

Majorization For Class Of Meromorphic Functions Defined By An Integral Operator

M. A. Mowafy^{1,*}, A. O. Mostafa³ and S. M. Madian²

^{1,2}Math. Dept., Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, Mansoura 35516, Egypt
e-mail: mohamed1976224@gmail.com and adelaeg254@yahoo.com

³Basic Science Dept., Higher Institute for, Engineering and Technology, New Damietta, Egypt
e-mail: samar_math@yahoo.com

Received 18 February 2023; Accepted 24 April 2023

Abstract

In this paper, we investigate majorization properties for the class $M_{\mu,j}^m(\alpha, \gamma; A, B)$ of meromorphic functions and the class $M_{\mu,j}^m(t, \lambda)$ of spiral-like functions. Also, some special cases of our main results in a form of corollaries are shown.

Keywords: Meromorphic function, Subordination, Convolution, Integral operator and Majorization result.

2020 Mathematical Subject Classification: 30C45, 30C50

1 Introduction

Let Σ be the class of meromorphic functions:

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic in $U^* = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C}, 0 < |z| < 1\} = U \setminus \{0\}$. For functions $f(z) \in \Sigma$ given by (1.1) and $g(z) \in \Sigma$ given by

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k, \quad (1.2)$$

the Hadamard product (or convolution) is

$$(f * g)(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k = (g * f)(z). \quad (1.3)$$

Some authors were studied classes of meromorphic functions (see [2 – 6] and [8]).

The concept of majorization was introduced by MacGregor [13] as:

Definition 1.1. Let f and g analytic in U . We say that f is majorized by g in U and written as $f(z) \ll g(z)$, if there exist a function $\phi(z)$ analytic in U , satisfies

$$|\phi(z)| \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad f(z) = \phi(z)g(z). \quad (1.4)$$

Definition 1.2. We say that f is subordinate to g in U and written as $f(z) \prec g(z)$, if there exist a function $w(z)$ analytic in U , satisfies (see [7], [14]):

$$|w(z)| < 1, \quad w(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f(z) = g(w(z)). \quad (1.5)$$

Jung et al. [9] defined an integral operator

$$\begin{aligned} I^m f(z) &= \begin{cases} \frac{2^m}{z^{\Gamma(m)}} \int_0^z (\log \frac{z}{t})^{m-1} f(t) dt, & m > 0 \\ f(z), & m = 0 \end{cases} \\ &= z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2}{k+1} \right)^m a_k z^k, \quad m \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

and Lashin [10] modified their operator for meromorphic functions as follows:

Definition 1.3. For $f \in \Sigma$ given by (1.1), if $L_\mu^m : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} L_\mu^m f(z) &= L_\mu^m(z) * f(z) \\ &= \frac{\mu^m}{\Gamma(m) z^{\mu+1}} \int_0^z t^\mu \left(\log \left(\frac{z}{t} \right) \right)^{m-1} f(t) dt, \quad (\mu, m > 0), \\ &= \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\mu}{k + \mu + 1} \right)^m a_k z^k. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

From (1.6) we have,

$$z (L_\mu^m f(z))' = \mu L_\mu^{m-1} f(z) - (\mu + 1) L_\mu^m f(z), \quad (\mu, m \geq 1). \quad (1.7)$$

Definition 1.4. For $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1, \alpha \geq 0, j \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \left[\frac{(A-B)|\gamma|}{\mu(1-\alpha)} + |B| \right] < 1$. a function $f \in \Sigma$ is in the class $M_{\mu,j}^m(\alpha, \gamma; A, B)$ of meromorphic functions of complex order γ in U^* if and only if satisfies the subordination:

$$1 - \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{z (L_\mu^m f(z))^{j+1}}{(L_\mu^m f(z))^j} + j + 1 \right) - \alpha \left| -\frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{z (L_\mu^m f(z))^{j+1}}{(L_\mu^m f(z))^j} + j + 1 \right) \right| \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}. \quad (1.8)$$

