

Note on a nonlocal boundary value problem with solutions positive on an interval

A. Guezane-Lakoud

Laboratory of Advanced Materials
Badji Mokhtar-Annaba University. Algeria
e-mail: a_guezane@yahoo.fr

Abstract

We reconsider the boundary value problem studied in [1] and prove the existence of sign changing solutions under more general conditions on the nonlinear term.

Keywords: *Three-point boundary condition*

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 34B10, 34B15

1 Introduction

The following problem is studied in [1]

$$u'' + g(t)f(u(t)) = 0, \quad 0 < t < 1 \quad (1)$$

$$u(0) = \alpha u'(0), \quad u(1) = \beta u'(\eta) \quad (2)$$

where $\eta \in (0, 1)$, $g \in C([0, 1], [0, \infty))$, $f \in C(\mathbb{R}, [0, \infty))$. The parameters α and β are such that $\alpha > 0$, $\beta > 0$ and $1 + \alpha \neq \beta$. In a personal communication, Prof. J.R.L. Webb remarked that proper account was not taken of the fact that G is both discontinuous and changes sign, and, in fact, positive solutions may not exist under the given conditions, the main result in [1] is therefore not valid. We give a correction here and provide new results on existence of solutions that are positive on a sub-interval of $[0, 1]$.

This type of problem has been studied by Infante-Webb in [2,3]. The case $\alpha = 0$, $0 \leq \beta < 1 - \eta$ was studied in detail in [2]. They established, using fixed point index theory, the existence of multiple nonzero solutions that are positive on a subinterval of $[0, 1]$ but can change sign. Similar problems were

studied in [3] and in the interesting paper [4] which studied the model of a thermostat. In [4] the authors proved that there is loss of positivity as the parameter decreases, and proved a uniqueness result. For more results on this subject we refer to [3,5,6].

2 Preliminaries

Let $E = C[0, 1]$, with the supremum norm $\|y\| = \sup\{|y(t)|, t \in [0, 1]\}$. To study the problem (1)-(2), we write it as an equivalent fixed point problem for the Hammerstein integral operator

$$Tu(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s)g(s)f(u(s))ds$$

where the Green's function is defined by

$$G(t, s) = \frac{(t + \alpha)(1 - s)}{1 + \alpha - \beta} - \begin{cases} \beta \frac{t + \alpha}{1 + \alpha - \beta}, s \leq \eta \\ 0, s > \eta \end{cases} - \begin{cases} (t - s), s \leq t \\ 0, s > t \end{cases}$$

We give a correction to [1] here and provide new results for the case for $\alpha > 0$ and $0 < \beta < 1 - \eta$. We replace the previous assumptions that f is either sublinear or superlinear by more general conditions and prove existence of sign changing solutions. The method used is to apply the theory of [2,3] which is based on the fixed point index for the compact map T defined on a cone in the Banach space E .

3 Main Results

Following the theory of [2,3], an important step is to show that

$$|G(t, s)| \leq \Phi(s), \forall (t, s) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1], \quad (3)$$

$$G(t, s) \geq c\Phi(s), \forall t \in [0, b], \forall s \in [0, 1]. \quad (4)$$

Theorem 3.1 *If $0 < \beta < 1 - \eta$ and $\alpha > 0$, then there exists a continuous function $\Phi(s) = \frac{(1+\alpha)}{1+\alpha-\beta}(1-s)$ on $[0, 1]$, a real number $b \in (0, 1 - \beta)$ and a constant $c = \frac{\alpha \min((1-b-\beta), (1-\eta-\beta))}{1+\alpha} \in (0, 1)$ such that inequalities (3) and (4) hold.*

Proof. We find upper and lower bounds of G .

Upper bounds. Case 1. $s > \eta$. If $t < s$ then $G(t, s) \geq 0$ and

$$G(t, s) = \frac{(t + \alpha)(1 - s)}{1 + \alpha - \beta} \leq \frac{(1 + \alpha)}{1 + \alpha - \beta}(1 - s) = \Phi(s)$$

If $t \geq s$ then $G(t, s) \geq 0$ since

$$G(t, s) = \frac{(t + \alpha)(1 - s)}{1 + \alpha - \beta} - (t - s) = \frac{(s + \alpha)(1 - t) + \beta(t - s)}{1 + \alpha - \beta} \geq 0$$

and we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(t, s) &= \frac{(t + \alpha)(1 - s)}{1 + \alpha - \beta} - (t - s) \leq \frac{(t + \alpha)(1 - s)}{1 + \alpha - \beta} \\ &\leq \frac{1 + \alpha}{1 + \alpha - \beta}(1 - s) = \Phi(s) \end{aligned}$$

Case 2. $s < \eta$. If $t < s$, then $G(t, s) = \frac{(t + \alpha)(1 - s - \beta)}{1 + \alpha - \beta}$ and the function G is positive because we are taking $\beta < 1 - \eta$. Consequently we have

$$G(t, s) = \frac{(t + \alpha)(1 - s - \beta)}{1 + \alpha - \beta} \leq \frac{(1 + \alpha)}{1 + \alpha - \beta}(1 - s) = \Phi(s),$$

(the case $\eta > s > 1 - \beta$ is impossible since by hypothesis we have $\beta < 1 - \eta$.)

