

Coefficient Bounds for a Class of Bi-Univalent Functions Defined by Chebyshev Polynomials

A. O. Mostafa and Z. M. Saleh

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, Mansoura, Egypt
e-mail:adelaeg254@yahoo.com

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, Mansoura, Egypt
e-mail:zeinabnsar2@gmail.com

Received 15 July 2021; Accepted 27 October 2021

Abstract

Using Chebyshev polynomials and q -differential operator, we define a new class of bi-univalent functions defined in the open unit disk. Initial coefficient bounds and Fekete-Szego inequalities for this functions class are obtained.

Keywords: *Analytic functions, bi-univalent functions, bi-starlike functions, coefficient bounds, Chebyshev polynomial, Fekete-Szego inequality, subordination, q -differential operator.*

Mathematics Subject Classification 2020: 30C45.

1 Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} be the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad (1.1)$$

defined in $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ and $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{A}$ consisting of univalent functions in \mathbb{D} . For every $f \in \mathcal{S} \exists$ an inverse function f^{-1} which is defined in some neighbourhood of the origin satisfying

$$f^{-1}(f(z)) = z, \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}),$$

and

$$f^{-1}(f(\omega)) = \omega, \quad (|\omega| < r_0(f); r_0(f) \geq \frac{1}{4}),$$

where

$$f^{-1}(\omega) = \omega - a_2\omega^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3)\omega^3 + \dots \quad (1.2)$$

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is called bi-univalent in \mathbb{D} if both f and f^{-1} are univalent in \mathbb{D} . Denote the class of bi-univalent functions by σ .

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in the class $C_{\Re}(\alpha)$ of close-to-convex of order α ([21]), if there exist a function $\Re \in S^*$ such that

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} \right\} > \alpha, \quad (0 \leq \alpha < 1; z \in \mathbb{D}), \quad (1.3)$$

where S^* the class of starlike functions (see [18] and [4]).

It is known that the calculus without the notion of limits is called q -calculus which has influenced many scientific fields due to its important applications. The generalization of derivative in q -calculus that is q -derivative was defined and studied by Jackson [20]. He defined the q -difference (derivative) operator ∇_q for $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $0 < q < 1$, by (see also [3], [5–7], [10], [17], [25–27]);

$$\nabla_q f(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(z) - f(qz)}{(1-q)z} & , z \neq 0 \\ f'(0) & , z = 0 \end{cases},$$

that is

$$\nabla_q f(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q a_k z^{k-1}, \quad (1.4)$$

where

$$[j]_q = \frac{1 - q^j}{1 - q}, \quad [0]_q = 0. \quad (1.5)$$

As $q \rightarrow 1^-$, $[j]_q = j$ and $\nabla_q f(z) = f'(z)$.

The orthogonal polynomials are important for the contemporary mathematics. These polynomials play an essential role of complex functions theory and it occur in the theory of differential and integral equations (see [11, 14]).

A special case of orthogonal polynomials are Chebyshev polynomials.

The significance of Chebyshev polynomial in numerical analysis is increased in both theoretical and practical points of view. For a brief history of Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind $U_k(\iota)$ and applications one can refer [13, 15, 22]. The Chebyshev polynomials of the its second kinds is well known and they defined by

$$U_k(\iota) = \frac{\sin(k+1)\theta}{\sin\theta} \quad (-1 < \iota < 1), \quad (1.6)$$

where k denotes the polynomial degree and $\iota = \cos\theta$.

We note that if $\iota = \cos \alpha$, where $\alpha \in (-\pi/3, \pi/3)$, then

$$\phi(z, \iota) = \frac{1}{1 - 2 \cos \alpha z + z^2} = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(k+1)\alpha}{\sin \alpha} z^k.$$

Thus

$$\phi(z, \iota) = 1 + 2 \cos \alpha z + (3 \cos^2 \alpha - \sin^2 \alpha) z^2 + \dots$$

From [29], we can write

$$\phi(z, \iota) = 1 + U_1(\iota)z + U_2(\iota)z^2 + \dots \quad (\iota \in (-1, 1)),$$

where

$$U_{k-1} = \frac{\sin(k \cos^{-1} \iota)}{\sqrt{1 - \iota^2}} \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}),$$

are the Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind,

$$U_k(\iota) = 2\iota U_{k-1}(\iota) - U_{k-2}(\iota),$$

and

$$U_1(\iota) = 2\iota, \quad U_2(\iota) = 4\iota^2 - 1, \quad U_3(\iota) = 8\iota^3 - 4\iota, \quad U_4(\iota) = 16\iota^4 - 12\iota^2 + 1, \dots \quad (1.7)$$