In particular, for $A = 1$, $B = -1$ and $\alpha = 0$,

$$(i) \quad M_{\mu,j}^m(0, \gamma; 1, -1) = M_{\mu,j}^m(\gamma) = \left\{ f \in \Sigma : \operatorname{Re} \left[1 - \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{z (L_{\mu}^m f(z))^{j+1}}{(L_{\mu}^m f(z))^j} + j + 1 \right) \right] > 0 \right\}.$$

(ii) For $\gamma = (1-t) \cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda}$ [$|\lambda| \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ ($0 \leq t < 1$)], the class $M_{\mu,j}^m(0, (1-t) \cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda}, 1, -1) = M_{\mu,j}^m[(1-t) \cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda}] = M_{\mu,j}^m(t, \lambda)$, called the generalized class of meromorphic λ -spiral-like functions of order t ($0 \leq t < 1$) if (see [1] and [11])

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[e^{i\lambda} \left(\frac{z (L_{\mu}^m f(z))^{j+1}}{(L_{\mu}^m f(z))^j} + j \right) \right] < -t \cos \lambda.$$

2 Main results

Unless otherwise mentioned we shall assume that $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, $\alpha \geq 0$, $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\mu, m \geq 1$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}^*$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $f \in \Sigma$ and suppose that $g \in M_{\mu,j}^m(\alpha, \gamma; A, B)$. If $(L_{\mu}^m f(z))^j$ is majorized by $(L_{\mu}^m g(z))^j$ in U^* , then

$$\left| (L_{\mu}^{m-1} f(z))^j \right| \leq \left| (L_{\mu}^{m-1} g(z))^j \right|, \quad (|z| < r_0), \quad (2.1)$$

where $r_0 = r_0(m, \mu, \alpha, \gamma, A, B)$ is the smallest positive root of the equation

$$\mu \left[\frac{(A-B)|\gamma|}{\mu(1-\alpha)} + |B| \right] r^3 - [\mu + 2|B|] r^2 - \left\{ \mu \left[\frac{(A-B)|\gamma|}{\mu(1-\alpha)} + |B| \right] + 2 \right\} r + \mu = 0 \quad (2.2)$$

Proof. Since $g \in M_{\mu,j}^m(\alpha, \gamma; A, B)$, we have

$$1 - \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{z (L_{\mu}^m g(z))^{j+1}}{(L_{\mu}^m g(z))^j} + j + 1 \right) - \alpha \left| -\frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{z (L_{\mu}^m g(z))^{j+1}}{(L_{\mu}^m g(z))^j} + j + 1 \right) \right| = \frac{1 + Aw(z)}{1 + Bw(z)}, \quad (2.3)$$

where $w(z) = c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots$ is analytic and bounded function in U with

$$|w(z)| \leq 1, \quad w(0) = 0 \quad (z \in U). \quad (2.4)$$

Taking

$$\varpi = 1 - \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{z (L_{\mu}^m g(z))^{j+1}}{(L_{\mu}^m g(z))^j} + j + 1 \right), \quad (2.5)$$

in (2.3), we have

$$\varpi = \frac{1 + \left(\frac{A-B\alpha e^{-i\theta}}{1-\alpha e^{-i\theta}} \right) w(z)}{1 + Bw(z)}. \quad (2.6)$$

Using (2.6) in (2.5), we have

$$\frac{z (L_\mu^m g(z))^{j+1}}{(L_\mu^m g(z))^j} = - \frac{j + 1 + \left[\frac{(A-B)\gamma}{1-\alpha e^{-i\theta}} + (j+1)B \right] w(z)}{1 + Bw(z)}. \quad (2.7)$$

Applying of Leibnitz's theorem that is the rule which gives the derivative on j -th order of the product of two functions to (1.7), we have

$$z (L_\mu^m g(z))^{j+1} = \mu (L_\mu^{m-1} g(z))^j - (\mu + j + 1) (L_\mu^m g(z))^j \quad (j > 0). \quad (2.8)$$

By using (2.8) in (2.7) and making simple calculations, we have

$$(L_\mu^m g(z))^j = \frac{1 + Bw(z)}{1 - \left[\frac{(A-B)\gamma}{\mu(1-\alpha e^{-i\theta})} - B \right] w(z)} (L_\mu^{m-1} g(z))^j. \quad (2.9)$$