If $t \geq s$ then

$$G(t, s) = \frac{(t + \alpha)(1 - s - \beta)}{1 + \alpha - \beta} - (t - s) = \frac{(s + \alpha)(1 - t - \beta)}{1 + \alpha - \beta}$$

the function G is positive if $t \leq 1 - \beta$, in this case we get

$$G(t, s) = \frac{(s + \alpha)(1 - t - \beta)}{1 + \alpha - \beta} \leq \frac{(1 + \alpha)}{1 + \alpha - \beta}(1 - s) = \Phi(s)$$

if $t > 1 - \beta$ then $G(t, s) \leq 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} -G(t, s) &= \frac{(s + \alpha)(-1 + t + \beta)}{1 + \alpha - \beta} \leq \frac{(1 + \alpha)}{1 + \alpha - \beta}(1 - \eta) \\ &\leq \frac{(1 + \alpha)}{1 + \alpha - \beta}(1 - s) = \Phi(s) \end{aligned}$$

therefore $|G(t, s)| \leq \frac{1 + \alpha}{1 + \alpha - \beta}(1 - s) = \Phi(s)$.

Lower bounds. Let $b \in (0, 1 - \beta)$ and $\alpha > 0$, then for $t \in [0, b]$ and $s \in [0, 1]$ it yields: **Case 1.** $s > \eta$, if $t < s$ then

$$G(t, s) = \frac{(t + \alpha)(1 - s)}{1 + \alpha - \beta} \geq \frac{\alpha(1 - s)}{1 + \alpha - \beta} = \frac{\alpha}{1 + \alpha} \Phi(s)$$

If $t \geq s$ then

$$\begin{aligned} G(t, s) &= \frac{(s + \alpha)(1 - t) + \beta(t - s)}{1 + \alpha - \beta} \geq \frac{\alpha(1 - t)}{1 + \alpha - \beta} \\ &\geq \frac{\alpha(1 - b)}{1 + \alpha - \beta}(1 - s) = \frac{\alpha(1 - b)}{1 + \alpha} \Phi(s) \end{aligned}$$

Case 2. $s < \eta$. If $t < s$ then

$$G(t, s) = \frac{(t + \alpha)(1 - s - \beta)}{1 + \alpha - \beta} \geq \frac{\alpha(1 - \eta - \beta)}{1 + \alpha - \beta} (1 - s) = \frac{\alpha(1 - \eta - \beta)}{1 + \alpha} \Phi(s)$$

If $t \geq s$ then

$$G(t, s) = \frac{(s + \alpha)(1 - t - \beta)}{1 + \alpha - \beta} \geq \frac{\alpha(1 - b - \beta)}{1 + \alpha} \Phi(s). \square$$

Define the operator $T : E \rightarrow E$ by $Tu(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s)g(s)f(u(s))ds$.

Notations. Let K be the cone $K = \left\{ u \in C[0, 1] : \min_{t \in [0, b]} u(t) \geq c \|u\| \right\}$ and

define the following subsets of K ,

$$K_r = \{u \in K : \|u\| < r\}, \quad \overline{K}_r = \{u \in K : \|u\| \leq r\},$$

$$\overline{K}_{\rho, r} = \{u \in K : \rho \leq \|u\| \leq r\}, \text{ where } 0 < \rho < r < \infty.$$

We also let

$$f^0 = \limsup_{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(u)}{|u|}, \quad f^\infty = \limsup_{|u| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(u)}{|u|}, \quad f_0 = \liminf_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(u)}{u}, \quad f_\infty = \liminf_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(u)}{u},$$

and

$$f^{-\rho, \rho} = \sup_{u \in [-\rho, \rho]} \frac{f(u)}{\rho}, \quad f_{c\rho, \rho} = \inf_{u \in [c\rho, \rho]} \frac{f(u)}{\rho}.$$

Let $m = \left(\max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \int_0^1 |G(t, s)|g(s)ds \right)^{-1}$ and $M = \left(\min_{t \in [0, b]} \int_0^b G(t, s)g(s)ds \right)^{-1}$.

As in [5] define the continuous function $q : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $q(u) = \min_{t \in [0, b]} u(t)$ and the set $\Omega_\rho = \{u \in K : q(u) < c\rho\}$. It is clear that if $u \in \partial\Omega_\rho$, (the boundary relative to K) then $c\rho \leq u(t) \leq \rho$ for all $t \in [0, b]$. The set Ω_ρ was introduced in [5] for cones of positive functions, the case here was used in [2], for further properties of Ω_ρ see [5].

Now we state the existence results from [2] specialized to our case.

