

# Cantor Primes as Prime-Valued Cyclotomic Polynomials

Christian Salas

The Open University, Walton Hall, Milton Keynes, MK7 6AA, United Kingdom  
e-mail: c.p.h.salas@open.ac.uk

## Abstract

*Cantor primes are primes  $p$  such that  $1/p$  belongs to the middle-third Cantor set. One way to look at them is as containing the base-3 analogues of the famous Mersenne primes, which encompass all base-2 repunit primes, i.e., primes consisting of a contiguous sequence of 1's in base 2 and satisfying an equation of the form  $p + 1 = 2^q$ . The Cantor primes encompass all base-3 repunit primes satisfying an equation of the form  $2p + 1 = 3^q$ , and I show that in general all Cantor primes  $> 3$  satisfy a closely related equation of the form  $2pK + 1 = 3^q$ , with the base-3 repunits being the special case  $K = 1$ . I use this to prove that the Cantor primes  $> 3$  are exactly the prime-valued cyclotomic polynomials of the form  $\Phi_s(3^{s^j}) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . Significant open problems concern the infinitude of these, making Cantor primes perhaps more interesting than previously realised.*

**Keywords:** *Cantor set, prime numbers, cyclotomic polynomials*

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** *11A41, 11R09*

## 1 Introduction

Any base- $N$  repunit prime  $p$  is a cyclotomic polynomial evaluated at  $N$ ,  $\Phi_q(N)$ , with  $q$  also prime, i.e.,

$$p = \Phi_q(N) = \frac{N^q - 1}{N - 1} = \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} N^k \quad (1)$$

It is therefore expressible as a contiguous sequence of 1's in base  $N$ . For example,  $p = 31$  satisfies (1) for  $N = 2$  and  $q = 5$  and can be expressed as

11111 in base 2. The term *repunit* was coined by A. H. Beiler [1] to indicate that numbers like these consist of repeated units.

The case  $N = 2$  corresponds to the famous Mersenne primes on which there is a vast literature [6]. They are sequence number A000668 in The Online Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences [7] and are exactly the prime-valued cyclotomic polynomials of the form  $\Phi_s(2) \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ .

In this note I show that *Cantor primes* can be characterised in a similar way as being exactly the prime-valued cyclotomic polynomials of the form  $\Phi_s(3^{s^j}) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . They are primes whose reciprocals belong to the middle-third Cantor set  $\mathcal{C}_3$ .

It is easily shown that  $\mathcal{C}_3$  contains the reciprocals of all base-3 repunit primes, i.e., those primes  $p$  which satisfy an equation of the form  $2p + 1 = 3^q$  with  $q$  prime.  $\mathcal{C}_3$  is a fractal consisting of all the points in  $[0, 1]$  which have non-terminating base-3 representations involving only the digits 0 and 2. Reranging (1) to get the infinite series

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{N-1}{N^q-1} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{N-1}{N^{qk}} \quad (2)$$

and putting  $N = 3$  shows that those primes  $p$  which satisfy  $2p + 1 = 3^q$  are such that  $\frac{1}{p}$  can be expressed in base 3 using only zeros and the digit 2. This single digit 2 will appear periodically in the base-3 representation of  $\frac{1}{p}$  at positions which are multiples of  $q$ . Since only zeros and the digit 2 appear in the ternary representation of  $\frac{1}{p}$ ,  $\frac{1}{p}$  is never removed in the construction of  $\mathcal{C}_3$ , so  $\frac{1}{p}$  must belong to  $\mathcal{C}_3$ .

Base-3 repunit primes are sequence number A076481 in The Online Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences and the exact analogues of the Mersenne primes, i.e., they are the case  $N = 3$  in (1). In the next section I show that Cantor primes  $> 3$  more generally satisfy a closely related equation of the form  $2pK + 1 = 3^q$ , with the base-3 repunits being the special case  $K = 1$ . A subsequent section proves that the Cantor primes  $> 3$  are exactly the prime-valued cyclotomic polynomials of the form  $\Phi_s(3^{s^j}) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , and a final section considers related open problems.

