

# A common random fixed point theorem for six weakly compatible mappings in Hilbert spaces

R. A. Rashwan, D. M. Albaqeri

Assiut University, Faculty of Science, Department of Mathematics, Assiut, Egypt  
e-mail: rr\_rashwan54@yahoo.com

Assiut University, Faculty of Science, Department of Mathematics, Assiut, Egypt  
e-mail: Baqeri\_27@yahoo.com

## Abstract

*In this paper, we obtain a common random fixed point theorem for six weakly compatible random operators defined on a nonempty closed subset of a separable Hilbert space under some conditions.*

**Keywords:** *Common random fixed point, Separable Hilbert space, Six random mappings, Weakly compatible mappings.*

## 1 Introduction

Random fixed point theorems are stochastic generalization of classical fixed point theorems. Random fixed point theorems for contraction mappings on separable complete metric spaces have been proved by several authors (See e.g. Spacek [22], Hans [9],[10], Bharucha-Reid [7], Itoh [11], Mukherjee [17], Tan and Yuan [23]) and many others.

In 1982, Sessa [20] introduced the notion of weakly commuting mappings. Jungck [12] defined the notion of compatible mappings to generalize the concept of weak commutativity and showed that weakly commuting mappings are compatible but the converse is not true [12] and a number of fixed point theorems have been obtained by various authors utilizing this notion ([13], [14], [16], [18], [19], [21]). Jungck further weakens the notion of compatibility by introducing the notion of weak compatibility and in [15], Jungck and Rhoades

further extended weak compatibility to the setting of single-valued and multi-valued maps.

Afterwards, Beg [1], [2], Beg and Shahzed [5], [6] studied the structure of common random fixed points and random coincidence points of a pair of compatible random operators and proved the random fixed points theorems for contraction random operators in Polish spaces. Some random fixed point theorems for weakly compatible random operators under generalized contractive conditions are proved by Beg [3], Beg and Abbas [4] and others.

In continuation of these results, motivated and inspired by the contraction condition by Ćirić [8], we obtain a common random fixed point for weakly compatible six mappings on a nonempty closed subset of a separable Hilbert space  $H$ .

## 2 preliminaries

Let  $(\Omega, \Sigma)$  be a measurable space,  $H$  stands for a separable Hilbert space and  $C$  a nonempty closed subset of  $H$ .

A mapping  $\xi : \Omega \rightarrow C$  is called measurable if  $\xi^{-1}(B \cap C) \in \Sigma$  for every Borel subset  $B$  of  $H$ .

A mapping  $T : \Omega \times C \rightarrow C$  is said to be random mapping if for each fixed  $x \in C$ , the mapping  $T(., x) : \Omega \rightarrow C$  is measurable.

A measurable mapping  $\xi : \Omega \rightarrow C$  is called a random fixed point of the random mapping  $T : \Omega \times C \rightarrow C$  if  $T(w, \xi(w)) = \xi(w)$  for each  $w \in \Omega$ .

**Definition 2.1** [15] *Let  $H$  be a separable Hilbert space. Random operators  $S, T : \Omega \times H \rightarrow H$  are weakly compatible if  $T(w, \xi(w)) = S(w, \xi(w))$ , for some measurable mappings  $\xi$ , then  $T(w, S(w, \xi(w))) = S(w, T(w, \xi(w)))$  for every  $w \in \Omega$ .*

