

Classes of Meromorphic Multivalent Bazilevič and non-Bazilevič Functions Associated with New Operator

M. K. Aouf¹, A. O. Mostafa² and H. M. Zayed³

^{1,2}Math. Dep., Faculty of Science, Mansoura University
Mansoura 35516, Egypt

e-mail: ¹mkaouf127@yahoo.com

e-mail: ²adelaeg254@yahoo.com

³Math. Dep., Faculty of Science, Menofia University
Shebin Elkom 32511, Egypt

e-mail: hanaa_zayed42@yahoo.com

Received 20 April 2016; Accepted 2 October 2016

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to introduce subclasses of Bazilevič and non-Bazilevič meromorphic multivalent functions by using new operator and investigate various properties for functions of these classes.

Keywords: *Meromorphic, multivalent, Bazilevič, non-Bazilevič functions, Hadamard product, linear operator.*

2000 Mathematical Subject Classification: 30C45.

1 Introduction

Denote by Σ_p the class of meromorphic p -valent functions of the form:

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n-p} z^{n-p} \quad (p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}), \quad (1)$$

which are analytic in $\mathbb{U}^* = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } 0 < |z| < 1\} = \mathbb{U} \setminus \{0\}$.

Let $\mathcal{P}_k(\rho)$ be the class of functions $p(z)$ analytic in \mathbb{U} satisfying the properties $p(0) = 1$ and

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{\Re p(z) - \rho}{1 - \rho} \right| d\theta \leq k\pi, \quad (2)$$

where $k \geq 2$ and $0 \leq \rho < 1$. This class was introduced by Padmanabhan and Parvatham [10]. For $\rho = 0$, the class $\mathcal{P}_k(0) = \mathcal{P}_k$ introduced by Pinchuk [11]. Also, $\mathcal{P}_2(\rho) = \mathcal{P}(\rho)$, where $\mathcal{P}(\rho)$ is the class of functions with real part greater than ρ and $\mathcal{P}_2(0) = \mathcal{P}$, is the class of functions with positive real part. From (2), we have $p(z) \in \mathcal{P}_k(\rho)$ if and only if there exist $p_1, p_2 \in \mathcal{P}(\rho)$ such that

$$p(z) = \left(\frac{k}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\right) p_1(z) - \left(\frac{k}{4} - \frac{1}{2}\right) p_2(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (3)$$

It is known that the class $\mathcal{P}_k(\rho)$ is a convex set (see [7]).

The Hadamard product (or convolution) of $f(z)$ given by (1) and $g(z)$ given by

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n-p} z^{n-p}, \quad (4)$$

is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n-p} b_{n-p} z^{n-p} = (g * f)(z). \quad (5)$$

For a function $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$, Frasin [3] defined the following differential operator:

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\lambda}^0 f(z) &= f(z), \\ I_{\lambda}^1 f(z) &= (1 - \lambda)f(z) + \lambda z f'(z) + \frac{\lambda(p+1)}{z^p}, \\ I_{\lambda}^2 f(z) &= (1 - \lambda)I_{\lambda}^1 f(z) + \lambda z (I_{\lambda}^1 f(z))' + \frac{\lambda(p+1)}{z^p}, \\ &\vdots \\ I_{\lambda}^m f(z) &= (1 - \lambda)I_{\lambda}^{m-1} f(z) + \lambda z (I_{\lambda}^{m-1} f(z))' + \frac{\lambda(p+1)}{z^p}. \end{aligned}$$

In a general form:

$$I_{\lambda}^m f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda(n-p-1)]^m a_{n-p} z^{n-p} \quad (m \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}; \lambda > 0). \quad (6)$$

For the function $I_{\lambda,\mu}^{m*}(z)$ defined by:

$$I_{\lambda}^m(z) * I_{\lambda,\mu}^{m*}(z) = \frac{1}{z^p(1-z)^\mu} \quad (\mu; \lambda > 0; z \in \mathbb{U}^*),$$

let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\lambda,\mu}^m f(z) &= I_{\lambda,\mu}^{m*}(z) * f(z) \\ &= \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \lambda(n-p-1)} \right)^m \frac{(\mu)_n}{(1)_n} a_{n-p} z^{n-p}, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where $(\theta)_n$ is the Pochhammer symbol defined by

$$(\theta)_n = \begin{cases} 1 & n = 0 \\ \theta(\theta+1)\dots(\theta+n-1) & n \in \mathbb{N} \end{cases}.$$