## 2 An Exponential Equation Characterising All Cantor Primes

**Theorem 2.1.** *A prime number  $p > 3$  is a Cantor prime if and only if it satisfies an equation of the form  $2pK + 1 = 3^q$  where  $q$  is the order of 3 modulo  $p$  and  $K$  is a sum of non-negative powers of 3 each smaller than  $3^q$ .*

*Comment.* The base-3 repunit primes are then the special case in which  $K = 3^0 = 1$ . An example is 13, which satisfies  $2p + 1 = 3^3$ . A counterexample which shows that not all Cantor primes are base-3 repunit primes is 757, which satisfies  $26p + 1 = 3^9$  with  $K = 3^0 + 3^1 + 3^2 = 13$  and  $q = 9$ .

*Proof.* Each  $x \in \mathcal{C}_3$  can be expressed in ternary form as

$$x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{3^k} = 0.a_1a_2\dots \quad (3)$$

where all the  $a_k$  are equal to 0 or 2. The construction of  $\mathcal{C}_3$  amounts to systematically removing all the points in  $[0, 1]$  which cannot be expressed in ternary form with only 0's and 2's, i.e., the removed points all have  $a_k = 1$  for one or more  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  [4].

The construction of the Cantor set suggests some simple conditions which a prime number must satisfy in order to be a Cantor prime. If a prime number  $p > 3$  is to be a Cantor prime, the first non-zero digit  $a_{k_1}$  in the ternary expansion of  $\frac{1}{p}$  must be 2. This means that for some  $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $p$  must satisfy

$$\frac{2}{3^{k_1}} < \frac{1}{p} < \frac{1}{3^{k_1-1}} \quad (4)$$

or equivalently

$$3^{k_1} \in (2p, 3p) \quad (5)$$

Prime numbers for which there is no power of 3 in the interval  $(2p, 3p)$ , e.g., 5, 7, 17, 19, 23, 41, 43, 47, ..., can therefore be excluded immediately from further consideration. Note that there cannot be any other power of 3 in the interval  $(2p, 3p)$  since  $3^{k_1-1}$  and  $3^{k_1+1}$  lie completely to the left and completely to the right of  $(2p, 3p)$  respectively.

If the next non-zero digit after  $a_{k_1}$  is to be another 2 rather than a 1, it must be the case for some  $k_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  that

$$\frac{2}{3^{k_1+k_2}} < \frac{1}{p} - \frac{2}{3^{k_1}} < \frac{1}{3^{k_1+k_2-1}} \quad (6)$$

or equivalently

$$3^{k_2} \in \left( \frac{2p}{3^{k_1} - 2p}, \frac{3p}{3^{k_1} - 2p} \right) \quad (7)$$

Thus, any prime numbers for which there is a power of 3 in the interval  $(2p, 3p)$  but for which there is no power of 3 in the interval  $(\frac{2p}{3^{k_1}-2p}, \frac{3p}{3^{k_1}-2p})$  can again be excluded, e.g., 37, 113, 331, 337, 353, 991, 997, 1009.

Continuing in this way, the condition for the third non-zero digit to be a 2 is

$$3^{k_3} \in \left( \frac{2p}{3^{k_2}(3^{k_1} - 2p) - 2p}, \frac{3p}{3^{k_2}(3^{k_1} - 2p) - 2p} \right) \quad (8)$$

and the condition for the  $n$ th non-zero digit to be a 2 is

$$3^{k_n} \in \left( \frac{2p}{3^{k_{n-1}}(\dots(3^{k_2}(3^{k_1} - 2p) - 2p)\dots) - 2p}, \frac{3p}{3^{k_{n-1}}(\dots(3^{k_2}(3^{k_1} - 2p) - 2p)\dots) - 2p} \right) \quad (9)$$

The ternary expansions under consideration are all non-terminating, so at first sight it seems as if an endless sequence of tests like these would have to be applied to ensure that  $a_k \neq 1$  for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . However, this is not the case. Let  $p$  be a Cantor prime and let  $3^{k_1}$  be the smallest power of 3 that exceeds  $2p$ . Since  $p$  is a Cantor prime, both (5) and (9) must be satisfied for all  $n$ . Multiplying (9) through by  $3^{k_1 - k_n}$  we get

$$3^{k_1} \in \left( \frac{3^{k_1 - k_n} \cdot 2p}{3^{k_{n-1}}(\dots(3^{k_2}(3^{k_1} - 2p) - 2p)\dots) - 2p}, \frac{3^{k_1 - k_n} \cdot 3p}{3^{k_{n-1}}(\dots(3^{k_2}(3^{k_1} - 2p) - 2p)\dots) - 2p} \right) \quad (10)$$