**Condition (A)** *Six random mappings  $E, F, S, T, A$  and  $B : \Omega \times C \rightarrow C$ , where  $C$  is a nonempty closed subset of a separable Hilbert space  $H$  are said to satisfy condition **A** if*

$$\begin{aligned} \|E(w, x) - F(w, y)\|^2 &\leq \alpha(w) \max\{\|S(w, A(w, x)) - T(w, B(w, y))\|^2 \\ &\quad, \|S(w, A(w, x)) - E(w, x)\|^2, \|T(w, B(w, y)) - F(w, y)\|^2 \\ &\quad, \frac{\|S(w, A(w, x)) - F(w, y)\|^2 + \|T(w, B(w, y)) - E(w, x)\|^2}{2}\} \\ &\quad + \beta(w) \max\{\|S(w, A(w, x)) - E(w, x)\|^2, \|T(w, B(w, y)) - F(w, y)\|^2\} \\ &\quad + \gamma(w) [\|S(w, A(w, x)) - F(w, y)\|^2 + \|T(w, B(w, y)) - E(w, x)\|^2], \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

for  $x, y \in H$  and  $w \in \Omega$ , where  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma : \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1)$  are measurable mappings such that for all  $w \in \Omega$ ,

$$2\alpha(w) + \beta(w) + 4\gamma(w) < 1. \quad (2)$$

### 3 Main Results

In this section, we prove a common random fixed point theorem for six weakly compatible random operators in separable Hilbert spaces without using the continuity of these mappings.

**Theorem 3.1** *Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed subset of a separable Hilbert space  $H$ . Let  $E, F, S, T, A$  and  $B : \Omega \times C \rightarrow C$  be six random mappings defined on  $C$  such that for  $w \in \Omega$ ,  $E, F, S, T, A$  and  $B : \Omega \times C \rightarrow C$  satisfy condition (A) and the following conditions:*

$$E(w, H) \subseteq T(w, B(w, H)) \quad , \quad F(w, H) \subseteq S(w, A(w, H)). \quad (3)$$

$$EA = AE, \quad SA = AS, \quad BF = FB, \quad TB = BT. \quad (4)$$

$$\text{The pairs } (E, SA) \text{ and } (F, TB) \text{ are weakly compatible.} \quad (5)$$

Then  $E, F, S, T, A$  and  $B$  have a unique common random fixed point.

**Proof.** Let the function  $g_0 : \Omega \rightarrow C$  be an arbitrary measurable function on  $\Omega$ . By (3) there exists a function  $g_1 : \Omega \rightarrow C$  such that for  $w \in \Omega$ ,  $T(w, B(w, g_1(w))) = E(w, g_0(w))$  and for this function  $g_1 : \Omega \rightarrow C$  we can choose another function  $g_2 : \Omega \rightarrow C$  such that for  $w \in \Omega$ ,  $F(w, g_1(w)) = S(w, A(w, g_2(w)))$  and so on. By using the method of induction we can define a sequence of functions  $y_n(w)$ ,  $w \in \Omega$  as following:

$$\begin{aligned} y_{2n}(w) &= T(w, B(w, g_{2n+1}(w))) = E(w, g_{2n}(w)), \\ y_{2n+1}(w) &= S(w, A(w, g_{2n+2}(w))) = F(w, g_{2n+1}(w)), \quad w \in \Omega, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

From (1) we have for  $w \in \Omega$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_{2n}(w) - y_{2n+1}(w)\|^2 &= \|E(w, g_{2n}(w)) - F(w, g_{2n+1}(w))\|^2 \\ &\leq \alpha(w) \max\{\|S(w, A(w, g_{2n}(w))) - T(w, B(w, g_{2n+1}(w)))\|^2 \\ &\quad , \quad \|S(w, A(w, g_{2n}(w))) - E(w, g_{2n}(w))\|^2 \\ &\quad , \quad \|T(w, B(w, g_{2n+1}(w))) - F(w, g_{2n+1}(w))\|^2 \\ &\quad , \quad \frac{\|S(w, A(w, g_{2n}(w))) - F(w, g_{2n+1}(w))\|^2 + \|T(w, B(w, g_{2n+1}(w))) - E(w, g_{2n}(w))\|^2}{2}\} \\ &+ \beta(w) \max\{\|S(w, A(w, g_{2n}(w))) - E(w, g_{2n}(w))\|^2 \\ &\quad , \quad \|T(w, B(w, g_{2n+1}(w))) - F(w, g_{2n+1}(w))\|^2\} \\ &+ \gamma(w) [\|S(w, A(w, g_{2n}(w))) - F(w, g_{2n+1}(w))\|^2 \\ &+ \|T(w, B(w, g_{2n+1}(w))) - E(w, g_{2n}(w))\|^2]. \end{aligned}$$