Now for $f \in \mathcal{A}$, we define q -differential operator $\mathcal{D}_{\delta, \mu, q}^{\zeta}$ for $\delta \geq \mu \geq 0$, $0 < q < 1$, by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{\delta, \mu, q}^0 f(z) &= f(z), \\ \mathcal{D}_{\delta, \mu, q}^1 f(z) &= \mathcal{D}_{\delta, \mu, q} f(z) = (1 - \mu)^\delta f(z) + (1 - (1 - \mu)^\delta) z \nabla_q f(z), \\ \mathcal{D}_{\delta, \mu, q}^2 f(z) &= \mathcal{D}_{\delta, \mu, q}(\mathcal{D}_{\delta, \mu, q} f(z)), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{D}_{\delta, \mu, q}^{\zeta} f(z) = \mathcal{D}_{\delta, \mu, q}(\mathcal{D}_{\delta, \mu, q}^{\zeta-1} f(z)), \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}.$$

For $f(z)$ given by (1.1), we have

$$\mathcal{D}_{\delta, \mu, q}^{\zeta} f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [1 + ([k]_q - 1) c_j^{\delta}(\mu)]^{\zeta} a_k z^k, \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \quad (1.8)$$

where

$$c_j^{\delta}(\mu) = \sum_{j=1}^{\delta} \binom{\delta}{j} (-1)^{j+1} \mu^j. \quad (1.9)$$

Note that:

- (i) $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \mathcal{D}_{\delta, \mu, q}^{\zeta} f(z) = \mathcal{D}_{\delta, \mu}^{\zeta} f(z)$ (see Frasin [16]);
- (ii) $\mathcal{D}_{1, 1, q}^{\zeta} f(z) = \mathcal{D}_q^{\zeta} f(z)$ (see [19], [28] and [8]);
- (iii) $\mathcal{D}_{1, \mu, q}^{\zeta} f(z) = \mathcal{D}_{\mu, q}^{\zeta} f(z)$ (see Aouf et al. [9]);
- (iv) $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \mathcal{D}_{1, \mu, q}^{\zeta} f(z) = \mathcal{D}_{\mu}^{\zeta} f(z)$ (see Al-Oboudi [1]);
- (v) $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \mathcal{D}_{1, 1, q}^{\zeta} f(z) = \mathcal{D}^{\zeta} f(z)$ (see Sălăgean [24]).

Definition 1. ([12]) For f and g , analytic in \mathbb{D} , the function f is subordinate to g in \mathbb{D} written $f(z) \prec g(z)$, if there exists a Schwarz function $w(z)$, analytic in \mathbb{D} , with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$.

Definition 2. For $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, $\delta \geq \mu \geq 0$, $0 < q < 1$, $\zeta \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\iota \in (-1, 1)$, $f \in \sigma$ given by (1.1) for $z \in \mathbb{D}$, we say that $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\sigma,q}^{\zeta}(\delta, \mu, \lambda; \phi(z, \iota))$ if

$$(1 - \lambda) \frac{\mathcal{D}_{\delta,\mu,q}^{\zeta} f(z)}{z} + \lambda \nabla_q(\mathcal{D}_{\delta,\mu,q}^{\zeta} f(z)) \prec \phi(z, \iota), \quad (1.10)$$

and

$$(1 - \lambda) \frac{\mathcal{D}_{\delta,\mu,q}^{\zeta} \Re(\omega)}{\omega} + \lambda \nabla_q(\mathcal{D}_{\delta,\mu,q}^{\zeta} \Re(\omega)) \prec \phi(\omega, \iota), \quad (1.11)$$

$\Re(\omega) = f^{-1}(\omega)$ is defined by (1.2).

For $\lambda = 1$, $\mathcal{B}_{\sigma,q}^{\zeta}(\delta, \mu, \lambda; \phi(z, \iota))$ reduces to the following class.

