

Upper Bounds for Class of Analytic Functions Defined by q -Difference Operator

A. O. Mostafa, A. M. Shahin and Z. M. Saleh

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University
Mansoura 35516, Egypt
e-mail: adelaeg254@yahoo.com
e-mail: amshahin@mans.edu.eg
e-mail: zeinabnsar2@gmail.com

Received 15 October 2020; Accepted 29 March 2021

Abstract

In this paper using a q -difference operator, we define a class of univalent functions and obtained upper bounds for functions in it.

Keywords: Starlike function, Hankel determinant, coefficient bound, q -analogue of Ruscheweyh operator.

2010 Mathematical Subject Classification: 30C45.

1 Introduction

The class of univalent analytic functions of the form

$$F(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad z \in \mathcal{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}, \quad (1)$$

is denoted by S .

For $F \in S$, $0 < q < 1$, the q -difference operator Δ_q is given by [12] (see also [2, 3,4,5,6,7],[11],[21, 22,23]);

$$\Delta_q F(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{F(z)-F(qz)}{(1-q)z} & , z \neq 0 \\ F'(0) & , z = 0 \end{cases} ,$$

that is

$$\Delta_q F(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q a_k z^{k-1}, \quad (2)$$

where

$$[j]_q = \frac{1 - q^j}{1 - q}, \quad [0]_q = 0. \quad (3)$$

As $q \rightarrow 1^-$, $[k]_q = k$ and $\Delta_q F(z) = F'(z)$.

For $F \in S$, the generalized Sălăgean operator is defined by Al-Oboudi [1] as

$$D_\delta^m F(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [1 + \delta(k-1)]^m a_k z^k, \quad \delta \geq 0, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}. \quad (4)$$

Note that: $D_1^m F(z) = D^m F(z)$ (see [20]).

Pommerenke [19] (see also [16]) defined the Hankel determinant for $\eta \geq 1$, $\gamma \geq 0$ as

$$H_\eta(\gamma) = \begin{vmatrix} a_\gamma & a_{\gamma+1} & a_{\gamma+\eta-1} \\ a_{\gamma+1} & a_{\gamma+2} & a_{\gamma+\eta} \\ a_{\gamma+\eta-1} & a_{\gamma+\eta} & a_{\gamma+2\eta-2} \end{vmatrix} \quad (a_1 = 1), \quad (5)$$

where a_γ s are the coefficients of various power of z in $F(z)$ defined by (1).

This determinant has also been considered by several authors, for example $H_2(1) = a_3 - a_2^2$, is known as the Fekete-Szego functional (see Fekete-Szego [10] who generalized the estimate to $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$ where μ is real).

In the case $\eta = 2$ and $\gamma = 2$, the Hankel determinant $H_\eta(\gamma)$ is

$$H_2(2) = \begin{vmatrix} a_2 & a_3 \\ a_3 & a_4 \end{vmatrix} = |a_2 a_4 - a_3^2|. \quad (6)$$

For more studies of $H_\eta(\gamma)$ see [9, 13, 17].

Using (3) and (4), we define the following class.

Definition 1 Let $F \in S$, $\zeta, \delta \geq 0$, $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then $F \in S_q^m(\delta, \zeta)$ if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z \Delta_q(D_\delta^m F(z))}{D_\delta^m F(z)} + \zeta \frac{z^2 \Delta_q(\Delta_q D_\delta^m F(z))}{D_\delta^m F(z)} \right\} > 0. \quad (7)$$

Note that:

- (i) $S_q^m(\delta, 0) = S_q^m(\delta) = \{F \in S : \operatorname{Re}[\frac{z \Delta_q(D_\delta^m F(z))}{D_\delta^m F(z)}] > 0\}$;
- (ii) $S_q^0(\delta, 0) = S_q(0)$ (see Seoudy and Aouf [21]);

$$(iii) S_q^m(1, \zeta) = S_q^m(\zeta) = \{F \in S : \operatorname{Re}[\frac{z(D^m F(z))'}{D^m F(z)} + \zeta \frac{z^2(D^m F(z))''}{D^m F(z)}] > 0\};$$

$$(iv) \lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} S_q^m(\delta, \zeta) = S^m(\delta, \zeta) = \{F \in S : \operatorname{Re}[\frac{z(D_\delta^m F(z))'}{D_\delta^m F(z)} + \zeta \frac{z^2(D_\delta^m F(z))''}{D_\delta^m F(z)}] > 0\}.$$

So, see that (7) modifies the definition of Patil and Khairnar [18].