Since all ternary representations of prime reciprocals  $\frac{1}{p}$  for  $p > 3$  have a repeating cycle which begins immediately after the point, it must be the case that  $k_n = k_1$  for some  $n$  in (10). Setting  $k_n = k_1$  in (10) we can therefore deduce from the fact that  $3^{k_1} \in (2p, 3p)$  and the fact that (10) must be consistent with this for all values of  $n$ , that all Cantor primes must satisfy an equation of the form

$$3^{k_{n-1}}(\dots(3^{k_2}(3^{k_1} - 2p) - 2p)\dots) - 2p = 1 \quad (11)$$

where  $k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_{n-1} = q$  is the cycle length in the ternary representation of  $\frac{1}{p}$ . In other words,  $q$  is the order of 3 modulo  $p$ . By successively considering the cases in which there is only one non-zero term in the repeating cycle, two non-zero terms, three non-zero terms, etc., in (11), and defining

$$\begin{aligned} d_1 &= q - k_1 \\ d_2 &= q - k_1 - k_2 \\ d_3 &= q - k_1 - k_2 - k_3 \\ &\vdots \\ d_n &= q - k_1 - k_2 - \dots - k_n = 0 \end{aligned}$$

it is easy to see that (11) can be rearranged as

$$2p \sum_{i=1}^n 3^{d_i} + 1 = 3^q \quad (12)$$

Setting  $K = \sum_{i=1}^n 3^{d_i}$ , we conclude that every Cantor prime must satisfy an equation of the form  $2pK + 1 = 3^q$  as claimed.

Conversely, every prime which satisfies an equation of this form must be a Cantor prime. To see this, note that we can rearrange (12) to get

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{2 \sum_{i=1}^n 3^{d_i}}{3^q - 1} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n 3^{d_i} \left\{ \frac{1}{3^q} + \frac{1}{3^{2q}} + \frac{1}{3^{3q}} + \dots \right\} \quad (13)$$

Since  $2 \sum_{i=1}^n 3^{d_i}$  involves only products of 2 with powers of 3 which are each less than  $3^q$ , (13) is an expression for  $\frac{1}{p}$  which corresponds to a ternary representation involving only 2s. Thus,  $\frac{1}{p}$  must be in the Cantor set if  $2pK + 1 = 3^q$ .

### 3 Cantor Primes as Cyclotomic Polynomials

Let  $n$  be a positive integer and let  $\zeta_n$  be the complex number  $e^{2\pi i/n}$ . The  $n^{\text{th}}$  cyclotomic polynomial is defined as

$$\Phi_n(x) = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq k < n \\ \gcd(k, n) = 1}} (x - \zeta_n^k)$$

The degree of  $\Phi_n(x)$  is  $\varphi(n)$  where  $\varphi$  is the Euler totient function. There is now a powerful body of theory relating to cyclotomic polynomials and discussions of their basic properties can be found in any textbook on abstract algebra.

**Lemma 3.1.**  $x^{(n-1)a} + x^{(n-2)a} + \dots + x^{2a} + x^a + 1$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  if and only if  $n = p$  and  $a = p^k$  for some prime  $p$  and non-negative integer  $k$ .

*Proof.* This is proved as Theorem 4 in [5].

**Theorem 3.2.** A prime number  $p > 3$  is a Cantor prime if and only if  $p = \Phi_s(3^{s^j}) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  where  $s$  is an odd prime and  $j$  is a non-negative integer.

*Proof.* Assume  $p$  is a Cantor prime. By Theorem 2.1 we then have

$$pK = \frac{3^q - 1}{2} = R_q^{(3)} \quad (14)$$

where  $R_q^{(3)}$  denotes the base-3 repunit consisting of  $q$  contiguous units, and  $q$  and  $K$  are as defined in that theorem. If  $q$  is composite, say  $q = rs$ , we obtain the factorisation

$$R_q^{(3)} = R_r^{(3)} \cdot (3^{(s-1)r} + 3^{(s-2)r} + \dots + 3^{2r} + 3^r + 1) \quad (15)$$

If  $q$  is prime we can take  $r = 1$ . Therefore in both cases at least one factor of  $pK$  must be a base-3 repunit.