Since $|w(z)| \leq |z|$, ($z \in U^*$) (2.9) gives us

$$\left| (L_\mu^m g(z))^j \right| \leq \frac{1 + |B||z|}{1 - \left[\frac{(A-B)|\gamma|}{\mu(1-\alpha)} + |B| \right] |z|} \left| (L_\mu^{m-1} g(z))^j \right|. \quad (2.10)$$

Since $(L_\mu^m f(z))^j$ is majorized by $(L_\mu^m g(z))^j$ in U^* . So from (1.3), we have

$$(L_\mu^m f(z))^j = \phi(z) (L_\mu^m g(z))^j. \quad (2.11)$$

Differentiating (2.11) with respect to z and after simplifying, we have

$$(L_\mu^{m-1} f(z))^j = \frac{z}{\mu} \phi'(z) (L_\mu^m g(z))^j + \phi(z) (L_\mu^{m-1} g(z))^j. \quad (2.12)$$

On the other hand, noticing that the Schwarz function $\phi(z)$ satisfies(see [12]):

$$\left| \phi'(z) \right| \leq \frac{1 - |\phi(z)|^2}{1 - |z|^2} \quad (z \in U^-). \quad (2.13)$$

Using (2.10) and (2.13) in (2.12), we get

$$\left| (L_\mu^{m-1} f(z))^j \right| \leq \left\{ \phi(z) + \frac{|z| (1 - |\phi(z)|^2) (1 + |B||z|)}{\mu (1 - |z|^2) \left[1 - \left(\frac{(A-B)|\gamma|}{\mu(1-\alpha)} + |B| \right) |z| \right]} \right\} \left| (L_\mu^{m-1} g(z))^j \right|, \quad (2.14)$$

which, upon setting $|z| = r$ and $|\phi(z)| = \rho$ ($0 \leq \rho \leq 1$),

$$\left| (L_\mu^{m-1} f(z))^j \right| \leq \frac{\psi_1(\rho)}{\mu(1-r^2) \left[1 - \left(\frac{(A-B)|\gamma|}{\mu(1-\alpha)} + |B| \right) r \right]} \left| (L_\mu^{m-1} g(z))^j \right|, \quad (2.15)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_1(\rho) &= \rho\mu(1-r^2) \left[1 - \left(\frac{(A-B)|\gamma|}{\mu(1-\alpha)} - |B| \right) r \right] + r(1-\rho^2)(1+|B|r) \quad (2) \\ &= -r(1+|B|r)\rho^2 + \mu(1-r^2) \left[1 - \left(\frac{(A-B)|\gamma|}{\mu(1-\alpha)} + |B| \right) r \right] \rho + r(1+|B|r) \quad (3) \end{aligned}$$

takes its maximum value at $\rho = 1$ with $r = r_0(m, \mu, \alpha, \gamma, A, B)$, where r_0 is the smallest positive root of (2.2). Furthermore, if $0 \leq \delta \leq r_0(m, \mu, \alpha, \gamma, A, B)$, then the function $\varphi_1(\rho)$ defined by

$$\varphi_1(\rho) = -\delta(1+|B|\delta)\rho^2 + \mu(1-\delta^2) \left[1 - \left(\frac{(A-B)|\gamma|}{\mu(1-\alpha)} + |B| \right) \delta \right] \rho + \delta(1+|B|\delta)$$

is an increasing function on the interval $0 \leq \rho \leq 1$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1(\rho) &\leq \varphi_1(1) = \mu(1-\delta^2) \left[1 - \left(\frac{(A-B)|\gamma|}{\mu(1-\alpha)} + |B| \right) \delta \right]. \\ 0 &\leq \rho \leq 1; 0 \leq \delta \leq r_0(m, \mu, \alpha, \gamma, A, B) \end{aligned}$$

Then, setting $\rho = 1$ in (2.16) and use it in (2.15), we conclude that (2.1) holds true for $|z| \leq r_0(m, \mu, \alpha, \gamma, A, B)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1. \square