It follows by (6) that

$$\|y_{2n}(w) - y_{2n+1}(w)\|^2 \leq \alpha(w) \max\{\|y_{2n-1}(w) - y_{2n}(w)\|^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& , \quad \left\{ \begin{aligned} & \|y_{2n-1}(w) - y_{2n}(w)\|^2, \|y_{2n}(w) - y_{2n+1}(w)\|^2 \\ & \frac{\|y_{2n-1}(w) - y_{2n+1}(w)\|^2 + \|y_{2n}(w) - y_{2n}(w)\|^2}{2} \end{aligned} \right\} \\
& + \beta(w) \max\{\|y_{2n-1}(w) - y_{2n}(w)\|^2 \\
& , \quad \|y_{2n}(w) - y_{2n+1}(w)\|^2\} \\
& + \gamma(w)[\|y_{2n-1}(w) - y_{2n+1}(w)\|^2 \\
& + \quad \|y_{2n}(w) - y_{2n}(w)\|^2]. \tag{7}
\end{aligned}$$

By parallelogram law,  $\|x + y\|^2 + \|x - y\|^2 \leq 2[\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2]$  which implies that  $\|x + y\|^2 \leq 2\|x\|^2 + 2\|y\|^2 - \|x - y\|^2 \leq 2\|x\|^2 + 2\|y\|^2$ , we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
\|y_{2n-1}(w) - y_{2n+1}(w)\|^2 & = \|y_{2n-1}(w) - y_{2n}(w) + y_{2n}(w) - y_{2n+1}(w)\|^2 \\
& \leq 2\|y_{2n-1}(w) - y_{2n}(w)\|^2 + 2\|y_{2n}(w) - y_{2n+1}(w)\|^2. \tag{8}
\end{aligned}$$

Applying (8) in (7) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|y_{2n}(w) - y_{2n+1}(w)\|^2 & \leq \alpha(w) \max\{\|y_{2n-1}(w) - y_{2n}(w)\|^2 \\
& , \quad \|y_{2n-1}(w) - y_{2n}(w)\|^2, \|y_{2n}(w) - y_{2n+1}(w)\|^2 \\
& , \quad \frac{2\|y_{2n-1}(w) - y_{2n}(w)\|^2 + 2\|y_{2n}(w) - y_{2n+1}(w)\|^2}{2}\} \\
& + \beta(w) \max\{\|y_{2n-1}(w) - y_{2n}(w)\|^2 \\
& , \quad \|y_{2n}(w) - y_{2n+1}(w)\|^2\} \\
& + \gamma(w)[2\|y_{2n-1}(w) - y_{2n}(w)\|^2 \\
& + \quad 2\|y_{2n}(w) - y_{2n+1}(w)\|^2]. \tag{9}
\end{aligned}$$

If  $\|y_{2n}(w) - y_{2n+1}(w)\|^2 > \|y_{2n-1}(w) - y_{2n}(w)\|^2$ , then by (9) and (2) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|y_{2n}(w) - y_{2n+1}(w)\|^2 & < 2\alpha(w)\|y_{2n}(w) - y_{2n+1}(w)\|^2 + \beta(w)\|y_{2n}(w) - y_{2n+1}(w)\|^2 \\
& + 4\gamma(w)\|y_{2n}(w) - y_{2n+1}(w)\|^2 \\
& = (2\alpha(w) + \beta(w) + 4\gamma(w))\|y_{2n}(w) - y_{2n+1}(w)\|^2 \\
& < \|y_{2n}(w) - y_{2n+1}(w)\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

A contradiction.

It follows that  $\|y_{2n}(w) - y_{2n+1}(w)\|^2 \leq \|y_{2n-1}(w) - y_{2n}(w)\|^2$ .