If  $K = 1$  then  $p = R_q^{(3)} = \Phi_s(3)$ , since  $q$  must be prime in this case. ( $R_q^{(3)}$  is composite if  $q$  is). If  $K > 1$ ,  $p$  is not a base-3 repunit and by Theorem 2.1  $K$  is a sum of powers of 3, so  $p$  must be of the general form

$$p = 3^{(s-1)r} + 3^{(s-2)r} + \cdots + 3^{2r} + 3^r + 1 \quad (16)$$

for some  $s$  and  $r$ , and  $K$  must be a corresponding base-3 repunit  $R_r^{(3)}$ , otherwise their product could not be  $R_{rs}^{(3)}$ . But the polynomial in (16) can only be prime if it is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ . By Lemma 3.1, this requires  $s$  to be a prime number and  $r = s^j$  for some non-negative integer  $j$ , and we therefore have  $p = \Phi_s(3^{s^j})$  in this case. We conclude that in all cases we must have  $p = \Phi_s(3^{s^j})$  if  $p$  is a Cantor prime. Note that  $s$  must be an *odd* prime as  $\Phi_s(3^{s^j})$  is even for  $s = 2$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $p = \Phi_s(3^{s^j})$  is a prime number. Then we can multiply it by the base-3 repunit  $R_r^{(3)}$  where  $r = s^j$  to get the repunit  $R_q^{(3)}$  as in (15). Thus,  $p$  must satisfy (14) and must therefore be a Cantor prime.

Base-3 repunits are congruent to 0 modulo 4 when they consist of an even number of digits, and to 1 modulo 4 otherwise. Therefore if  $p > 3$  is a base-3 repunit prime it must be of the form  $4k + 1$ .

If  $p$  is prime but not a base-3 repunit, both  $r = s^j$  and  $q = rs$  in (15) are odd, so both  $R_q^{(3)}$  and  $R_r^{(3)}$  are base-3 repunits with odd numbers of digits, and thus of the form  $4k + 1$ . It follows that  $p$  is also of the form  $4k + 1$  in this case.

## 4 Open Problems

The infinitude of Cantor primes is currently an open problem shown to be significant in this paper because of the equivalence of Cantor primes and prime-valued cyclotomic polynomials of the form  $\Phi_s(3^{s^j})$ .

In the case  $j = 0$ , it is known that  $\Phi_s(3)$  is prime for  $s = 7, 13, 71, 103, 541, 1091, 1367, 1627, 4177, 9011, 9551, 36913, 43063, 49681, 57917, 483611,$  and  $877843$ . It seems plausible that there are infinitely many such values of  $s$  but this remains to be proved.

The Cantor prime  $757 = \Phi_3(3^3)$  is an example with  $j > 0$ . It is again an open problem to prove there are infinitely many integers  $j > 0$  for which  $\Phi_s(3^{s^j})$  is prime given a prime  $s$ , though all such cyclotomic polynomials must be irreducible.

Previous studies have considered the infinitude of prime-valued cyclotomic polynomials of other types. For example, primes of the form  $\Phi_s(1)$  and  $\Phi_s(2)$  are studied in [3], and other cases are discussed in [2].

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.

I would like to express my gratitude to anonymous reviewers of this manuscript.

## References

- [1] A. Beiler, *Recreations in the theory of numbers*, Dover, 1964.
- [2] P. A. Damianou, On prime values of cyclotomic polynomials, *Int. Math. Forum* **6** (2011), 1445–1456.
- [3] Y. Gallot, Cyclotomic polynomials and prime numbers, <http://perso.orange.fr/yves.gallot/papers/cyclotomic.pdf>, 2001.
- [4] B. R. Gelbaum and J. M. H. Olmsted, *Counterexamples in analysis*, Holden-Day, 1964.
- [5] R. Grassl and T. Mingus, Cyclotomic polynomial factors, *Math. Gaz.* **89** (2005), 195–201.
- [6] R. K. Guy, *Unsolved problems in number theory*, Springer, 2004.
- [7] N. J. A. Sloane, *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*, <http://oeis.org/>, 2012.