2 Main Results

Unless indicated, we assume that $0 < q < 1$, $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $z \in \mathcal{D}$, $F(z)$ given by (1) and $\delta, \zeta \geq 0$.

To prove our main results we shall need the following lemmas. Let P be the family of all functions p analytic in \mathcal{D} for which $R\{p(z)\} > 0$ and

$$p(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots \quad (8)$$

Lemma 1 [8] Let $p \in P$, then $|c_k| \leq 2$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$ and the inequality is sharp.

Lemma 2 [14] Let $p \in P$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 2c_2 &= c_1^2 + x(4 - c_1^2) \\ 4c_3 &= c_1^3 + 2xc_1(4 - c_1^2) - x^2c_1(4 - c_1^2) + 2y(1 - |x|^2)(4 - c_1^2) \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

for some x and y such that $|x| \leq 1$, $|y| \leq 1$.

Lemma 3 [15] If $p \in P$ is of the form (8) and ν is a complex number, then

$$|c_2 - \nu c_1^2| \leq 2 \max\{1; |2\nu - 1|\}.$$

Theorem 1 Let $F(z) \in S_q^m(\delta, \zeta)$, then

$$|a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| \leq \frac{4}{(1 + 2\delta)^{2m} ([2]_q [3]_q \zeta + ([3]_q - 1))^2}. \quad (10)$$

Proof. Let $F(z) \in S_q^m(\delta, \zeta)$ then, there exist $p(z) \in P$ such that

$$z\Delta_q(D_\delta^m F(z)) + \zeta z^2\Delta_q(\Delta_q D_\delta^m F(z)) = D_\delta^m F(z)p(z) \text{ for some } z \in \mathcal{D}. \quad (11)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} z\Delta_q(D_\delta^m F(z)) + \zeta z^2\Delta_q(\Delta_q D_\delta^m F(z)) &= z + (1 + \delta)^m a_2 [2]_q (1 + \zeta) z^2 \\ &\quad + (1 + 2\delta)^m a_3 [3]_q (1 + [2]_q \zeta) z^3 \\ &\quad + (1 + 3\delta)^m a_4 [4]_q (1 + [3]_q \zeta) z^4 + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} D_\delta^m F(z)p(z) &= z + (c_1 + (1 + \delta)^m a_2)z^2 \\ &\quad + (c_2 + c_1 a_2(1 + \delta)^m + (1 + 2\delta)^m a_3)z^3 \\ &\quad + (c_3 + c_2 a_2(1 + \delta)^m + c_1 a_3(1 + 2\delta)^m + (1 + 3\delta)^m a_4)z^4 + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Equating the coefficients of (12) and (13):

$$a_2 = \frac{c_1}{(1 + \delta)^m ([2]_q \zeta + ([2]_q - 1))}, \quad (14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} a_3 &= \frac{c_2}{(1 + 2\delta)^m ([2]_q [3]_q \zeta + ([3]_q - 1))} \\ &\quad + \frac{c_1^2}{(1 + 2\delta)^m ([2]_q \zeta + ([2]_q - 1)) ([2]_q [3]_q \zeta + ([3]_q - 1))}, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} a_4 &= \frac{c_1^3}{(1 + 3\delta)^m ([3]_q [4]_q \zeta + ([4]_q - 1)) ([2]_q \zeta + ([2]_q - 1)) ([2]_q [3]_q \zeta + ([3]_q - 1))} \\ &\quad + \frac{c_1 c_2 ([2]_q \zeta (1 + [3]_q) + [2]_q + [3]_q - 2)}{(1 + 3\delta)^m ([3]_q [4]_q \zeta + ([4]_q - 1)) ([2]_q \zeta + ([2]_q - 1)) ([2]_q [3]_q \zeta + ([3]_q - 1))} \\ &\quad + \frac{c_3}{(1 + 3\delta)^m ([3]_q [4]_q \zeta + ([4]_q - 1))}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