It is easily verified from (7) that

$$z (\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,\mu}^m f(z))' = \mu \mathcal{L}_{\lambda,\mu+1}^m f(z) - (\mu+p) \mathcal{L}_{\lambda,\mu}^m f(z). \quad (8)$$

Using the operator $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,\mu}^m$, we introduce classes of meromorphic multivalent functions of Σ_p as follows:

Definition. For $k \geq 2$, $\lambda, \alpha, \gamma, \mu > 0$, $0 \leq \rho < 1$, and $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, a function $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$ is in the class $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,\mu}^{p,m}(\alpha, \gamma, \rho, k)$ if it satisfies the condition:

$$\left[(1-\gamma) (z^p \mathcal{L}_{\lambda,\mu}^m f(z))^\alpha + \gamma \left(\frac{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,\mu+1}^m f(z)}{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,\mu}^m f(z)} \right) (z^p \mathcal{L}_{\lambda,\mu}^m f(z))^\alpha \right] \in \mathcal{P}_k(\rho), \quad (9)$$

and is in the class $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\mu}^{p,m}(\alpha, \gamma, \rho, k)$ if it satisfies the condition:

$$\left[(1+\gamma) \left(\frac{1}{z^p \mathcal{L}_{\lambda,\mu}^m f(z)} \right)^\alpha - \gamma \left(\frac{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,\mu+1}^m f(z)}{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,\mu}^m f(z)} \right) \left(\frac{1}{z^p \mathcal{L}_{\lambda,\mu}^m f(z)} \right)^\alpha \right] \in \mathcal{P}_k(\rho). \quad (10)$$

Putting $m = 0$ and $\mu = 1$ in (9) and (10), we have, respectively

$$\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,1}^{p,0}(\alpha, \gamma, \rho, k) = \left\{ f : \left[(1-\gamma) (z^p f(z))^\alpha + \gamma \left(\frac{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,2}^0 f(z)}{f(z)} \right) (z^p f(z))^\alpha \right] \in \mathcal{P}_k(\rho) \right\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{N}_{\lambda,1}^{p,0}(\alpha, \gamma, \rho, k) = \left\{ f : \left[(1+\gamma) \left(\frac{1}{z^p f(z)} \right)^\alpha - \gamma \left(\frac{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,2}^0 f(z)}{f(z)} \right) \left(\frac{1}{z^p f(z)} \right)^\alpha \right] \in \mathcal{P}_k(\rho) \right\}.$$

2. Main results

Unless otherwise mentioned, we assume throughout this paper that $k \geq 2$, $\alpha, \lambda, \gamma, \mu > 0$, $0 \leq \rho < 1$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

To establish our results, we need the following lemma due to Miller and Mocanu [5].

Lemma 2.1 [5]. Let $\phi(u, v)$ be a complex valued function $\phi : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $D \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ and let $u = u_1 + iu_2$, $v = v_1 + iv_2$. Suppose that the function $\phi(u, v)$ satisfies

(i) $\phi(u, v)$ is continuous in D ;

(ii) $(1, 0) \in D$ and $\Re\{\phi(1, 0)\} > 0$;

(iii) for all $(iu_2, v_1) \in D$ such that $v_1 \leq -\frac{n}{2}(1 + u_2^2)$, $\Re\{\phi(iu_2, v_1)\} \leq 0$.

Let $p(z) = 1 + p_n z^n + p_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \dots$ be regular in \mathbb{U} such that $(p(z), zp'(z)) \in D$ for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$. If $\Re\{\phi(p(z), zp'(z))\} > 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$, then $\Re p(z) > 0$.

Employing the techniques used by Owa [9] for univalent functions, Noor and Muhammad [8] and Aouf and Seoudy [1] for multivalent functions and Mostafa et al. [6] for meromorphic multivalent functions, we prove the following theorems.

Theorem 2.1. If $f(z) \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \mu}^{p, m}(\alpha, \gamma, \rho, k)$, then

$$(z^p \mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu}^m f(z))^\alpha \in \mathcal{P}_k(\rho_1), \quad (11)$$

where ρ_1 is given by

$$\rho_1 \leq \frac{2\alpha\mu\rho + n\gamma}{2\alpha\mu + n\gamma} \quad (0 \leq \rho_1 < 1). \quad (12)$$