Definition 3. A function $f \in \sigma$ given by (1.1), we say that $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\sigma,q}^{\zeta}(\delta, \mu; \phi(z, \iota))$ if

$$\nabla_q(\mathcal{D}_{\delta,\mu,q}^{\zeta} f(z)) \prec \phi(z, \iota),$$

and

$$\nabla_q(\mathcal{D}_{\delta,\mu,q}^{\zeta} \Re(\omega)) \prec \phi(\omega, \iota),$$

where $z, \omega \in \mathbb{D}$ and $\Re(\omega) = f^{-1}(\omega)$ is defined by (1.2).

Note that:

- (i) $\mathcal{B}_{\sigma,q}^{\zeta}(1, \mu, \lambda; \phi(z, \iota)) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} f(z) : (1 - \lambda) \frac{\mathcal{D}_{\delta,\mu,q}^{\zeta} f(z)}{z} + \lambda \nabla_q(\mathcal{D}_{\delta,\mu,q}^{\zeta} f(z)) \prec \phi(z, \iota) \\ \Re(\omega) : (1 - \lambda) \frac{\mathcal{D}_{\delta,\mu,q}^{\zeta} \Re(\omega)}{\omega} + \lambda \nabla_q(\mathcal{D}_{\delta,\mu,q}^{\zeta} \Re(\omega)) \prec \phi(\omega, \iota) \end{array} \right\};$
- (ii) $\mathcal{B}_{\sigma,q}^{\zeta}(1, 1, \lambda; \phi(z, \iota)) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} f(z) : (1 - \lambda) \frac{\mathcal{D}_q^{\zeta} f(z)}{z} + \lambda \nabla_q(\mathcal{D}_q^{\zeta} f(z)) \prec \phi(z, \iota) \\ \Re(\omega) : (1 - \lambda) \frac{\mathcal{D}_q^{\zeta} \Re(\omega)}{\omega} + \lambda \nabla_q(\mathcal{D}_q^{\zeta} \Re(\omega)) \prec \phi(\omega, \iota) \end{array} \right\};$
- (iii) $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \mathcal{B}_{\sigma,q}^{\zeta}(1, \mu, \lambda; \phi(z, \iota)) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} f(z) : (1 - \lambda) \frac{\mathcal{D}_{\mu}^{\zeta} f(z)}{z} + \lambda (\mathcal{D}_{\mu}^{\zeta} f(z))' \prec \phi(z, \iota) \\ \Re(\omega) : (1 - \lambda) \frac{\mathcal{D}_{\mu}^{\zeta} \Re(\omega)}{\omega} + \lambda (\mathcal{D}_{\mu}^{\zeta} \Re(\omega))' \prec \phi(\omega, \iota) \end{array} \right\};$
- (iv) $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \mathcal{B}_{\sigma,q}^{\zeta}(1, 1, \lambda; \phi(z, \iota)) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} f(z) : (1 - \lambda) \frac{\mathcal{D}^{\zeta} f(z)}{z} + \lambda (\mathcal{D}^{\zeta} f(z))' \prec \phi(z, \iota) \\ \Re(\omega) : (1 - \lambda) \frac{\mathcal{D}^{\zeta} \Re(\omega)}{\omega} + \lambda (\mathcal{D}^{\zeta} \Re(\omega))' \prec \phi(\omega, \iota) \end{array} \right\};$
- (v) $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \mathcal{B}_{\sigma,q}^0(\delta, \mu, \lambda; \phi(z, \iota)) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} f(z) : (1 - \lambda) \frac{f(z)}{z} + \lambda f'(z) \prec \phi(z, \iota) \\ \Re(\omega) : (1 - \lambda) \frac{\Re(\omega)}{\omega} + \lambda g'(\omega) \prec \phi(\omega, \iota) \end{array} \right\}.$

In the following obtain coefficient bounds for the function class $\mathcal{B}_{\sigma,q}^{\zeta}(\delta, \mu, \lambda; \phi(z, \iota))$ and some of its special classes.

2 Main Results

Unless indicated, we assume that $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, $\delta \geq \mu \geq 0$, $0 < q < 1$, $\zeta \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\iota \in (-1, 1)$ and $f(z) \in \sigma$ given by (1.1).