Applying this in (9), we obtain

$$\|y_{2n}(w) - y_{2n+1}(w)\|^2 \leq (2\alpha(w) + \beta(w) + 4\gamma(w))\|y_{2n-1}(w) - y_{2n}(w)\|^2.$$

Hence

$$\|y_{2n}(w) - y_{2n+1}(w)\| \leq (2\alpha(w) + \beta(w) + 4\gamma(w))^{\frac{1}{2}}\|y_{2n-1}(w) - y_{2n}(w)\|.$$

By (2) we have  $k = (2\alpha(w) + \beta(w) + 4\gamma(w))^{\frac{1}{2}} < 1$ .

In general,

$$\|y_n(w) - y_{n+1}(w)\| \leq k\|y_{n-1}(w) - y_n(w)\|,$$

which implies that

$$\|y_n(w) - y_{n+1}(w)\| \leq k^n \|y_0(w) - y_1(w)\|, w \in \Omega.$$

Now, we will prove that for  $w \in \Omega$ ,  $\{y_n(w)\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $C$ .

For positive integer  $p$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_n(w) - y_{n+p}(w)\| &= \|y_n(w) - y_{n+1}(w) + y_{n+1}(w) \\ &\quad + \dots + y_{n+p-1}(w) - y_{n+p}(w)\| \\ &\leq \|y_n(w) - y_{n+1}(w)\| + \|y_{n+1}(w) - y_{n+2}(w)\| \\ &\quad + \dots + \|y_{n+p-1}(w) - y_{n+p}(w)\| \\ &\leq [k^n + k^{n+1} + \dots + k^{n+p-1}] \|y_0(w) - y_1(w)\| \\ &= k^n [1 + k + k^2 + \dots + k^{p-1}] \|y_0(w) - y_1(w)\| \\ &\leq \frac{k^n}{1 - k} \|y_0(w) - y_1(w)\| \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ (as } n \rightarrow \infty), w \in \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\{y_n(w)\}$  is a Cauchy sequence and hence is convergent in the closed subset  $C$  of  $H$ . So that,  $\{y_n(w)\} \rightarrow \{y(w)\}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for  $w \in \Omega$ . Since  $C$  is closed,  $\{y(w)\}$  is a function from  $C$  to  $C$  and consequently the subsequences  $\{E(w, g_{2n}(w))\}$ ,  $\{F(w, g_{2n+1}(w))\}$ ,  $\{T(w, B(w, g_{2n+1}(w)))\}$  and  $\{S(w, A(w, g_{2n+2}(w)))\}$  of  $\{y_n(w)\}$  also converge to  $\{y(w)\}$ .

Now, since  $E(w, H) \subseteq T(w, B(w, H))$ , there exists  $h(w) \in C$  such that

$$y(w) = T(w, B(w, h(w))) \quad \text{for } w \in \Omega. \quad (10)$$

Using (1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|E(w, g_{2n}(w)) - F(w, h(w))\|^2 &\leq \alpha(w) \max\{\|S(w, A(w, g_{2n}(w))) - T(w, B(w, h(w)))\|^2 \\ &\quad , \|S(w, A(w, g_{2n}(w))) - E(w, g_{2n}(w))\|^2 \\ &\quad , \|T(w, B(w, h(w))) - F(w, h(w))\|^2 \\ &\quad , \frac{\|S(w, A(w, g_{2n}(w))) - F(w, h(w))\|^2 + \|T(w, B(w, h(w))) - E(w, g_{2n}(w))\|^2}{2}\} \\ &+ \beta(w) \max\{\|S(w, A(w, g_{2n}(w))) - E(w, g_{2n}(w))\|^2 \\ &\quad , \|T(w, B(w, h(w))) - F(w, h(w))\|^2\} \\ &+ \gamma(w) [\|S(w, A(w, g_{2n}(w))) - F(w, h(w))\|^2 \\ &+ \|T(w, B(w, h(w))) - E(w, g_{2n}(w))\|^2]. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit on both sides of the above inequality as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and using (10) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|y(w) - F(w, h(w))\|^2 &\leq \alpha(w) \max\{\|y(w) - y(w)\|^2, \|y(w) - y(w)\|^2 \\ &\quad, \|y(w) - F(w, h(w))\|^2 \\ &\quad, \frac{\|y(w) - F(w, h(w))\|^2 + \|y(w) - y(w)\|^2}{2}\} \\ &+ \beta(w) \max\{\|y(w) - y(w)\|^2, \|y(w) - F(w, h(w))\|^2\} \\ &+ \gamma(w) [\|y(w) - F(w, h(w))\|^2 + \|y(w) - y(w)\|^2]. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\|y(w) - F(w, h(w))\|^2 \leq (\alpha(w) + \beta(w) + \gamma(w)) \|y(w) - F(w, h(w))\|^2,$$