Proof. Let

$$\begin{aligned} (z^p \mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu}^m f(z))^\alpha &= (1 - \rho_1)p(z) + \rho_1 \\ &= \left(\frac{k}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\right) [(1 - \rho_1)p_1(z) + \rho_1] - \left(\frac{k}{4} - \frac{1}{2}\right) [(1 - \rho_1)p_2(z) + \rho_1], \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where $p_j(z)$ is analytic in \mathbb{U} with $p_j(0) = 1$ for $j = 1, 2$. Differentiating (13) with respect to z , and using identity (8) in the resulting equation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[(1 - \gamma) (z^p \mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu}^m f(z))^\alpha + \gamma \left(\frac{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu+1}^m f(z)}{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu}^m f(z)} \right) (z^p \mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu}^m f(z))^\alpha \right] \\ &= [(1 - \rho_1)p(z) + \rho_1] + \frac{\gamma(1 - \rho_1)zp'(z)}{\mu\alpha} \in \mathcal{P}_k(\rho). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\frac{1}{1 - \rho} \left\{ [(1 - \rho_1)p_i(z) + \rho_1] - \rho + \frac{\gamma(1 - \rho_1)zp'_i(z)}{\mu\alpha} \right\} \in \mathcal{P} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; i = 1, 2).$$

Defining the function

$$\phi(u, v) = [(1 - \rho_1)u + \rho_1] - \rho + \frac{\gamma(1 - \rho_1)v}{\mu\alpha},$$

where $u = p_j(z) = u_1 + iu_2$, $v = zp'_j(z) = v_1 + iv_2$, we have

- (i) $\phi(u, v)$ is continuous in $D = \mathbb{C}^2$;
- (ii) $(1, 0) \in D$ and $\Re\{\phi(1, 0)\} = 1 - \rho > 0$;
- (iii) for all $(iu_2, v_1) \in D$ such that $v_1 \leq -\frac{n}{2}(1 + u_2^2)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Re\{\phi(iu_2, v_1)\} &= \rho_1 - \rho + \frac{\gamma(1 - \rho_1)v_1}{\mu\alpha} \\ &\leq \rho_1 - \rho - \frac{n\gamma(1 - \rho_1)(1 + u_2^2)}{2\mu\alpha} \\ &= \frac{A + Bu_2^2}{2C}, \end{aligned}$$

where $A = 2(\rho_1 - \rho)\mu\alpha - n\gamma(1 - \rho_1)$, $B = -n\gamma(1 - \rho_1)$, $C = \mu\alpha > 0$. We note that $\Re\{\phi(iu_2, v_1)\} < 0$ if $A \leq 0$, $B < 0$, this is true from (12). Therefore, by applying Lemma 2.1, $p_j(z) \in \mathcal{P}$ ($j = 1, 2$) and consequently $(z^p \mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu}^m f(z))^\alpha \in \mathcal{P}_k(\rho_1)$ for $z \in \mathbb{U}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 2.2. If $f(z) \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \mu}^{p, m}(\alpha, \gamma, \rho, k)$, then

$$(z^p \mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu}^m f(z))^{\alpha/2} \in \mathcal{P}_k(\rho_2), \quad (14)$$

where ρ_2 is given by

$$\rho_2 \leq \frac{n\gamma + \sqrt{(n\gamma)^2 + 4(\mu\alpha + n\gamma)\alpha\mu\rho}}{2(\alpha\mu + n\gamma)} \quad (0 \leq \rho_2 < 1). \quad (15)$$

Proof. Let

$$\begin{aligned} (z^p \mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu}^m f(z))^{\alpha/2} &= (1 - \rho_2)p(z) + \rho_2 \\ &= \left(\frac{k}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\right) [(1 - \rho_2)p_1(z) + \rho_2] - \left(\frac{k}{4} - \frac{1}{2}\right) [(1 - \rho_2)p_1(z) + \rho_2], \quad (16) \end{aligned}$$

where $p_j(z)$ is as in Theorem 2.1. Differentiating (16) with respect to z , and using identity (8) in the resulting equation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[(1 - \gamma) (z^p \mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu}^m f(z))^\alpha + \gamma \left(\frac{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu+1}^m f(z)}{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu}^m f(z)} \right) (z^p \mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu}^m f(z))^\alpha \right] \\ &= [(1 - \rho_2)p(z) + \rho_2]^2 + \frac{2\gamma(1 - \rho_2) [(1 - \rho_2)p(z) + \rho_2] zp'(z)}{\alpha\mu} \in \mathcal{P}_k(\rho). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\frac{1}{1-\rho} \left\{ [(1-\rho_2)p_j(z) + \rho_2]^2 - \rho + \frac{2\gamma(1-\rho_2)[(1-\rho_2)p_j(z) + \rho_2]zp'_j(z)}{\alpha\mu} \right\} \in \mathcal{P}$$