Theorem 2.1. *Let $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\sigma,q}^\zeta(\delta, \mu, \lambda; \phi(z, \iota))$ and $\iota \in (0, 1)$. Then*

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{2\iota\sqrt{2\iota}}{\sqrt{\left| \left\{ [1 + ([3]_q - 1) c_j^\delta(\mu)]^\zeta [1 + \lambda([3]_q - 1)] - [1 + qc_j^\delta(\mu)]^{2\zeta} (1 + \lambda q)^2 \right\} 4\iota^2 + [1 + qc_j^\delta(\mu)]^{2\zeta} (1 + \lambda q)^2 \right|}}, \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{4\iota^2}{[1 + qc_j^\delta(\mu)]^{2\zeta} (1 + \lambda q)^2} + \frac{2\iota}{[1 + ([3]_q - 1) c_j^\delta(\mu)]^\zeta [1 + \lambda([3]_q - 1)]}, \quad (2.2)$$

where $\iota \neq 1/\sqrt{2}$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{B}_{\sigma,q}^\zeta(\delta, \mu, \lambda; \phi(z, \iota))$ and $\mathfrak{R} = f^{-1}$. Considering (1.10) and (1.11), we have

$$(1 - \lambda) \frac{\mathcal{D}_{\delta,\mu,q}^\zeta f(z)}{z} + \lambda \nabla_q(\mathcal{D}_{\delta,\mu,q}^\zeta f(z)) = \phi(z, \iota), \quad (2.3)$$

$$(1 - \lambda) \frac{\mathcal{D}_{\delta,\mu,q}^\zeta \mathfrak{R}(\omega)}{\omega} + \lambda \nabla_q(\mathcal{D}_{\delta,\mu,q}^\zeta \mathfrak{R}(\omega)) = \phi(\omega, \iota), \quad (2.4)$$

for some analytic functions

$$p(z) = c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + c_3 z^3 + \dots \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}), \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$q(\omega) = d_1 \omega + d_2 \omega^2 + d_3 \omega^3 + \dots \quad (\omega \in \mathbb{D}), \quad (2.6)$$

such that $|p(z)| < 1$ ($z \in \mathbb{D}$) and $|q(\omega)| < 1$ ($\omega \in \mathbb{D}$), hence

$$|c_j| \leq 1 \text{ and } |d_j| \leq 1 \text{ for all } j \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.7)$$

From (2.3), (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6), we have

$$(1 - \lambda) \frac{\mathcal{D}_{\delta,\mu,q}^\zeta f(z)}{z} + \lambda \nabla_q(\mathcal{D}_{\delta,\mu,q}^\zeta f(z)) = 1 + U_1(\iota) c_1 z + [U_1(\iota) c_2 + U_2(\iota) c_1^2] z^2 + \dots \quad (2.8)$$

and

$$(1 - \lambda) \frac{\mathcal{D}_{\delta,\mu,q}^\zeta \mathfrak{R}(\omega)}{\omega} + \lambda \nabla_q(\mathcal{D}_{\delta,\mu,q}^\zeta \mathfrak{R}(\omega)) = 1 + U_1(\iota) d_1 \omega + [U_1(\iota) d_2 + U_2(\iota) d_1^2] \omega^2 + \dots \quad (2.9)$$

Equating the coefficients in (2.8) and (2.9), we get

$$[1 + qc_j^\delta(\mu)]^\zeta (1 + \lambda q) a_2 = U_1(\iota) c_1, \quad (2.10)$$

$$[1 + ([3]_q - 1) c_j^\delta(\mu)]^\zeta [1 + \lambda([3]_q - 1)] a_3 = U_1(\iota) c_2 + U_2(\iota) c_1^2, \quad (2.11)$$

$$- [1 + qc_j^\delta(\mu)]^\zeta (1 + \lambda q) a_2 = U_1(\iota) d_1, \quad (2.12)$$

and

$$[1 + ([3]_q - 1) c_j^\delta(\mu)]^\zeta [1 + \lambda([3]_q - 1)] (2a_2^2 - a_3) = U_1(\iota) d_2 + U_2(\iota) d_1^2. \quad (2.13)$$

From (2.10) and (2.12), we obtain

$$c_1 = -d_1, \quad (2.14)$$

and

$$2 [1 + qc_j^\delta(\mu)]^{2\zeta} (1 + \lambda q)^2 a_2^2 = U_1^2(\iota) (c_1^2 + d_1^2). \quad (2.15)$$

