

Univalence Criteria For Analytic Functions Defined By Differential Operator

K. Narender, D. Madhava Krishna and P. Thirupathi Reddy

Department of Mathematics, Government Junior College,
Bela- 504 001, Adilabad Dist, T.S. India.
e-mail: kallemnareshreddy1978@gmail.com

Department of Mathematics, Government Degree College(W) (N),
Asifabad- 504 295, Kumuram Bheem Dist, T.S. India.
e-mail: madhavadrishna@gmail.com

Department of Mathematics, School of Engineering,
NNRESGI - 500 088, Medichal Dist, Telangana, India.
e-mail: reddypt2@gmail.com

Received 21 May 2022; Accepted 28 June 2022

Abstract

In this paper we obtain sufficient condition for univalence of analytic functions defined by differential operator.

Keywords: *analytic, univalent, differential operator.*

2020 Mathematical Subject Classification: 30C45.

1 Introduction

Let A denote the class of functions f of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (1)$$

which are analytic in the open unit disc $E = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$.

Let S denote the subclass of A , which consists of functions of the form (1) that are univalent and normalized by the conditions $f(0) = 0$ and $f'(0) = 1$ in E .

In geometric function theory, the univalence of complex functions is an important property, but it is difficult, and in many cases impossible, to show directly that a certain complex function is univalent. For this reason, many authors found different types of sufficient conditions of univalence. One of the most important of these conditions of univalence in the domains E and the exterior of a closed unit disc is the well-known criterion of Becker [5]. Becker's work depends upon a clever use of the theory of Loewner chains and the generalized Loewner differential equation. Extensions of this criterion were given by Deniz and Orhan [8, 9, 10].

Let f be a function in the class A . We define the following differential operator introduced by Deniz and Ozkan [11].

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_\lambda^0 f(z) &= f(z) \\
 D_\lambda^1 f(z) &= D_\lambda f(z) = \lambda z^3 f'''(z) + (2\lambda + 1)z^2 f''(z) + z f'(z) \\
 D_\lambda^2 f(z) &= D_\lambda(D_\lambda^1 f(z)) \\
 &\vdots \\
 &\vdots \\
 &\vdots \\
 D_\lambda^m f(z) &= D_\lambda(D_\lambda^{m-1} f(z))
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda \geq 0$ and $m \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}$. If f is given by (1), then from the definition of the operator $D_\lambda^m f(z)$, it is to see that

$$D_\lambda^m f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \phi^m(\lambda, n) a_n z^n \tag{2}$$

where

$$\phi^m(\lambda, n) = n^{2m} [\lambda(n - 1) + 1]^m \tag{3}$$

Many differential operators studied by various authors can be seen in the literature (see [1, 2, 4, 6, 13]).

In this paper we derive sufficient conditions of univalence for the generalized operator $D_\lambda^m f(z)$. Also, a number of known univalent conditions would follow upon specialization the parameters involved. In order to prove our results we need the following Lemmas.

Lemma 1.1 [5] *Let $f \in A$. If for all $z \in E$*

$$(1 - |z|^2) \left| \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \leq 1 \tag{4}$$

then the function f is univalent in E .

Lemma 1.2 [14] Let $f \in A$. If for all $z \in E$

$$\left| \frac{z^2 f'(z)}{f^2(z)} - 1 \right| \leq 1 \quad (5)$$

then the function f is univalent in E .

Lemma 1.3 [18] Let μ be a real number $\mu > \frac{1}{2}$ and $f \in A$. If for all $z \in E$

$$(1 - |z|^{2\mu}) \left| \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} + 1 - \mu \right| \leq \mu \quad (6)$$

then the function f is univalent in E .

Lemma 1.4 [12] If $f \in S$ (the class of univalent functions) and

$$\frac{z}{f(z)} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n \quad (7)$$

then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n-1)|b_n|^2 \leq 1$.