which leads to the following

$$y(w) = F(w, h(w)) \quad \text{for } w \in \Omega. \quad (11)$$

From (10) and (11), we have  $F(w, h(w)) = T(w, B(w, h(w)))$ .

Since  $\{F, TB\}$  are weakly compatible, then they commute at their coincidence point  $h(w)$ , i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} F(w, T(w, B(w, h(w)))) &= T(w, B(w, F(w, h(w)))) \\ \Rightarrow F(w, y(w)) &= T(w, B(w, y(w))) \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Similarly, since  $F(w, H) \subseteq S(w, A(w, H))$ , there exists  $f(w) \in C$  such that

$$y(w) = S(w, A(w, f(w))) \quad \text{for } w \in \Omega. \quad (13)$$

Again using (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|E(w, f(w)) - F(w, g_{2n+1}(w))\|^2 &\leq \alpha(w) \max\{\|S(w, A(w, f(w))) - T(w, B(w, g_{2n+1}(w)))\|^2 \\ &\quad, \|S(w, A(w, f(w))) - E(w, f(w))\|^2 \\ &\quad, \|T(w, B(w, g_{2n+1}(w))) - F(w, g_{2n+1}(w))\|^2 \\ &\quad, \frac{\|S(w, A(w, f(w))) - F(w, g_{2n+1}(w))\|^2 + \|T(w, B(w, g_{2n+1}(w))) - E(w, f(w))\|^2}{2}\} \\ &+ \beta(w) \max\{\|S(w, A(w, f(w))) - E(w, f(w))\|^2 \\ &\quad, \|T(w, B(w, g_{2n+1}(w))) - F(w, g_{2n+1}(w))\|^2\} \\ &+ \gamma(w) [\|S(w, A(w, f(w))) - F(w, g_{2n+1}(w))\|^2 \\ &\quad + \|T(w, B(w, g_{2n+1}(w))) - E(w, f(w))\|^2]. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit on both sides of the above inequality as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and using (13) we obtain

$$\|E(w, f(w)) - y(w)\|^2 \leq \alpha(w) \max\{\|y(w) - y(w)\|^2, \|y(w) - E(w, f(w))\|^2\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& , \quad \frac{\|y(w) - y(w)\|^2}{\|y(w) - y(w)\|^2 + \|y(w) - E(w, f(w))\|^2} \Big\} \\
& + \beta(w) \max\{\|y(w) - E(w, f(w))\|^2, \|y(w) - y(w)\|^2\} \\
& + \gamma(w) [\|y(w) - y(w)\|^2 + \|y(w) - E(w, f(w))\|^2].
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\|E(w, f(w)) - y(w)\|^2 \leq (\alpha(w) + \beta(w) + \gamma(w)) \|E(w, f(w)) - y(w)\|^2.$$

Hence

$$E(w, f(w)) = y(w) \quad \text{for } w \in \Omega. \quad (14)$$

Using(13) and (14), we have  $S(w, A(w, f(w))) = E(w, f(w))$ .