Also, by using (2.11) and (2.13), we obtain

$$2 [1 + ([3]_q - 1) c_j^\delta(\mu)]^\zeta [1 + \lambda([3]_q - 1)] a_2^2 = U_1(\iota) (c_2 + d_2) + U_2(\iota) (c_1^2 + d_1^2). \quad (2.16)$$

By using (2.15) in (2.16), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ 2 [1 + ([3]_q - 1) c_j^\delta(\mu)]^\zeta [1 + \lambda([3]_q - 1)] - \frac{2U_2(\iota)}{U_1^2(\iota)} [1 + qc_j^\delta(\mu)]^{2\zeta} (1 + \lambda q)^2 \right\} a_2^2 \\ &= U_1(\iota) (c_2 + d_2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

From (1.7), (2.7) and (2.17), we have (2.1).

Next, by subtracting (2.13) from (2.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 [1 + ([3]_q - 1) c_j^\delta(\mu)]^\zeta [1 + \lambda([3]_q - 1)] a_3 - 2 [1 + ([3]_q - 1) c_j^\delta(\mu)]^\zeta [1 + \lambda([3]_q - 1)] a_2^2 \\ &= U_1(\iota) (c_2 - d_2) + U_2(\iota) (c_1^2 - d_1^2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

Further, in view of (2.14), we obtain

$$a_3 = a_2^2 + \frac{U_1(\iota)}{2 [1 + ([3]_q - 1) c_j^\delta(\mu)]^\zeta [1 + \lambda([3]_q - 1)]} (c_2 - d_2). \quad (2.19)$$

Hence using (2.15) and applying (1.7), we get (2.2).

Theorem 2.2. *Let $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\sigma, q}^\zeta(\delta, \mu, \lambda; \phi(z, \iota))$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$. Then*

$$|a_3 - \eta a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{2\iota}{[1 + ([3]_q - 1) c_j^\delta(\mu)]^\zeta [1 + \lambda([3]_q - 1)]} & , 0 \leq |h(\eta)| \leq \frac{1}{2 [1 + ([3]_q - 1) c_j^\delta(\mu)]^\zeta [1 + \lambda([3]_q - 1)]} \\ 4\iota |h(\eta)| & , |h(\eta)| \geq \frac{1}{2 [1 + ([3]_q - 1) c_j^\delta(\mu)]^\zeta [1 + \lambda([3]_q - 1)]} \end{cases}. \quad (2.20)$$

Proof. —By using (2.17) and (2.19) for some $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_3 - \eta a_2^2 &= (1 - \eta) \left\{ \frac{U_1^3(\iota)(c_2 + d_2)}{2 \left\{ \begin{aligned} &[1 + ([3]_q - 1) c_j^\delta(\mu)]^\zeta [1 + \lambda([3]_q - 1)] U_1^2(\iota) \\ &- [1 + q c_j^\delta(\mu)]^{2\zeta} (1 + \lambda q)^2 U_2(\iota) \end{aligned} \right\}} \right\} \\
 &\quad + \frac{U_1(\iota)(c_2 - d_2)}{2 [1 + ([3]_q - 1) c_j^\delta(\mu)]^\zeta [1 + \lambda([3]_q - 1)]} \\
 &= U_1(\iota) \left[\begin{aligned} &\left(h(\eta) + \frac{1}{2[1 + ([3]_q - 1) c_j^\delta(\mu)]^\zeta [1 + \lambda([3]_q - 1)]} \right) c_2 \\ &+ \left(h(\eta) - \frac{1}{2[1 + ([3]_q - 1) c_j^\delta(\mu)]^\zeta [1 + \lambda([3]_q - 1)]} \right) d_2 \end{aligned} \right], \quad (2.21)
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$h(\eta) = \frac{U_1^2(\iota)(1 - \eta)}{2 \left\{ [1 + ([3]_q - 1) c_j^\delta(\mu)]^\zeta [1 + \lambda([3]_q - 1)] U_1^2(\iota) - [1 + q c_j^\delta(\mu)]^{2\zeta} (1 + \lambda q)^2 U_2(\iota) \right\}}. \quad (2.22)$$

So, we conclude (2.20).