Lemma 1.5 [15] Let $\nu \in C, \operatorname{Re}\{\nu\} \geq 0$ and $f \in A$. If for all $z \in E$

$$\frac{1-|z|^{2\operatorname{Re}(\nu)}}{\operatorname{Re}(\nu)} \left| \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \leq 1 \quad (8)$$

then the function

$$F_\nu(z) = \left(\nu \int_0^z u^{\nu-1} f'(u) du \right)^{\frac{1}{\nu}}$$

is univalent in E .

2 Main Results

In this section, we establish the sufficient conditions to obtain a univalence for analytic functions involving the differential operator.

Theorem 2.1 Let $f \in A$. If for all $z \in E$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi^m(\lambda, n) [n(2n-1)] |a_n| \leq 1 \quad (9)$$

then $D_\lambda^m f(z)$ is univalent in E .

Proof. Let $f \in A$. Then for all $z \in E$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - |z|^2) \left| \frac{z(D_\lambda^m f(z))''}{(D_\lambda^m f(z))'} \right| &\leq (1 + |z|^2) \left| \frac{z(D_\lambda^m f(z))''}{(D_\lambda^m f(z))'} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1)\phi^m(\lambda, n)|a_n|}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n\phi^m(\lambda, n)|a_n|} \end{aligned}$$

the last inequality is less than 1 if the assertion (9) is hold. Thus is view of Lemma 1.1, $D_\lambda^m f(z)$ is univalent in E .

Theorem 2.2 Let $f \in A$. If for all $z \in E$

$$\phi^m(\lambda, n)|a_n| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{7}} \quad (10)$$

then $D_\lambda^m f(z)$ is univalent in E .

Proof. Let $f \in A$. It sufficient to show that

$$\left| \frac{z^2(D_{\lambda\mu}^m f(z))'}{2(D_{\lambda\mu}^m f(z))^2} \right| \leq 1.$$

Now

$$\left| \frac{z^2(D_{\lambda\mu}^m f(z))'}{2(D_{\lambda\mu}^m f(z))^2} \right| \leq \frac{1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} nB_n(\lambda, \mu, m)|a_n|}{2(1 - 2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [B_n(\lambda, \mu, m)]^m |a_n| - (\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} B_n(\lambda, \mu, m)|a_n|^2)}.$$

The last inequality is less than 1 if the assertion (10) is hold. Thus in view of Lemma 1.2, $D_{\lambda\mu}^m f(z)$ is univalent in E .

Theorem 2.3 Let $f \in A$. If for all $z \in E$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n[2(n-1) + (2\mu-1)]\phi^m(\lambda, n)|a_n| \leq 2\mu-1, \quad \mu > \frac{1}{2} \quad (11)$$

then $D_\lambda^m f(z)$ is univalent in E .

Proof. Let $f \in A$. Then for all $z \in E$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - |z|^{2\mu}) \left| \frac{z(D_\lambda^m f(z))''}{(D_\lambda^m f(z))'} + 1 - \mu \right| &\leq (1 + |z|^2) \left| \frac{z(D_\lambda^m f(z))''}{(D_\lambda^m f(z))'} \right| + |1 - \mu| \\ &\leq \frac{2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \phi^m(\lambda, n)[n(n-1)]|a_n|}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n\phi^m(\lambda, n)|a_n|} + |1 - \mu| \end{aligned}$$

the last inequality is less than μ if the assertion (11) is hold. Thus is view of Lemma 1.3, $D_\lambda^m f(z)$ is univalent in E .

As applications of Theorems 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3, we have the following Theorem.

Theorem 2.4 *Let $f \in A$. If for all $z \in E$ one of the inequality (9-11) holds then*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n-1)|b_n|^2 \leq 1, \quad (12)$$

where $\frac{z}{D_\lambda^m f(z)} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n$.

Proof. Let $f \in A$. Then in view of Theorems 2.1, 2.2 or 2.3, $D_\lambda^m f(z)$ is univalent in E .

Hence by Lemma 1.4, we obtain the result.

