$\frac{1}{p} \left\{ (1-\alpha) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f(z)} \right) \right\} \prec \frac{1 + (1-2\eta)z}{1-z} \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

Then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1}{p} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > \left[{}_2F_1\left(1, \frac{2p}{\alpha}(1-\eta); \frac{p}{\alpha}+1; \frac{1}{2}\right) \right]^{-1} \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

The result is the best possible.

4 Inclusion Properties Involving the Higher Order Derivatives

Theorem 15 *Let $0 \leq \alpha_1 < \alpha_2$. Then*

$$\mathcal{M}_{p,q}(\alpha_2, A, B) \subset \mathcal{M}_{p,q}(\alpha_1, A, B).$$

Proof. Let $0 \leq \alpha_1 < \alpha_2$ and suppose that

$$\phi(z) = \frac{1}{\delta(p, q)} \frac{f^{(q)}(z)}{z^{(p-q)}} \quad (z \in \Delta). \quad (43)$$

Then the function $\phi(z)$ is analytic in Δ with $\phi(0) = 1$. Differentiating both sides of (43) with respect to z and using (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \alpha_2) \frac{f^{(q)}(z)}{\delta(p, q) z^{p-q}} + \alpha_2 \frac{f^{(q+1)}(z)}{\delta(p, q+1) z^{p-q-1}} \\ &= \phi(z) + \left(\frac{\alpha_2}{p-q} \right) z \phi'(z) \prec h(z). \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

Hence an application of Lemma 1, yields

$$\phi(z) \prec h(z). \quad (45)$$

Noting that $0 \leq \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2} < 1$ and that $h(z)$ is convex univalent in Δ , it follows from (43), (44) and (45) that

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \alpha_1) \frac{f^{(q)}(z)}{\delta(p, q) z^{p-q}} + \alpha_1 \frac{f^{(q+1)}(z)}{\delta(p, q+1) z^{p-q-1}} \\ &= \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2} \left((1 - \alpha_2) \frac{f^{(q)}(z)}{\delta(p, q) z^{p-q}} + \alpha_2 \frac{f^{(q+1)}(z)}{\delta(p, q+1) z^{p-q-1}} \right) + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2} \right) \phi(z) \\ &\prec h(z). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $f(z) \in \mathcal{M}_{p,q}(\alpha_1, A, B)$ and the proof of Theorem 15 is completed. \blacksquare

Theorem 16 *Let $0 \leq \alpha_1 < \alpha_2$. Then*

$$\mathcal{N}_{p,q}(\alpha_2, A, B) \subset \mathcal{N}_{p,q}(\alpha_1, A, B).$$

Proof. Let $0 \leq \alpha_1 < \alpha_2$ and suppose that

$$\varphi(z) = \frac{1}{p-q} \frac{z f^{(q+1)}(z)}{f^{(q)}(z)} \quad (z \in \Delta). \quad (46)$$

Then the function $\varphi(z)$ is analytic in Δ with $\varphi(0) = 1$. Differentiating both sides of (46) logarithmically with respect to z and using (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{p-q} \left[(1 - \alpha_2) \frac{z f^{(q+1)}(z)}{f^{(q)}(z)} + \alpha_2 \left(1 + \frac{z f^{(q+2)}(z)}{f^{(q+1)}(z)} \right) \right] \\ &= \varphi(z) + \frac{\alpha_2 z \varphi'(z)}{(p-q) \varphi(z)} \prec h(z). \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

Hence an application of Lemma 1 yields

$$\phi(z) \prec h(z). \quad (48)$$

Noting that $0 \leq \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2} < 1$ and that $h(z)$ is starlike univalent in Δ , it follows from (46), (47) and (48) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{p-q} \left[(1-\alpha_1) \frac{zf^{(q+1)}(z)}{f^{(q)}(z)} + \alpha_1 \left(1 + \frac{zf^{(q+2)}(z)}{f^{(q+1)}(z)} \right) \right] \\ = & \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2} \left(\frac{1}{p-q} \left[(1-\alpha_2) \frac{zf^{(q+1)}(z)}{f^{(q)}(z)} + \alpha_2 \left(1 + \frac{zf^{(q+2)}(z)}{f^{(q+1)}(z)} \right) \right] \right) + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2} \right) \phi(z) \\ \prec & h(z). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $f(z) \in \mathcal{N}_{p,q}(\alpha_1, A, B)$ and the proof of Theorem 16 is completed. ■

5 Open Problem

We can effect by some linear operators and solve some problems.

References

- [1] M. K. Aouf, Certain subclass of multivalent functions with negative coefficients defined by using a differential operator, *J. Math and Applications* 30(2008), 5-21.
- [2] M. K. Aouf, Certain classes of p-valent functions with negative coefficients, *Acta Universitatis Apulensis* 30(2012), 271-291.
- [3] M. K. Aouf and A. O. Mostafa, Certain classes of p-valent functions defined by convolution, *General Math.* Vol. 20, No. 1 (2012), 85-98.
- [4] D. J. Hallenbeck and St. Ruscheweyh, Subordination by convex functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 52(1975) 191-195.
- [5] J. H. Choi, M. Saigo and H. M. Srivastava, Some inclusion properties of certain family of integral operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 276 (2002) 432-445.
- [6] E. A. Elrifai, H. E. Darwish and A. R. Ahmed, On subordination for Higher-Order derivatives of multivalent functions associated with the generalized Srivastava-Attiya operator, *Demonstratio Math.* Vol. XLV, No. 1 (2012), 39-50.

- [7] T. H. MacGregor, Functions whose derivative has a positive real part, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 104 (1962) 532-537.
- [8] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Differential subordination and univalent functions, *Michigan Math. J.* 28 (1981) 157-171.
- [9] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Univalent solutions of Briot-Bouquet differential Subordinations, *J. differential Equations* 58 (1985) 297-309.
- [10] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Differential subordination : Theory and Applications, in: *Series on Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 225, Marcel Dekker, New York and Basel, 2000.
- [11] P. T. Mocanu, Une propriete de convexite generalisee dans la representation conforme, *Mathematica (Cluj)* 11 (1969), 127-133.
- [12] P. T. Mocanu and M. O. Reade, The order of starlikeness of certain univalent functions, *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.* 18 (1971), 815.
- [13] M. O. Reade and P. T. Mocanu, The radius of α -convexity of starlike functions, *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.* 19 (1972), A-110.
- [14] J. Patel, Nak Eun Cho and H. M. Srivastava, Certain subclasses of multivalent functions associated with a family of linear operators, *Mathematical and Computer Modelling* 43 (2006) 320-338.
- [15] St. Ruscheweyh and T. Sheil-Small, Hadamard products of schlicht functions and the Polya-Schoenberg conjecture, *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 48 (1973) 119-35.
- [16] J. Stankiewicz and Z. Stankiewicz, Some applications of the Hadamard convolution in the theory of functions, *Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Sklodowska Sect. A* 40 (1986) 251-265.
- [17] E. T. Whittaker and G. N. Watson, *A Course on Modern Analysis: An Introduction to the General Theory of Infinite Processes and of Analytic Functions; With an Account of the Principal Transcendental Functions*, Fourth edn., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1927 (Reprinted).
- [18] D. R. Wilken and J. Feng, A remark on convex and starlike functions, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) 21 (1980) 287-290.
- [19] T. Zeng, C. Y. Gao, Z. G. Wang and R. Aghalary, Certain subclasses of multivalent functions involving the Cho-Kwon-Srivastava operator, *J. Math and Applications* 30(2008), 161-170.