

Certain Classes Of Analytic Functions with Varying Arguments Defined by Convolution

A. O. Mostafa, M. K. Aouf and O. M. Alguboori

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science
Mansoura University, Mansoura, Egypt
e-mail: adelaeg254@yahoo.com
e-mail: mkaouf127@yahoo.com
e-mail: omaralgubouri@yahoo.com

Received 1 March 2015; Accepted 5 May 2016

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce the new class $VCR(g, \lambda, A, B)$ of analytic functions with varying arguments in $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : z < |z|\}$ defined by convolution and determine various properties for functions in it.

Keywords: *Analytic functions, varying arguments, extreme points.*
2000 Mathematical Subject Classification: 30C45.

1 Introduction

Let S denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad (1)$$

which are analytic in $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. For functions $f(z)$ given by (1) and $g(z) \in S$ given by

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n, \quad (2)$$

the Hadamard product (or convolution) of $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n. \quad (3)$$

For $\lambda \geq 0$, $-1 \leq A < B \leq 1$, $0 < B \leq 1$, $f, g \in S$ and for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$, Mostafa et al. [8], defined the class $S(g, \lambda, A, B)$ such that

$$(1 - \lambda) \frac{(f * g)(z)}{z} + \lambda (f * g)'(z) \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}, \quad (4)$$

where \prec denotes subordination. For the same values of the above parameters, let $CR(g, \lambda, A, B)$ denotes the subclass of S satisfying

$$(f * g)'(z) + \lambda z (f * g)''(z) \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}. \quad (5)$$

From (4) and (5) we obtain

$$f(z) \in CR(g, \lambda, A, B) \iff z f'(z) \in S(g, \lambda, A, B). \quad (6)$$

For suitable choices of $g(z)$, λ , A and B , we obtain the following subclasses:

(1) Putting $g(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}$ or $b_n = 1$, in (5), the class $CR(\frac{z}{1-z}, \lambda, A, B)$ reduces to the class $R(\lambda, A, B)$ ($-1 \leq A < B \leq 1$, $0 < B \leq 1$, $\lambda \geq 0$)

(see Aouf et al. [3] and [4, with $\gamma = -1$]);

(2) Putting $g(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}$, $A = 2\beta - 1$ and $B = 1$, the class $CR(\frac{z}{1-z}, \lambda, 2\beta - 1, 1)$ reduces to the class $R(\lambda, \beta)$ ($0 \leq \beta < 1$, $\lambda \geq 0$) (see Altintas [2]).

Also,

(1) Putting $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1+l+\gamma(n-1)}{l+1} \right)^m z^n$ ($\gamma, l \geq 0$, $m \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$),

the class $CR \left(z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1+l+\gamma(n-1)}{l+1} \right)^m z^n, \lambda, A, B \right)$ reduces to

$$CR^*(\gamma, l, m, \lambda, A, B) = \left\{ f \in S : (I^m(\gamma, l)f(z))' + \lambda z (I^m(\gamma, l)f(z))'' \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \right\}, \quad (7)$$

where $I^m(\gamma, l)$ is the extended multiplier transformation (see [5]). We note that $I^m(\gamma, 0) = D_\gamma^m$ (see [1]) and $I^m(1, 0) = D^m$ (see [9]).

(2) For $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Gamma_n(\alpha_1) z^n$

$$\Gamma_n(\alpha_1) = \frac{(\alpha_1)_{n-1}, \dots, (\alpha_q)_{n-1}}{(\beta_1)_{n-1}, \dots, (\beta_s)_{n-1}} \quad (8)$$

$$(\alpha_i > 0, i = 1, \dots, q; \beta_j > 0, j = 1, \dots, s; q \leq s + 1; q, s \in \mathbb{N}_0), \quad (9)$$

$(\theta)_k$ is the Pochhammer symbol defined by

$$(\theta)_k = \frac{\Gamma(\theta + k)}{\Gamma(\theta)} = \begin{cases} 1 & (k = 0) \\ \theta(\theta + 1) \dots (\theta + k - 1) & (k \in \mathbb{N}) \end{cases}. \quad (10)$$

The class $CR(g, \lambda, A, B)$ reduces to the class $CR^{**}([\alpha_1], \lambda, A, B)$

$$(H_{q,s}(\alpha_1) f(z))' + \lambda z (H_{q,s}(\alpha_1) f(z))'' \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}, \quad (11)$$

where $H_{q,s}(\alpha_1)$ is the Dziok-Srivastava operator (see [6], and [7]).

Definition 1[10]. If $f(z) \in S$, then $f(z) \in V(\theta_n)$ if $\arg(a_n) = \theta_n$, $n \geq 2$. If furthermore there exists a real number β such that

$$\theta_n + (n - 1)\beta \equiv \pi \pmod{2\pi}, \quad (12)$$

then $f(z) \in V(\theta_n, \beta)$. The union of $V(\theta_n, \beta)$ taken over all possible sequences $\{\theta_n\}$ and all possible real numbers β is denoted by V .