Theorem 2.5 *Let $f \in A$. If for all $z \in E$*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n[2(n-1) + \operatorname{Re}(v)]\phi^m(\lambda, n)|a_n| \leq \operatorname{Re}(v), \operatorname{Re}(v) > 0 \quad (13)$$

then

$$G_v(z) = \left(v \int_0^z u^{v-1} [D_\lambda^m f(z)]' du \right)^{\frac{1}{v}}$$

is univalent in E .

Proof. Let $f \in A$. Then for all $z \in E$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1 - |z|^{2\operatorname{Re}(v)}}{\operatorname{Re}(v)} \left| \frac{z(D_\lambda^m f(z))''}{(D_\lambda^m f(z))'} \right| &\leq \frac{1 + |z|^{2\operatorname{Re}(v)}}{\operatorname{Re}(v)} \left| \frac{z(D_\lambda^m f(z))''}{(D_\lambda^m f(z))'} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1)\phi^m(\lambda, n)|a_n|}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n\phi^m(\lambda, n)|a_n|} \end{aligned}$$

the least inequality is less than 1 if the assertion (13) is hold. Thus is view of Lemma 1.5, $G_v(z)$ is univalent in E .

3 Open problems

One can define another class by using another linear operator or an integral operator the same way as in this paper and hence new results can be obtained.

References

- [1] M. H. Al-Abbadi and M. Darus, Differential Subordination defined by new generalised derivative operator for analytic functions, *Int. Jo. of Math. and Math. Sci.*, Volume 2010, Article ID 369078, 15 pages.
- [2] M. H. Al-Abbadi and M. Darus, Differential subordination for new generalised derivative operator, *Acta Univ. Apul.*, 20 (2009), 265-280.
- [3] F. M. Al-Oboudi, On univalent functions defined by a generalized Salagean operator, *Inter. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 27(2004), 1429-1436.
- [4] K. Al-Shaqsi and M. Darus, An operator defined by convolution involving the polylogarithms functions, *J. of Math. and Stat.*, 4(1) (2008), 46-50.
- [5] J. Becker, Lownersche differential Gleichung und quasi-konform fortsetzbare schlichte funktionen , *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 255 (1972), 23-43.
- [6] M. Darus and R. W. Ibrahim, On new classes of univalent harmonic functions defined by generalized differential operator, *Acta Univ. Apul.*, 18 (2009), 61-69.
- [7] M. Darus and R. W. Ibrahim, On subclasses for generalized operators of complex order, *Far East J. Math. Sci.*, 33(3) (2009), 299-308.
- [8] E. Deniz and H. Orhan, Univalence criterion for analytic functions, *Gen. Math.*, 4 (2009), 211-220 .
- [9] E. Deniz and H. Orhan, Univalence criterion for meromorphic functions and Loewner chains, *Appl. Math. Comp.*, 218(6) (2011), 751-755 .
- [10] E. Deniz, Sufficient conditions for univalence and quasi conformal extensions of meromorphic functions, *Georgian Math. J.*, 19(4) (2012), 639-653 .
- [11] E. Deniz and Y. Ozkan, Subclasses of analytic functions defined by new differential operator, *Acta. Uni. Apul.* (2014), 85-95.
- [12] A. W. Goodman, *Univalent Functions*, Vol. I, and II, Mariner, Tampa, Florida, 1983.
- [13] A. Ibrahim, S. Owa, M. Darus and Y. Nakamura, Generalization of Salagean operator for certain analytic functions, *Banach J. Math. Anal.*, 2(2) (2008), 16-22.
- [14] S. Ozaki, M. Nunokawa, The Schwarzian derivative and univalent functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 33(2) (1972), 392-394.

- [15] N. N. Pascu , On the univalence criterion of Becker, *Math. ClujNapoca*, 29(52) (2) (1987), 175-176.
- [16] D. Raducan and H. Orhan, Subclass of analytic function defined by a generalized differential operators, *Int. Journal of Math. Anal.*, 4(1) 2010, 1-15.
- [17] G. S. Salagean, Subclasses of univalent functions, *Lec. Notes in Math.*, 1013, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1983), 362-372.
- [18] H. Tudor, A sufficient condition for univalence, *General Math.*, 17(1) (2009), 89-94.