Since  $\{E, SA\}$  are weakly compatible, then they commute at their coincidence point  $f(w)$ , i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}
S(w, A(w, E(w, f(w)))) &= E(w, S(w, A(w, f(w)))) \\
\Rightarrow S(w, A(w, y(w))) &= E(w, y(w)).
\end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Now, we show the existence of a random fixed point. Consider for  $w \in \Omega$ , and by parallelogram law we have that,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|E(w, y(w)) - y(w)\|^2 &= \|E(w, y(w)) - y_{2n+1}(w) + y_{2n+1}(w) - y(w)\|^2 \\
&\leq 2\|E(w, y(w)) - y_{2n+1}(w)\|^2 + 2\|y_{2n+1}(w) - y(w)\|^2 \\
&= 2\|E(w, y(w)) - F(w, g_{2n+1}(w))\|^2 + 2\|y_{2n+1}(w) - y(w)\|^2 \\
&\leq 2\alpha(w) \max\{\|S(w, A(w, y(w))) - T(w, B(w, g_{2n+1}(w)))\|^2 \\
& , \quad \|S(w, A(w, y(w))) - E(w, y(w))\|^2, \|T(w, B(w, g_{2n+1}(w))) - F(w, g_{2n+1}(w))\|^2 \\
& , \quad \frac{\|S(w, A(w, y(w))) - F(w, g_{2n+1}(w))\|^2 + \|T(w, B(w, g_{2n+1}(w))) - E(w, y(w))\|^2}{2} \Big\} \\
&+ 2\beta(w) \max\{\|S(w, A(w, y(w))) - E(w, y(w))\|^2 \\
& , \quad \|T(w, B(w, g_{2n+1}(w))) - F(w, g_{2n+1}(w))\|^2 \Big\} \\
&+ 2\gamma(w) [\|S(w, A(w, y(w))) - F(w, g_{2n+1}(w))\|^2 \\
&+ \|T(w, B(w, g_{2n+1}(w))) - E(w, y(w))\|^2] + 2\|y_{2n+1}(w) - y(w)\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|E(w, y(w)) - y(w)\|^2 &\leq 2\alpha(w) \max\{\|S(w, A(w, y(w))) - y(w)\|^2, \|S(w, A(w, y(w))) - E(w, y(w))\|^2 \\
& , \quad \|y(w) - y(w)\|^2, \frac{\|S(w, A(w, y(w))) - y(w)\|^2 + \|y(w) - E(w, y(w))\|^2}{2} \Big\} \\
&+ 2\beta(w) \max\{\|S(w, A(w, y(w))) - E(w, y(w))\|^2, \|y(w) - y(w)\|^2 \Big\} \\
&+ 2\gamma(w) [\|S(w, A(w, y(w))) - y(w)\|^2 + \|y(w) - E(w, y(w))\|^2] \\
&+ 2\|y(w) - y(w)\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Using (15) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|E(w, y(w)) - y(w)\|^2 &\leq (2\alpha(w) + 4\gamma(w))\|E(w, y(w)) - y(w)\|^2 \\ &< \|E(w, y(w)) - y(w)\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$E(w, y(w)) = y(w). \quad (16)$$

From (15) and (16) we have

$$E(w, y(w)) = S(w, A(w, y(w))) = y(w) \quad \text{for } w \in \Omega. \quad (17)$$

Similarly, we can show that

$$F(w, y(w)) = T(w, B(w, y(w))) = y(w) \quad \text{for } w \in \Omega. \quad (18)$$

It follows from the construction of  $\{y_n(w)\}$  for  $w \in \Omega$  that  $\{y_n(w)\}$  is a sequence of measurable functions and since  $y(w)$  is a pointwise limit of a measurable sequence  $\{y_n(w)\}$ , it follows that  $y(w)$  is also measurable function and by (17) and (18),  $y(w) : \Omega \rightarrow C$  is a common random fixed point of  $E, F, SA$  and  $TB$ .