$$(z \in \mathbb{U}; j = 1, 2).$$

Defining the function

$$\psi(u, v) = [(1-\rho_2)u + \rho_2]^2 - \rho + \frac{2\gamma(1-\rho_2)[(1-\rho_2)u + \rho_2]v}{\alpha\mu},$$

where $u = p_j(z) = u_1 + iu_2$, $v = zp'_j(z) = v_1 + iv_2$, we have

- (i) $\psi(u, v)$ is continuous in $D = \mathbb{C}^2$;
- (ii) $(1, 0) \in D$ and $\Re\{\psi(1, 0)\} = 1 - \rho > 0$;
- (iii) for all $(iu_2, v_1) \in D$ such that $v_1 \leq -\frac{n}{2}(1 + u_2^2)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Re\{\psi(iu_2, v_1)\} &= -(1-\rho_2)^2u_2^2 + \rho_2^2 - \rho + \frac{2\gamma\rho_2(1-\rho_2)v_1}{\alpha\mu} \\ &\leq -(1-\rho_2)^2u_2^2 + \rho_2^2 - \rho - \frac{n\gamma\rho_2(1-\rho_2)(1+u_2^2)}{\alpha\mu} \\ &= \frac{A + Bu_2^2}{C}, \end{aligned}$$

where $A = \alpha\mu\rho_2^2 - \alpha\mu\rho - n\gamma\rho_2(1-\rho_2)$, $B = -(1-\rho_2)[\alpha\mu(1-\rho_2) + \gamma n\rho_2]$, $C = \alpha\mu > 0$. We note that $\Re\{\psi(iu_2, v_1)\} < 0$ if $A \leq 0$, $B < 0$, this is true from (14) and $0 \leq \rho_2 < 1$. Therefore, by applying Lemma 2.1, $p_j(z) \in \mathcal{P}$ ($j = 1, 2$) and consequently $(z^p \mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu}^m f(z))^{\alpha/2} \in \mathcal{P}_k(\rho_2)$ for $z \in \mathbb{U}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.2.

Theorem 2.3. If $f(z) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}^{p, m}(\alpha, \gamma, \rho, k)$, then

$$\left(\frac{1}{z^p \mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu}^m f(z)} \right)^\alpha \in \mathcal{P}_k(\rho_3), \quad (17)$$

where ρ_3 is given by

$$\rho_3 \leq \frac{2\alpha\mu\rho + n\gamma}{2\alpha\mu + n\gamma} \quad (0 \leq \rho_3 < 1). \quad (18)$$

Proof. Let

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\frac{1}{z^p \mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu}^m f(z)} \right)^\alpha = (1-\rho_3)p(z) + \rho_3 \\ &= \left(\frac{k}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \right) [(1-\rho_3)p_1(z) + \rho_3] - \left(\frac{k}{4} - \frac{1}{2} \right) [(1-\rho_3)p_2(z) + \rho_3], \quad (19) \end{aligned}$$

where $p_j(z)$ is as in Theorem 2.1. Differentiating (16) with respect to z , and using identity (8) in the resulting equation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[(1 + \gamma) \left(\frac{1}{z^p \mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu}^m f(z)} \right)^\alpha - \gamma \left(\frac{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu+1}^m f(z)}{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu}^m f(z)} \right) \left(\frac{1}{z^p \mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu}^m f(z)} \right)^\alpha \right] \\ &= [(1 - \rho_3)p(z) + \rho_3] + \frac{\gamma(1 - \rho_3)zp'(z)}{\mu\alpha} \in \mathcal{P}_k(\rho). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\frac{1}{1 - \rho} \left\{ [(1 - \rho_3)p_j(z) + \rho_3] - \rho + \frac{\gamma(1 - \rho_3)zp'_j(z)}{\mu\alpha} \right\} \in \mathcal{P} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; \quad j = 1, 2).$$

Defining the function

$$\phi(u, v) = [(1 - \rho_3)u + \rho_3] - \rho + \frac{\gamma(1 - \rho_3)v}{\mu\alpha},$$

where $u = p_i(z) = u_1 + iu_2$, $v = zp'_i(z) = v_1 + iv_2$. The remaining part of the proof is as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, so, we omit it.