For $\eta = 1$, we have

Corollary 2.1. *If $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\sigma,q}^\zeta(\delta, \mu, \lambda; \phi(z, \iota))$, then*

$$|a_3 - a_2^2| \leq \frac{2\iota}{[1 + ([3]_q - 1) c_j^\delta(\mu)]^\zeta [1 + \lambda([3]_q - 1)]}. \quad (2.23)$$

Corollary 2.2. *If $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\sigma,q}^\zeta(\delta, \mu; \phi(z, \iota))$, then*

$$|a_3 - \eta a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{2\iota}{[3]_q [1 + ([3]_q - 1) c_j^\delta(\mu)]^\zeta}, & 0 \leq |h(\eta)| \leq \frac{1}{2[3]_q [1 + ([3]_q - 1) c_j^\delta(\mu)]^\zeta} \\ \frac{4\iota |h(\eta)|}{1}, & |h(\eta)| \geq \frac{1}{2[3]_q [1 + ([3]_q - 1) c_j^\delta(\mu)]^\zeta} \end{cases}, \quad (2.24)$$

where

$$h(\eta) = \frac{U_1^2(\iota)(1 - \eta)}{2 \left\{ [3]_q [1 + ([3]_q - 1) c_j^\delta(\mu)]^\zeta U_1^2(\iota) - [1 + q c_j^\delta(\mu)]^{2\zeta} (1 + q)^2 U_2(\iota) \right\}}. \quad (2.25)$$

Corollary 2.3. *If $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\sigma,q}^0(\delta, \mu; \phi(z, \iota))$, then*

$$|a_3 - \eta a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{2\iota}{[1 + \lambda([3]_q - 1)]}, & 0 \leq |h(\eta)| \leq \frac{1}{2[1 + \lambda([3]_q - 1)]} \\ \frac{4\iota |h(\eta)|}{1}, & |h(\eta)| \geq \frac{1}{2[1 + \lambda([3]_q - 1)]} \end{cases}, \quad (2.26)$$

where

$$h(\eta) = \frac{U_1^2(\iota)(1 - \eta)}{2 \left\{ [1 + \lambda([3]_q - 1)] U_1^2(\iota) - (1 + \lambda q)^2 U_2(\iota) \right\}}. \quad (2.27)$$

3 Open Problem

The authors suggest finding the hankel determinant for the class

$$\Re \left\{ (1 - \lambda) \frac{\mathcal{D}_{\delta, \mu, q}^{\zeta} f(z)}{z} + \lambda \nabla_q (\mathcal{D}_{\delta, \mu, q}^{\zeta} f(z)) \right\} > 0, \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}_{\delta, \mu, q}^{\zeta} f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [1 + ([k]_q - 1) c_j^{\delta}(\mu)]^{\zeta} a_k z^k, \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \quad (3.2)$$

$$c_j^{\delta}(\mu) = \sum_{j=1}^{\delta} \binom{\delta}{j} (-1)^{j+1} \mu^j. \quad (3.3)$$

is the q -differential operator in [16]. Also, further investigation of other classes of orthogonal polynomials are suggested (see [2]).

4 Conclusion

By means of Chebyshev polynomials and q - differential operator in [16], in this paper, we defined a class of bi-univalent functions and its special classes and obtained coefficient bounds for it.

Acknowledgement. The authors wishes to thank Prof. Dr. M. K. Aouf for his kind encouragement and help in the preparation of this paper.

References

- [1] F. M. Al-Oboudi, On univalent functions defined by a generalized Sălăgean operator, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 27 (2004), 1429–1436.
- [2] A. Amourah, A. AlAmoush, and M. AlKaseasbeh, Gegenbauer Polynomials and Bi-univalent Functions. *Palestine Journal of Mathematics*, 10(2)(2021)., 625–632.
- [3] M. H. Annby and Z. S. Mansour, q -Fractional Calculus Equations. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics.*, Vol. 2056, Springer, Berlin 2012.
- [4] M. K. Aouf, On a class of p -valent starlike functions of order α , *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 10(1987), no. 4, 733-744.