Next we prove  $y(w) = S(w, y(w)) = A(w, y(w)) = T(w, y(w)) = B(w, y(w))$ . Since  $AE = EA$  and using (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|A(w, y(w)) - y(w)\|^2 &= \|A(w, E(w, y(w))) - F(w, y(w))\|^2 = \|E(w, A(w, y(w))) - F(w, y(w))\|^2 \\ &\leq \alpha(w) \max\{\|S(w, A(w, A(w, y(w)))) - T(w, B(w, y(w)))\|^2 \\ &\quad , \|S(w, A(w, A(w, y(w)))) - E(w, A(w, y(w)))\|^2, \|T(w, B(w, y(w))) - F(w, y(w))\|^2 \\ &\quad , \frac{\|S(w, A(w, A(w, y(w)))) - F(w, y(w))\|^2 + \|T(w, B(w, y(w))) - E(w, A(w, y(w)))\|^2}{2}\} \\ &+ \beta(w) \max\{\|S(w, A(w, A(w, y(w)))) - E(w, A(w, y(w)))\|^2 \\ &\quad , \|T(w, B(w, y(w))) - F(w, y(w))\|^2\} \\ &+ \gamma(w) [\|S(w, A(w, A(w, y(w)))) - F(w, y(w))\|^2 \\ &+ \|T(w, B(w, y(w))) - E(w, A(w, y(w)))\|^2]. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Since  $AE = EA$  and  $SA = AS$  we have  $E(w, A(w, y(w))) = A(w, E(w, y(w))) = A(w, y(w))$  and  $S(w, A(w, A(w, y(w)))) = A(w, S(w, A(w, y(w)))) = A(w, y(w))$ . Applying this in (19) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|A(w, y(w)) - y(w)\|^2 &\leq \alpha(w) \max\{\|A(w, y(w)) - y(w)\|^2 \\ &\quad , \|A(w, y(w)) - A(w, y(w))\|^2, \|y(w) - y(w)\|^2 \\ &\quad , \frac{\|A(w, y(w)) - y(w)\|^2 + \|y(w) - A(w, y(w))\|^2}{2}\} \\ &+ \beta(w) \max\{\|A(w, y(w)) - A(w, y(w))\|^2 \\ &\quad , \|y(w) - y(w)\|^2\} \\ &+ \gamma(w) [\|A(w, y(w)) - y(w)\|^2 + \|y(w) - A(w, y(w))\|^2]. \end{aligned}$$



It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|A(w, y(w)) - y(w)\|^2 &\leq (\alpha(w) + 2\gamma(w))\|A(w, y(w)) - y(w)\|^2 \\ &\Rightarrow A(w, y(w)) = y(w). \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Since  $S(w, A(w, y(w))) = y(w)$  and by (20) we have  $S(w, y(w)) = y(w)$ , i.e.  $S(w, y(w)) = A(w, y(w)) = y(w)$ .