From (14), (15) and (16), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| &= \left| \begin{aligned} &\frac{c_1^4}{(1+3\delta)^m (1+\delta)^m ([3]_q [4]_q \zeta + ([4]_q - 1)) ([2]_q \zeta + ([2]_q - 1))^2 ([2]_q [3]_q \zeta + ([3]_q - 1))} \\ &+ \frac{c_1^2 c_2 ([2]_q \zeta (1 + [3]_q) + [2]_q + [3]_q - 2)}{(1+3\delta)^m (1+\delta)^m ([3]_q [4]_q \zeta + ([4]_q - 1)) ([2]_q \zeta + ([2]_q - 1))^2 ([2]_q [3]_q \zeta + ([3]_q - 1))} \\ &+ \frac{c_1 c_3}{(1+3\delta)^m (1+\delta)^m ([3]_q [4]_q \zeta + ([4]_q - 1)) ([2]_q \zeta + ([2]_q - 1))} \\ &- \left\{ \frac{c_2}{(1+2\delta)^m ([2]_q [3]_q \zeta + ([3]_q - 1))} + \frac{c_1^2}{(1+2\delta)^m ([2]_q [3]_q \zeta + ([3]_q - 1)) ([2]_q \zeta + ([2]_q - 1))} \right\}^2 \end{aligned} \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

By using Lemma 2,

$$\begin{aligned} |a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| &= \left| \begin{aligned} &\frac{c_1^4}{(1+3\delta)^m (1+\delta)^m ([3]_q [4]_q \zeta + ([4]_q - 1)) ([2]_q \zeta + ([2]_q - 1))^2 ([2]_q [3]_q \zeta + ([3]_q - 1))} \\ &+ \frac{c_1^2 ([2]_q \zeta (1 + [3]_q) + [2]_q + [3]_q - 2) \left[\frac{c_1^2 + x(4 - c_1^2)}{2} \right]}{(1+3\delta)^m (1+\delta)^m ([3]_q [4]_q \zeta + ([4]_q - 1)) ([2]_q \zeta + ([2]_q - 1))^2 ([2]_q [3]_q \zeta + ([3]_q - 1))} \\ &+ \frac{c_1 \left[\frac{c_1^3 + 2x c_1 (4 - c_1^2) - x^2 c_1 (4 - c_1^2) + 2y (1 - |x|^2) (4 - c_1^2)}{4} \right]}{(1+3\delta)^m (1+\delta)^m ([3]_q [4]_q \zeta + ([4]_q - 1)) ([2]_q \zeta + ([2]_q - 1))} - \frac{c_1^4}{(1+2\delta)^{2m} ([2]_q [3]_q \zeta + ([3]_q - 1))^2 ([2]_q \zeta + ([2]_q - 1))^2} \\ &- \frac{\left[\frac{c_1^2 + x(4 - c_1^2)}{2} \right]^2}{(1+2\delta)^{2m} ([2]_q [3]_q \zeta + ([3]_q - 1))^2} - \frac{2c_1^2 \left[\frac{c_1^2 + x(4 - c_1^2)}{2} \right]}{(1+2\delta)^{2m} ([2]_q [3]_q \zeta + ([3]_q - 1))^2 ([2]_q \zeta + ([2]_q - 1))} \end{aligned} \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Substituting for c_2 and c_3 from (9) and since $|c_1| \leq 2$ by Lemma 1, let $c_1 = c$ and assuming without restriction that $c \in [0, 2]$ we obtain, by triangle inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
|a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| &\leq \frac{c^4}{(1+3\delta)^m(1+\delta)^m([3]_q[4]_q\zeta + ([4]_q - 1)([2]_q\zeta + ([2]_q - 1))^2([2]_q[3]_q\zeta + ([3]_q - 1)))} \\
&\quad + \frac{c^4([2]_q\zeta(1 + [3]_q) + [2]_q + [3]_q - 2)}{2(1+3\delta)^m(1+\delta)^m([3]_q[4]_q\zeta + ([4]_q - 1)([2]_q\zeta + ([2]_q - 1))^2([2]_q[3]_q\zeta + ([3]_q - 1)))} \\
&\quad + \frac{pc^2(4 - c^2)([2]_q\zeta(1 + [3]_q) + [2]_q + [3]_q - 2)}{2(1+3\delta)^m(1+\delta)^m([3]_q[4]_q\zeta + ([4]_q - 1)([2]_q\zeta + ([2]_q - 1))^2([2]_q[3]_q\zeta + ([3]_q - 1)))} \\
&\quad + \frac{c^4 + 2pc^2(4 - c^2) - p^2c^2(4 - c^2) + 2(4 - c^2)(1 - p^2)}{4(1+3\delta)^m(1+\delta)^m([3]_q[4]_q\zeta + ([4]_q - 1)([2]_q\zeta + ([2]_q - 1)))} \\
&\quad + \frac{c^4}{(1+2\delta)^{2m}([2]_q[3]_q\zeta + ([3]_q - 1))^2([2]_q\zeta + ([2]_q - 1))^2} \\
|a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| &\leq \frac{c^2(c^2 + p(4 - c^2))}{(1+2\delta)^{2m}([2]_q[3]_q\zeta + ([3]_q - 1))^2([2]_q\zeta + ([2]_q - 1))} \\
&\quad + \frac{c^4 + 2pc^2(4 - c^2) + p^2(4 - c^2)^2}{4(1+2\delta)^{2m}([2]_q[3]_q\zeta + ([3]_q - 1))^2} \\
&\leq G(p),
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