- [5] M. K. Aouf, H. E. Darwish and G. S. Sălăgean, On a generalization of starlike functions with negative coefficients, *Math. Tome* 43 66 (2001), no. 1, 3–10.
- [6] M. K. Aouf and A. O. Mostafa, Subordination results for analytic functions associated with fractional q -calculus operators with complex order, *Afr. Mat.*, 31 (2020), 1387–1396.
- [7] M. K. Aouf and A. O. Mostafa, Some subordinating results for classes of functions defined by Sălăgean type q -derivative operator, *Filomat.*, 34 (2020), no. 7, 2283–2292.
- [8] M. K. Aouf, A. O. Mostafa and F. Y. AL-Quhali, Properties for class of β - uniformly univalent functions defined by Sălăgean type q -difference operator, *Int. J. Open Probl. Complex Anal.*, 11 (2019), no. 2, 1–16.
- [9] M. K. Aouf, A. O. Mostafa and R. E. Elmorsy, Certain subclasses of analytic functions with varying arguments associated with q -difference operator, *Afr. Math.*, 32 (2021), 621-630.
- [10] A. Aral, V. Gupta and R. P. Agarwal, *Applications of q -Calculus in Operator Theory*, Springer, New York, 2013.
- [11] H. Bateman, *Higher Transcendental Functions*, McGraw-Hill, 1953.
- [12] T. Bulboacă, *Differential Subordinations and Superordinations, New Results*, Cluj-Napoca, House of Scientific Book Publ., 2005.
- [13] E. H. Doha, The first and second kind Chebyshev coefficients of the moments of the general order derivative of an infinitely differentiable function, *Int. J. Comput. Math.*, 51 (1994), 21–35.
- [14] B. Doman, *The classical orthogonal polynomials*, World Scientific, 2015.
- [15] J. Dziok, R. K. Raina and J. Sokól, Application of Chebyshev polynomials to classes of analytic functions, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci., Paris* 353 (2015), 433–438.
- [16] B. A. Frasin, A new differential operator of analytic functions involving binomial series, *Bol. Soc. Paran. Mat.*, 38 (5) (2020), 205–213.
- [17] B. A. Frasin and G. Murugusundaramoorthy, A subordination results for a class of analytic functions defined by q -differential operator, *Ann. Univ. Paedagog. Crac. Stud. Math.*, 19 (2020), 53-64.
- [18] A. W. Goodman, On uniformly starlike functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 155(1991), 364-370.

- [19] M. Govindaraj and S. Sivasubramanian, On a class of analytic function related to conic domains involving q -calculus, *Anal. Math.*, 43 (2017), no. 3, 475–487.
- [20] F. H. Jackson, On q -functions and a certain difference operator, *Trans. R. Soc. Edinb.*, 46 (1908), 253–281.
- [21] R. J. Libera, Some classes of regular univalent functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 16(1965), 755–758.
- [22] J. C. Mason, Chebyshev polynomial approximations for the L-membrane eigenvalue problem, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 15 (1967), 172–186.
- [23] S. Miller and S. Mocanu, *Differential Subordinations. Theory and Applications*, Series on Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Appl. Math., no. 255, New York, Marcel Dekker Inc., 2000, 480 p. DOI: 10.1201/9781482289817.
- [24] G. Sălăgean, Subclasses of univalent functions, *Lecture note in Math.*, Springer-Verlag., 1013(1983), 362–372.
- [25] T. M. Seoudy and M. K. Aouf, Coefficient estimates of new classes of q -starlike and q -convex functions of complex order, *J. Math. Inequal.*, 10 (2016), no. 1, 135–145.
- [26] H. M. Srivastava, Operators of basic (or q -) calculus and fractional q -calculus and their applications in geometric function theory of complex analysis, *Iran. J. Sci. Technol. Trans. Sci.*, 44(2020), 327–344.
- [27] H. M. Srivastava, A. O. Mostafa, M. K. Aouf and H. M. Zayed, Basic and fractional q -calculus and associated Fekete–Szego problem for p -valently q -starlike functions and p -valently q -convex functions of complex order, *Miskolc Math. Notes.*, 20 (2019), no. 1, 489–509.
- [28] K. Vijaya, M. Kasthuri and G. Murugusundaramoorthy, Coefficient bounds for subclasses of bi-univalent functions defined by the Sălăgean derivative operator, *Boletín de la Asociacion, Matematica Venezolana*, 21(2014), no. 2, 1-9.
- [29] T. Whittaker and G. N. Watson, *A course of modern analysis*, Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press, 1996.