Theorem 3.2 *Assume that $0 < \beta < 1 - \eta$, and let $b \in (0, 1 - \beta)$ and suppose that $\int_0^b \Phi(s)g(s)ds > 0$. Then for $\alpha > 0$, the problem (1)-(2) has at least one nonzero solution, positive on $[0, b]$, if either*

H1) $0 \leq f^0 < m$ and $M < f_\infty \leq \infty$, or

H2) $0 \leq f^\infty < m$ and $M < f_0 \leq \infty$,

and has two nonzero solutions, positive on $[0, b]$, if there is $\rho > 0$ such that either

S1) $0 \leq f^0 < m$, $f_{c\rho, \rho} \geq cM$, $u \neq Tu$ for $u \in \partial\Omega_\rho$ and $0 \leq f^\infty < m$ or

S2) $M < f_0 \leq \infty$, $f^{-\rho, \rho} \leq m$, $u \neq Tu$ for $u \in \partial K_\rho$ and $M < f_\infty \leq \infty$.

Example 3.3 Consider the following BVP

$$u'' + 1 = 0, \quad t \in (0, 1), \quad u(0) = u'(0), \quad u(1) = \frac{1}{3}u'(\frac{1}{2}). \quad (5)$$

Here $\alpha = 1$, $\eta = 1/2$, $\beta = 1/3$, $g(t) = 1$ on $[0, 1]$ and $f = 1$ on \mathbb{R} . Then $f_0 = \infty$, $f^\infty = 0$ so this is a sublinear case. Choosing $b = \frac{3}{5} < 2/3$, then $\int_0^b \Phi(s)g(s)ds > 0$, (H2) holds and the Theorem gives that the BVP has at least one solution which is positive on $[0, 3/5]$. In fact, the solution is easily found to be $u(t) = \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{5}t - \frac{t^2}{2}$ which is positive on $[0, (1 + \sqrt{11})/5] \approx [0, 0.863]$.

Example 3.4 Let $f(u) = \begin{cases} 10^3u^2, & |u| < 1 \\ -999u + 1999, & 1 \leq |u| < 2 \\ 1, & |u| \geq 2 \end{cases}$

Choosing $g = 1$ $\alpha = 1$ $\eta = 1/2$ $\beta = 1/3$ and $b = 3/5$ as in Example 2.4, then $f^0 = 0$. $f^\infty = 0$, $c = \frac{1}{30}$, $M = \frac{1250}{79} \approx 15.823$. Let $\rho = \frac{1}{2}$ then $f_{c\rho, \rho} = \frac{10}{18} \approx 0.55556 \geq cM = \frac{1250}{79 \times 30} \approx 0.52743$. Assume that there exists $u \in \partial\Omega_\rho$ such that $u = Tu$, then for $t \in [0, \frac{3}{5}]$ it yields by property of Ω_ρ ,

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) = Tu(t) &= \int_0^1 G(t, s)f(u(s)) ds \geq \int_0^{\frac{3}{5}} G(t, s)f(u(s)) ds \\ &= 10^3 \int_0^{\frac{3}{5}} G(t, s)u^2(s)ds \geq 10^3c^2\rho^2 \int_0^{\frac{3}{5}} G(t, s)ds. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the minimum over $t \in [0, \frac{3}{5}]$, we get

$$c\rho \geq 10^3c^2\rho^2/M, \text{ that is } c\rho \leq M/10^3.$$

Since $c\rho = 1/60 > M/10^3$, this is a contradiction, consequently (S1) holds and the BVP has at least two nonzero solutions positive on $[0, \frac{3}{5}]$.

4 Open problem

In the present note we have established the existence of nonzero solutions changing sign and positive on a subinterval of $[0, 1]$, in the case $\alpha > 0$, $0 < \beta < 1 - \eta$ and under more general conditions on f . The existence of nonzero solutions for problem (1)-(2) could be investigated for other cases such as $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta < 0$.

Acknowledgement. The author thanks Professor J.R.L. Webb for bringing her attention to several works on this type of problem.

References

- [1] A. Guezane-Lakoud, S. Kelaiaia, A.M. Eid, *A positive solution for a non-local boundary value problem*, Int. J. Open problem Compt. Math., Vol 4, No 1, (2011) 36–43.
- [2] G. Infante, J.R.L. Webb, *Nonzero solutions of Hammerstein integral equations with discontinuous kernels*, J. Math. Anal, Appl. Vol 272, No 1, (2002) 30–42.
- [3] G. Infante, J.R.L. Webb, *Three-point boundary value problems with solutions that change sign*, J. Integral Equations Appl. 15 (2003), no. 1, 37–57.
- [4] G. Infante, J.R.L. Webb, *Loss of positivity in a nonlinear scalar heat equation*, NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. 13 (2006) 249–261.
- [5] K.Q. Lan, *Multiple positive solutions of semilinear differential equations with singularities*, J. London Math. Soc. 63 (2001) 690–704.
- [6] K. Q. Lan and J. R. L. Webb, *Positive Solutions of Semilinear Differential Equations with Singularities*, Journal of differential equations, 148, (1998) 407–421.