Note that

- (1) $V(\theta_n + 2n\pi) = V(\theta_n)$, n is an integer;
- (2) $V(\pi, 0) = \{f(z) \in S : f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n\} = T$.

Let $VCR(g, \lambda, A, B) = CR(g, \lambda, A, B) \cap V$,

$V^*CR(\gamma, l, m, \lambda, A, B) = CR^*(\gamma, l, m, \lambda, A, B) \cap V$,

$V_{q,s}([\alpha_1], \lambda, A, B) = CR^{**}([\alpha_1], \lambda, A, B) \cap V$

and $VR(\lambda, \beta) = R(\lambda, \beta) \cap V$.

We note that:

- (1) $VCR\left(\frac{z}{1-z}, 0, 2\alpha - 1, 1\right) = C(\alpha)$ ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$) see [11];
- (2) $VCR\left(\frac{z}{1-z}, \lambda, A, B\right) = VR(\lambda, A, B)$ (see [3]).

2 Coefficient estimates

Unless otherwise mentioned, we assume in the remainder of this paper that, $b_n \geq 0$ ($n \geq 2$), $\lambda \geq 0$, $-1 \leq A < B \leq 1$, $0 < B \leq 1$, $g(z)$ is given by (2) and $z \in \mathbb{U}$.

Theorem 1 Suppose that $f(z)$ of the form (1). If

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n [1 + \lambda(n - 1)] (1 + B)b_n |a_n| \leq B - A, \quad (13)$$

then $f(z) \in CR(g, \lambda, A, B)$.

Proof. A function $f(z) \in CR(g, \lambda, A, B)$ if and only if there exists a function w , $|w(z)| \leq |z|$, such that

$$(f * g)'(z) + \lambda z(f * g)''(z) = \frac{1 + Aw(z)}{1 + Bw(z)}, \quad (14)$$

or, equivalently,

$$\left| \frac{(f * g)'(z) + \lambda z(f * g)''(z) - 1}{B[(f * g)'(z) + \lambda z(f * g)''(z)] - A} \right| < 1. \quad (15)$$

Thus, it is sufficient to prove that

$$|(f * g)'(z) + \lambda z(f * g)''(z) - 1| - |B[(f * g)'(z) + \lambda z(f * g)''(z)] - A| < 0. \quad (16)$$

Indeed, letting $|z| = r$ ($0 \leq r < 1$) we have

$$|(f * g)'(z) + \lambda z(f * g)''(z) - 1| - |B[(f * g)'(z) + \lambda z(f * g)''(z)] - A| \quad (17)$$

$$= \left| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n[1 + \lambda(n-1)] a_n b_n z^{n-1} \right| - \left| (B-A) + B \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(1 + \lambda(n-1)) a_n b_n z^{n-1} \right| \quad (18)$$

$$\leq \left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n[1 + \lambda(n-1)] b_n |a_n| r^{n-1} - (B-A) + B \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(1 + \lambda(n-1)) b_n |a_n| r^{n-1} \right) \quad (19)$$

$$< \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n[1 + \lambda(n-1)] (1+B) b_n |a_n| - (B-A) \leq 0, \quad (20)$$

by (13). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2 *The function $f(z) \in VCR(g, \lambda, A, B)$ if and only if*

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n[1 + \lambda(n-1)] (1+B) b_n |a_n| \leq (B-A). \quad (21)$$

Proof. In view of Theorem 1 we need only to show that each function $f(z)$ from the class $VCR(g, \lambda, A, B)$ satisfies the coefficient inequality (21). Let $f(z) \in VCR(g, \lambda, A, B)$. Then, by (15) and (1), we have

$$\left| \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n[1 + \lambda(n-1)] b_n a_n z^{n-1}}{(B-A) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} B[1 + \lambda(n-1)] b_n a_n z^{n-1}} \right| < 1. \quad (22)$$

Since $f(z) \in VCR(g, \lambda, A, B)$, $f(z)$ lies in the class $V(\theta_n, \beta)$ for some sequence $\{\theta_n\}$ and a real number β such that $\theta_n + (n-1)\beta \equiv \pi \pmod{2\pi}$, ($n \geq 2$). Setting $z = re^{i\beta}$ in the above inequality, we get

$$\left| \frac{-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n [1 + \lambda(n-1)] b_n a_n r^{n-1} e^{i[\theta_n + (n-1)\beta]}}{(B-A) - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} B [1 + \lambda(n-1)] b_n |a_n| r^{n-1} e^{i[\theta_n + (n-1)\beta]}} \right| < 1. \quad (23)$$

Since $\operatorname{Re}\{w(z)\} < |w(z)| < 1$, then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n [1 + \lambda(n-1)] b_n |a_n| r^{n-1}}{(B-A) - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} B [1 + \lambda(n-1)] b_n |a_n| r^{n-1}} \right\} < 1. \quad (24)$$

Hence

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n [1 + \lambda(n-1)] (1+B) b_n |a_n| r^{n-1} \leq (B-A), \quad (25)$$

which, upon letting $r \rightarrow 1^-$, readily yields the assertion (15).