By letting $A = 1$ and $B = -1$ in Theorem 2.1, we obtain

Corollary 2.2. *Let $f \in \Sigma$ and $g \in M_{\mu,j}^m(\alpha; \gamma)$. If $(L_\mu^m f(z))^j$ is majorized by $(L_\mu^m g(z))^j$ in U^* , then*

$$\left| (L_\mu^{m-1} f(z))^j \right| \leq \left| (L_\mu^{m-1} g(z))^j \right|, \quad (|z| < r_1),$$

where $r_1 = r_1(m, \mu, \alpha, \gamma)$ is the smallest positive root of the equation,

$$\left[\frac{2|\gamma|}{1-\alpha} + \mu \right] r^3 - (\mu+2)r^2 - \left[\frac{2|\gamma|}{1-\alpha} + \mu + 2 \right] r + \mu = 0,$$

given by

$$r_1 = \frac{\eta_1 - \left[\eta_1^2 - \mu \left(\frac{2|\gamma|}{(1-\alpha)} + \mu \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left(\frac{2|\gamma|}{(1-\alpha)} + \mu \right)} \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_1 = \frac{|\gamma|}{1-\alpha} + \mu + 1.$$

Taking $\alpha = 0$ in Corollary 2.1, we state the following:

Corollary 2.3. Let $f \in \Sigma$ and $g \in M_{\mu,j}^m(\gamma)$. If $(L_\mu^m f(z))^j$ is majorized by $(L_\mu^m g(z))^j$ in U^* , then

$$\left| (L_\mu^{m-1} f(z))^j \right| \leq \left| (L_\mu^{m-1} g(z))^j \right|, \quad (|z| < r_2),$$

where $r_2 = r_2(m, \mu, \gamma)$ is the smallest positive root of the equation,

$$(2|\gamma| + \mu)r^3 - (\mu + 2)r^2 - (2|\gamma| + \mu + 2)r + \mu = 0,$$

given by

$$r_2 = \frac{\eta_2 - [\eta_2^2 - \mu(2|\gamma| + \mu)]^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2|\gamma| + \mu} \text{ and } \eta_2 = |\gamma| + \mu + 1.$$

Taking $\mu = 1, j = 0$ in Corollary 2.2, we get

Corollary 2.4. Let $f \in \Sigma$ and $g \in M^m(\gamma)$. If $L^m f(z)$ is majorized by $L^m g(z)$ in U^* , then

$$|L^{m-1} f(z)| \leq |L^{m-1} g(z)|, \quad (|z| < r_3),$$

where $r_3 = r_3(m, \gamma)$ is the smallest positive root of the equation,

$$(2|\gamma| + 1)r^3 - 3r^2 - (2|\gamma| + 3)r + 1 = 0,$$

given by

$$r_3 = \frac{\eta_3 - [\eta_3^2 - (2|\gamma| + 1)]^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2|\gamma| + 1} \text{ and } \eta_3 = |\gamma| + 2.$$

Taking $\gamma = (1-t) \cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda}$ [$|\lambda| \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ ($0 \leq t < 1$)] in Corollary 2.3, we get

Corollary 2.5. Let $f \in \Sigma$ and $g \in M^m(t, \lambda)$. If $L^m f(z)$ is majorized by $L^m g(z)$ in U^* , then

$$|L^{m-1} f(z)| \leq |L^{m-1} g(z)|, \quad (|z| < r_4),$$

where $r_4 = r_4(m, t, \lambda)$ is the smallest positive root of the equation,

$$(2|(1-t) \cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda}| + 1)r^3 - 3r^2 - (2|(1-t) \cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda}| + 3)r + 1 = 0,$$

given by

$$r_4 = \frac{\eta_4 - [\eta_4^2 - (2|(1-t) \cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda}| + 1)]^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2|(1-t) \cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda}| + 1} \text{ and } \eta_4 = |(1-t) \cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda}| + 2.$$

Taking $\lambda = 0$ in Corollary 2.4, we get

Corollary 2.6. Let $f \in \Sigma$ and $g \in M^m(t)$. If $L^m f(z)$ is majorized by $L^m g(z)$ in U^* , then

$$|L^{m-1} f(z)| \leq |L^{m-1} g(z)|, \quad (|z| < r_5),$$

where $r_5 = r_5(m, t)$ is the smallest positive root of the equation,

$$(3 - 2t)r^3 - 3r^2 - (5 - 2t)r + 1 = 0,$$

given by

$$r_5 = \frac{\eta_5 - [\eta_5^2 - (3 - 2t)]^{\frac{1}{2}}}{3 - 2t} \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_5 = 3 - t.$$