Theorem 2.4. If $f(z) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}^{p, m}(\alpha, \gamma, \rho, k)$, then

$$\left(\frac{1}{z^p \mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu}^m f(z)} \right)^{\alpha/2} \in \mathcal{P}_k(\rho_4), \quad (20)$$

where ρ_4 is given by

$$\rho_4 \leq \frac{n\gamma + \sqrt{(n\gamma)^2 + 4(\mu\alpha + n\gamma)\alpha\mu\rho}}{2(\alpha\mu + n\gamma)} \quad (0 \leq \rho_4 < 1). \quad (21)$$

Proof. Let

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{1}{z^p \mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu}^m f(z)} \right)^{\alpha/2} = (1 - \rho_4)p(z) + \rho_4 \\ &= \left(\frac{k}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \right) [(1 - \rho_4)p_1(z) + \rho_4] - \left(\frac{k}{4} - \frac{1}{2} \right) [(1 - \rho_4)p_2(z) + \rho_4], \quad (22) \end{aligned}$$

where $p_j(z)$ is analytic in \mathbb{U} with $p_j(0) = 1$ for $j = 1, 2$. Differentiating (22) with respect to z , and using identity (8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[(1 + \gamma) \left(\frac{1}{z^p \mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu}^m f(z)} \right)^\alpha - \gamma \left(\frac{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu+1}^m f(z)}{\mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu}^m f(z)} \right) \left(\frac{1}{z^p \mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \mu}^m f(z)} \right)^\alpha \right] \\ &= [(1 - \rho_4)p(z) + \rho_4]^2 + \frac{2\gamma(1 - \rho_4) [(1 - \rho_4)p(z) + \rho_4] zp'(z)}{\alpha\mu} \in \mathcal{P}_k(\rho). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\frac{1}{1-\rho} \left\{ [(1-\rho_4)p_j(z) + \rho_4]^2 - \rho + \frac{2\gamma(1-\rho_4)[(1-\rho_4)p_j(z) + \rho_4]zp'_j(z)}{\alpha\mu} \right\} \in P.$$

Defining the function

$$\psi(u, v) = [(1-\rho_4)u + \rho_4]^2 - \rho + \frac{2\gamma(1-\rho_4)[(1-\rho_4)u + \rho_4]v}{\alpha\mu},$$

where $u = p_j(z) = u_1 + iu_2$, $v = zp'_j(z) = v_1 + iv_2$. The remaining part of the proof is as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, so, we omit it.

Remark. Putting $m = 0$ and $\mu = 1$ in the above results we obtain the results for the classes $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,1}^{p,0}(\alpha, \gamma, \rho, k)$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda,1}^{p,0}(\alpha, \gamma, \rho, k)$.

2 Open Problem

The authors suggest to study these classes defined by the Frasin-Darus [4] operator:

$$I^n f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^n a_k z^k \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, z \in \mathbb{U}^*).$$

Acknowledgment. The authors thank the referees for their valuable suggestions which led to the improvement of the paper.

References

- [1] M. K. Aouf and T. M. Seoudy, Some properties of certain subclasses of p -valent Bazilevič functions associated with the generalized operator, *Appl. Math. Letters*, 24 (2011), no. 11, 1953–1958.
- [2] N. E. Cho, O. S. Kwon and H. M. Srivastava, Inclusion and argument properties for certain subclasses of meromorphic functions associated with a family of multiplier transformations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 300 (2004), 505–520.
- [3] B. A. Frasin, Differential operator of meromorphic p -valent functions, *Tamkang J. Math.*, 43 (2012), no. 3, 417–421.
- [4] B. A. Frasin and M. Darus, On certain meromorphic functions with positive coefficients, *South. Asian Bull. Math.* 28 (2004), 615–623.

- [5] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Second order differential inequalities in the complex plane, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 65 (1978), no. 2, 289–305.
- [6] A. O. Mostafa, M. K. Aouf, A. Shamandy and E. A. Adwan, Certain subclasses of p -valent meromorphic functions associated with a new operator, *J. Complex Analysis*, (2013), 1-4.
- [7] K. I. Noor, On subclasses of close-to-convex functions of higher order, *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 15 (1992), 279–290.
- [8] K. I. Noor and A. Muhammad, Some properties of the subclass of p -valent Bazilevic functions, *Acta Univ. Apulensis*, no. 17 (2009), 189–197.
- [9] S. Owa, On certain Bazilevic functions of order β , *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 15 (1992), no. 3, 613-616.
- [10] K. S. Padmanabhan and R. Parvatham, Properties of a class of functions with bounded boundary rotation, *Ann. Polon. Math.*, 31 (1975), 311–323.
- [11] B. Pinchuk, Functions with bounded boundary rotation, *Israel J. Math.*, 10 (1971), 7–16.