Remark 1 We can obtain another proof of Theorem 2, by using (6) and [10, Theorem 2].

Corollary 1 Suppose that $f(z) \in VCR(g, \lambda, A, B)$, then

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{(B-A)}{n [1 + \lambda(n-1)] (1+B) b_n} (n \geq 2). \quad (26)$$

The result is sharp for

$$f(z) = z + \frac{(B-A)}{n [1 + \lambda(n-1)] (1+B) b_n} e^{i\theta_n} z^n (n \geq 2). \quad (27)$$

3 Distortion theorems

Theorem 3 Let $f(z) \in VCR(g, \lambda, A, B)$. Then

$$|z| - \frac{(B-A)}{2(1+\lambda)(1+B)b_2} |z|^2 \leq |f(z)| \leq |z| + \frac{(B-A)}{2(1+\lambda)(1+B)b_2} |z|^2, \quad (28)$$

provided $b_n \geq b_2 (n \geq 2)$. The result is sharp.

Proof. Since

$$\Phi(n) = n [1 + \lambda(n - 1)] (1 + B) b_n, \quad (29)$$

is an increasing function of n ($n \geq 2$), from Theorem 2, we have

$$2(1 + \lambda)(1 + B) b_2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n [1 + \lambda(n - 1)] (1 + B) b_n |a_n| \leq (B - A), \quad (30)$$

that is

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| \leq \frac{(B - A)}{2(1 + \lambda)(1 + B) b_2}. \quad (31)$$

Thus

$$|f(z)| = \left| z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \right| \leq |z| + |z|^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| \quad (32)$$

$$\leq |z| + \frac{(B - A)}{2(1 + \lambda)(1 + B) b_2} |z|^2. \quad (33)$$

Similarly, we get

$$|f(z)| \geq |z| - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| |z|^n \geq |z| - |z|^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| \quad (34)$$

$$\geq |z| - \frac{(B - A)}{2(1 + \lambda)(1 + B) b_2} |z|^2. \quad (35)$$

Finally the result is sharp for

$$f(z) = z + \frac{(B - A)}{2(1 + \lambda)(1 + B) b_2} e^{i\theta_2} z^2 \quad (36)$$

at $z = \pm |z| e^{-i\theta_2}$.

Corollary 2 *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 3, $f(z)$ is included in a disc with center at the origin and radius r_1 given by*

$$r_1 = 1 + \frac{(B - A)}{2(1 + \lambda)(1 + B) b_2}. \quad (37)$$

Theorem 4 . *Let $f(z) \in VCR(g, \lambda, A, B)$. Then*

$$1 - \frac{(B - A)}{(1 + \lambda)(1 + B) b_2} |z| \leq |f'(z)| \leq 1 + \frac{(B - A)}{(1 + \lambda)(1 + B) b_2} |z|. \quad (38)$$

The result is sharp.

Proof. Similarly $n\Phi(n)$ is an increasing function of $n(n \geq 2)$, where $\Phi(n)$ is defined by (29). In view of Theorem 2, we have

$$(1 + \lambda)(1 + B)b_2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n|a_n| \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n[1 + \lambda(n-1)](1 + B)b_n|a_n| \leq (B - A), \quad (39)$$

that is

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n|a_n| \leq \frac{(B - A)}{(1 + B)(1 + \lambda)b_2}. \quad (40)$$

Thus

$$|f'(z)| = \left| 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} na_n z^{n-1} \right| \leq 1 + |z| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n|a_n| \quad (41)$$

$$\leq 1 + \frac{(B - A)}{(1 + B)(1 + \lambda)b_2} |z|. \quad (42)$$

Similarly, we get

$$|f'(z)| \geq 1 + |z| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n|a_n| |z|^{n-1} \geq 1 - |z| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n|a_n| \quad (43)$$

$$\geq 1 - \frac{(B - A)}{(1 + B)(1 + \lambda)b_2} |z|. \quad (44)$$

Finally the result is sharp for the function $f(z)$ given by (36).