Taking $\gamma = 1$ in Corollary 2.3, we get

Corollary 2.7. Let $f \in \Sigma$ and $g \in M(m, 1)$. If $L^m f(z)$ is majorized by $L^m g(z)$ in U^* , then

$$|L^{m-1} f(z)| \leq |L^{m-1} g(z)|, \quad \left(|z| < \frac{3 - \sqrt{6}}{3} \right).$$

3 Conclusion

By using principle of subordination and a meromorphic integral operator, in this paper, we have defined class of meromorphic functions and obtained majorization results for this class and its subclasses.

4 Open Prolem

The authors suggest studying the same properties for the class of analytic function:

$$1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{z I^{\alpha+1} f(z)'}{I^{\alpha+1} f(z)} - 1 \right) - \eta \left| \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{z I^{\alpha+1} f(z)'}{I^{\alpha+1} f(z)} - 1 \right) \right| \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I^\alpha f(z) &= \frac{2^\alpha}{z \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^z \left(\log \frac{z}{t} \right)^{\alpha-1} f(t) dt \\ &= z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2}{k+1} \right)^\alpha a_k z^k, \quad \alpha \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and the operator $I^\alpha f(z)$ was introduce by Jung et al. [9].

5 Acknowledgements

(i) The authors wishes to thank Prof. Dr. M. K. Aouf for his kind encouragement and help in the preparation of this paper.

(ii) Also thanks the referees for their valuable comments and helpful suggest.

References

- [1] M. Al-Kaseasbeh, A. Alamoush, A. Amourah and A. Aljarah, Subclasses of spirallike functions involving convoluted differential operator, *Int. J. Open Problems Complex Analysis*, 12 (2020) no. 3, 19-31.
- [2] M. Al-Kaseasbeh and M. Darus, Concave meromorphic functions involving constructed operator, *Acta Universitatis Apulensis*, 52 (2017), 11-19.
- [3] M. Al-Kaseasbeh and M. Darus, Meromorphic functions involved constructed differential operator, *Janabha-Vijana Parishad of india*, 47 (2017), no. 1, 63-76.
- [4] M. K. Aouf, On certain class of meromorphic univalent functions with positive coefficients, *Rend. Mat. Appl.*, 11(1991), no. 2, 209-219.
- [5] M. K. Aouf, A. O. Mostafa and F. Y. Al-Quhali, Class of meromorphic univalent functions with positive coefficients associated with a generalized q -Sălăgean operator, *Journal of Taibah University for Science* 14, (no. 1) (2020), 1544-1553.
- [6] M. K. Aouf and T. M. Seoudy, Subordination and superordination of certain linear operator on meromorphic function, *Ann Univ Mariae Curie-Sklodowska Sec. A.*, 64 (1) (2010), 1-16.
- [7] T. Bulboacă, *Differential Subordinations and Superordinations*. House of Scientific Book Publ., ClujNapoca, New Results (2005).
- [8] B. A. Frasin and M. Darus, On certain meromorphic functions with positive coefficients, *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.*, 28 (2004), 615-623.
- [9] I. B. Jung, Y. C. Kim and H. M. Srivastava, The Hardy spaces of analytic functions associated with certain one parameter families of integral operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 176 (1), (1993), 138-147.
- [10] A. Y. Lashin, On certain subclasses of meromorphic functions associated with certain integral operators, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 59 (2010), no. 1, 524-531.

- [11] R. J. Libera, Univalent α -spiral functions, *Canad. J. Math.*, 19(1967), 449-456.
- [12] Z. Nehari, *Conformal Mapping*, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, Toronto, London, 1952.
- [13] T. H. MacGregor, Majorization by univalent functions, *Duke Math. J.*, 34 (1967), 95–102.
- [14] S. S. Miller and P.T. Mocanu, *Differential Subordination: Theory and Applications*, Series on Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 225, Marcel Dekker, New York and Basel, 2000.