with $p = |x| \leq 1$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}
G'(p) &\leq \frac{c^2(4 - c^2)([2]_q\zeta(1 + [3]_q) + [2]_q + [3]_q - 2)}{2(1+3\delta)^m(1+\delta)^m([3]_q[4]_q\zeta + ([4]_q - 1)([2]_q\zeta + ([2]_q - 1))^2([2]_q[3]_q\zeta + ([3]_q - 1)))} \\
&\quad + \frac{2c^2(4 - c^2) - 2pc^2(4 - c^2) - 4(4 - c^2)p}{4(1+3\delta)^m(1+\delta)^m([3]_q[4]_q\zeta + ([4]_q - 1)([2]_q\zeta + ([2]_q - 1)))} \\
&\quad + \frac{c^2(4 - c^2)}{(1+2\delta)^{2m}([2]_q[3]_q\zeta + ([3]_q - 1))^2([2]_q\zeta + ([2]_q - 1))} \\
&\quad + \frac{2c^2(4 - c^2) + 2p(4 - c^2)^2}{4(1+2\delta)^{2m}([2]_q[3]_q\zeta + ([3]_q - 1))^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

By elementary calculations, we can show that $G'(p) \geq 0$ for $p > 0$, which implies that G is an increasing function and thus the upper bound for (17) corresponds to $p = 1$ & $c = 0$, we have (10).

Theorem 2 Let $F(z) \in S_q^m(\delta, \zeta)$ then,

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{2}{(1+2\delta)^m([2]_q[3]_q\zeta + ([3]_q - 1))} \max \left\{ 1; \left| 1 + \frac{2}{([2]_q\zeta + ([2]_q - 1))} \left(1 - \frac{(1+2\delta)^m([2]_q[3]_q\zeta + ([3]_q - 1))}{(1+\delta)^{2m}([2]_q\zeta + ([2]_q - 1))} \mu \right) \right| \right\}. \quad (21)$$

Proof. Since if $F(z) \in S_q^m(\delta, \zeta)$, then a_2 and a_3 are given by (14) and (15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_3 - \mu a_2^2 &= \frac{c_2}{(1+2\delta)^m([2]_q[3]_q\zeta + ([3]_q - 1))} \\ &\quad + \frac{c_1^2}{(1+2\delta)^m([2]_q\zeta + ([2]_q - 1))([2]_q[3]_q\zeta + ([3]_q - 1))} \\ &\quad - \mu \frac{c_1^2}{(1+\delta)^{2m}([2]_q\zeta + ([2]_q - 1))^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Therefore,

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| = \left| \frac{1}{(1+2\delta)^m([2]_q[3]_q\zeta + ([3]_q - 1))} \{c_2 - \nu c_1^2\} \right|, \quad (23)$$

where

$$\nu = \frac{1}{([2]_q\zeta + ([2]_q - 1))} \left[\frac{(1+2\delta)^m([2]_q[3]_q\zeta + ([3]_q - 1))}{(1+\delta)^{2m}([2]_q\zeta + ([2]_q - 1))} \mu - 1 \right]. \quad (24)$$

Our result now follows by an application of Lemma 3.

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

Remarks

- (i) Letting $q \rightarrow 1-$ in Theorem 1, we have the results obtained by [18];
- (ii) For different values δ and ζ , we obtain results for the classes mentioned in the introduction.

3 Open Problem

The authors suggest to find upper bounds for class

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z \Delta_q(\mathbb{R}_q^\zeta f(z))}{\mathbb{R}_q^\zeta f(z)} + \zeta \frac{z^2 \Delta_q(\Delta_q \mathbb{R}_q^\zeta f(z))}{\mathbb{R}_q^\zeta f(z)} \right\} > 0, \quad (25)$$

where

$$\mathbb{R}_q^\varkappa f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{[k + \varkappa - 1]_q!}{[\varkappa]_q! [k - 1]_q!} a_k z^k, \quad (26)$$

is the q -analogue of Ruscheweyh operator.