Corollary 3 *Let $f(z) \in VCR(g, \lambda, A, B)$. Then $f'(z)$ is included in a disc with center at the origin and radius r_2 given by*

$$r_2 = 1 + \frac{(B - A)}{(1 + B)(1 + \lambda)b_2}. \quad (45)$$

4 Extreme points

Theorem 5 *Suppose that $f(z) \in VCR(g, \lambda, A, B)$, with $\arg(a_n) = \theta_n$ where $\theta_n + (n-1)\beta \equiv \pi \pmod{2\pi}$. Let*

$$f_1(z) = z \quad (46)$$

and

$$f_n(z) = z + \frac{(B - A)}{n[1 + \lambda(n-1)](1 + B)b_n} e^{i\beta n} z^n \quad (n \geq 2). \quad (47)$$

Then $f(z)$ is in the class $VCR(g, \lambda, A, B)$, if and only if it can be expressed in the form

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n f_n(z), \quad (48)$$

where $\mu_n \geq 0$ ($n \geq 1$) and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n = 1$.

Proof. If $f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n f_n(z)$ with $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n = 1$ and $\mu_n \geq 0$, then

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n[1 + \lambda(n-1)](1+B)b_n \frac{(B-A)}{n[1 + \lambda(n-1)](1+B)b_n} \mu_n \quad (49)$$

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (B-A)\mu_n = (B-A)(1 - \mu_1) \leq (B-A). \quad (50)$$

Hence $f(z) \in VCR(g, \lambda, A, B)$.

Conversely, let $f(z) \in VCR(g, \lambda, A, B)$. Then a_n are given by (26). Setting

$$\mu_n = \frac{n[1 + \lambda(n-1)](1+B)b_n}{(B-A)} |a_n|, \quad (51)$$

and

$$\mu_1 = 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \mu_n. \quad (52)$$

From Theorem 2, $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \mu_n \leq 1$ and so $\mu_n \geq 0$. Since $\mu_n f_n(z) = \mu_n z + a_n z^n$, then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n f_n(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n = f(z). \quad (53)$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 5.

Remark 1. Putting $g(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}$, $\lambda = 0$, $A = 2\alpha - 1$ ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$) and $B = 1$ in all the above results, we obtain the results obtained by Srivastava and Owa [11];

Remark 2. Putting $g(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}$, $A = 2\alpha - 1$ ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$) and $B = 1$ in all the above results, we obtain results obtained by Aouf et al. (see [3] and [4, with $\gamma = -1$]).

Remark 3. Specializing the parameters λ, A, B and a function $g(z)$, in the above results, we obtain new results for the classes $V^*CR(\gamma, l, m; \lambda, A, B)$, $V_{q,s}([\alpha_1]; \lambda, A, B)$ and $VR(\lambda, \beta)$ defined in the introduction.

5 Open Problem

The authors suggest studying properties for the class of functions $f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$, satisfying

$$\frac{(f * g)'(z)}{p z^{p-1}} + \frac{\lambda (f * g)''(z)}{p(p-1) z^{p-1}} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (-1 \leq A < B \leq 1, 0 < B \leq 1, p \in \mathbb{N}, z \in \mathbb{U}), \quad (54)$$

when their coefficients are of varying arguments.

References

- [1] F.M. Al-Oboudi, On univalent functions defined by a generalized Salagean operator, *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 27(2004), 1429-1436.
- [2] O. Altıntaş, A subclass of analytic functions with negative coefficients, *Hacettepe Bull. Natur. Sci. Engrn.*, 19(1990), 15-24.
- [3] M. K. Aouf, R. M. EL-Ashwah and F. M. Abdulkarem, Certain class of analytic functions defined by Sălăgean operator with varying arguments, *Electronic J. Math. Anal. App.*, (2013), 355-360.
- [4] M. K. Aouf, R. M. EL-Ashwah, A. Hassan and A. Hassan, Certain class of analytic functions defined by Rucheweyh derivative with varying arguments, *Kyungpook Math. J.*, 54(2014), 453-461.
- [5] A. Cătăs, G.I. Oros and G. Oros, Differential subordinations associated with multiplier transformations, *Abstract Appl. Anal.*, 2008 (2008), ID845724, 1-11.
- [6] J. Dziok and H.M. Srivastava, Classes of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 103(1999), 1-13.
- [7] J. Dziok and H.M. Srivastava, Certain subclasses of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function, *Integral Transform. Spec. Funct.*, 14(2003), 7-18.
- [8] A. O. Mostafa, M. K. Aouf, A. Shamandy and E. A. Adwan, Some properties for certain class of analytic functions with varying arguments, *J. Open problems Complex Anal.*, 5(2013), no. 2, 20-30.

- [9] G. S. Sălăgean, Subclasses of univalent functions, Complex Analysis-Fifth Romanian-Finnish Seminar, Part 1 (Bucharest, 1981). Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer, Berlin, vol. 1013, 362-372.
- [10] H. Silverman, Univalent functions with varying arguments, Houston J. Math., 7(1981), 283-287.
- [11] H. M. Srivastava and S. Owa, Certain class of analytic functions with varying arguments, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 136(1988), no. 1. 217-228.