Acknowledgement. The authors thanks the referees of the paper for their valuable comments.

References

- [1] F. M. Al-Oboudi, On univalent functions defined by a generalized Sălăgean operator, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 27 (2004), 1429–1436.
- [2] M. H. Annby and Z. S. Mansour, q -Fractional Calculus Equations, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Vol. 2056. Springer, Berlin, 2012.
- [3] M. K. Aouf, H. E. Darwish and G. S. Sălăgean, On a generalization of starlike functions with negative coefficients, *Math. Tome*, 43 66(1) (2001), 3–10.
- [4] M. K. Aouf and A. O. Mostafa, Subordination results for analytic functions associated with fractional q -calculus operators with complex order, *Afr. Mat.*, 31 (2020), 1387–1396.
- [5] M. K. Aouf and A. O. Mostafa, Some subordinating results for classes of functions defined by Sălăgean type q -derivative operator, *Filomat*, 34 (2020), no. 7, 2283–2292.
- [6] M. K. Aouf, A. O. Mostafa and F. Y. AL-Quhali, Properties for class of β - uniformly univalent functions defined by type q -difference operator, *Int. J. Open Probl. Complex Anal.*, 11(2019), no. 2, 1–16.
- [7] A. Aral, V. Gupta and R. P. Agarwal, *Applications of q -Calculus in Operator Theory*, Springer, New York, 2013.
- [8] P. L. Duren, *Univalent Functions*. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften. New York: Springer, 1983.
- [9] R. Ehrenborg, The Hankel determinant of exponential polynomials, *American Mathematical Monthly*, 107 (2000), 557–560.
- [10] M. Fekete and G. Szego, Eine Bemerkung über ungerade schlichte Funktionen, *J. London Math. Soc.*, 8 (1933), 85–89.

- [11] B. A. Frasin and G. Murugusundaramoorthy, A subordination results for a class of analytic functions defined by q -differential operator, *Ann. Univ. Paedagog. Crac. Stud. Math.*, 19 (2020), 53-64.
- [12] F. H. Jackson, On q -functions and a certain difference operator, *Trans. R. Soc. Edinb.*, 46 (1908), 253-281.
- [13] J. W. Layman, The Hankel transform and some of its properties, *J. of integer sequences*, 4 (2001), 1-11.
- [14] R. J. Libera and E. J. Zlotkiewicz, Coefficient bounds for the inverse of a function with derivative in P , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 87 (1983) no. 2, 251- 257.
- [15] W. C. Ma and D. Minda, A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions, in *Proceedings of the Conference on Complex Analysis*, Internat. Press, Cambridge, Ma (Tianjin, 1992), 157-169.
- [16] J. W. Noonan and D. K. Thomas, On the second Hankel Determinant of a really mean p -valent functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 223(1976),no.2, 337-346.
- [17] K. I. Noor, Hankel determinant problem for the class of functions with bounded boundary rotation, *Rev. Roum. Math. Pures Et Appl.*, 28(1983), no. 8, 732-739.
- [18] S. M. Patile and S. M. Khairnar, Second Hankel determinant for certain classes of analytic functions, *Internat. J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, 12 (2017), no. 3, 675-680.
- [19] Ch. Pommerenke, *Univalent function*, Vandenhoeck and Ruprecht, Göttingen, 1975.
- [20] G. Sălăgean, Subclasses of univalent functions, *Lecture note in Math.*, Springer-Verlag, 1013(1983), 362-372.
- [21] T. M. Seoudy and M. K. Aouf, Coefficient estimates of new classes of q -starlike and q -convex functions of complex order, *J. Math. Inequal.*, 10(2016), no.1, 135-145.
- [22] H. M. Srivastava, Operators of basic (or q -) calculus and fractional q -calculus and their applications in geometric function theory of complex analysis, *Iran. J. Sci. Technol. Trans. Sci.*, 44(2020), 327-344.

- [23] H. M. Srivastava, A. O. Mostafa, M. K. Aouf and H. M. Zayed, Basic and fractional q -calculus and associated Fekete–Szego problem for p -valently q -starlike functions and p -valently q -convex functions of complex order, *Miskolc Math. Notes*, 20 (2019), no. 1, 489–509.