Again, since  $BF = FB$  and using (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|y(w) - B(w, y(w))\|^2 &= \|E(w, y(w)) - B(w, F(w, y(w)))\|^2 = \|E(w, y(w)) - F(w, B(w, y(w)))\|^2 \\ &\leq \alpha(w) \max\{\|S(w, A(w, y(w))) - T(w, B(w, B(w, y(w))))\|^2 \\ &\quad , \|S(w, A(w, y(w))) - E(w, y(w))\|^2, \|T(w, B(w, B(w, y(w)))) - F(w, B(w, y(w)))\|^2 \\ &\quad , \frac{\|S(w, A(w, y(w))) - F(w, B(w, y(w)))\|^2 + \|T(w, B(w, B(w, y(w)))) - E(w, y(w))\|^2}{2}\} \\ &+ \beta(w) \max\{\|S(w, A(w, y(w))) - E(w, y(w))\|^2 \\ &\quad , \|T(w, B(w, B(w, y(w)))) - F(w, B(w, y(w)))\|^2\} \\ &+ \gamma(w) [\|S(w, A(w, y(w))) - F(w, B(w, y(w)))\|^2 \\ &+ \|T(w, B(w, B(w, y(w)))) - E(w, y(w))\|^2] \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Since  $FB = BF$  and  $TB = BT$  we have  $F(w, B(w, y(w))) = B(w, F(w, y(w))) = B(w, y(w))$  and  $T(w, B(w, B(w, y(w)))) = B(w, T(w, B(w, y(w)))) = B(w, y(w))$ . Applying this in (21) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|y(w) - B(w, y(w))\|^2 &\leq \alpha(w) \max\{\|y(w) - B(w, y(w))\|^2 \\ &\quad , \|y(w) - y(w)\|^2, \|B(w, y(w)) - B(w, y(w))\|^2 \\ &\quad , \frac{\|y(w) - B(w, y(w))\|^2 + \|B(w, y(w)) - y(w)\|^2}{2}\} \\ &+ \beta(w) \max\{\|y(w) - y(w)\|^2 \\ &\quad , \|B(w, y(w)) - B(w, y(w))\|^2\} \\ &+ \gamma(w) [\|y(w) - B(w, y(w))\|^2 + \|B(w, y(w)) - y(w)\|^2]. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|y(w) - B(w, y(w))\|^2 &\leq (\alpha(w) + 2\gamma(w))\|B(w, y(w)) - y(w)\|^2 \\ &\Rightarrow B(w, y(w)) = y(w). \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Since  $T(w, B(w, y(w))) = y(w)$  and by (22) we have  $T(w, y(w)) = y(w)$ , i.e.  $T(w, y(w)) = B(w, y(w)) = y(w)$ .

Finally, for the uniqueness of the common random fixed point  $y(w)$  of  $E, F, S, T, A$  and  $B$ , let  $p(w) : \Omega \rightarrow C$  be another common random fixed point

of  $E, F, S, T, A$  and  $B$ , using (1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|y(w) - p(w)\|^2 &= \|E(w, y(w)) - F(w, p(w))\|^2 \\
 &\leq \alpha(w) \max\{\|S(w, A(w, y(w))) - T(w, B(w, p(w)))\|^2 \\
 &\quad, \|S(w, A(w, y(w))) - E(w, y(w))\|^2, \|T(w, B(w, p(w))) - F(w, p(w))\|^2 \\
 &\quad, \frac{\|S(w, A(w, y(w))) - F(w, p(w))\|^2 + \|T(w, B(w, p(w))) - E(w, y(w))\|^2}{2}\} \\
 &+ \beta(w) \max\{\|S(w, A(w, y(w))) - E(w, y(w))\|^2, \|T(w, B(w, p(w))) - F(w, p(w))\|^2\} \\
 &+ \gamma(w) [\|S(w, A(w, y(w))) - F(w, p(w))\|^2 + \|T(w, B(w, p(w))) - E(w, y(w))\|^2],
 \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|y(w) - p(w)\|^2 &\leq \alpha(w) \max\{\|y(w) - p(w)\|^2, \|y(w) - y(w)\|^2 \\
 &\quad, \|p(w) - p(w)\|^2, \frac{\|y(w) - p(w)\|^2 + \|p(w) - y(w)\|^2}{2}\} \\
 &+ \beta(w) \max\{\|y(w) - y(w)\|^2, \|p(w) - p(w)\|^2\} \\
 &+ \gamma(w) [\|y(w) - p(w)\|^2 + \|p(w) - y(w)\|^2].
 \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|y(w) - p(w)\|^2 &\leq (\alpha(w) + 2\gamma(w)) \|y(w) - p(w)\|^2 \\
 &< \|y(w) - p(w)\|^2 \\
 \Rightarrow y(w) &= p(w) \quad \text{for } w \in \Omega.
 \end{aligned}$$

The proof of the theorem is completed.

If we put  $A = B = I$  (where  $I$  is the identity mapping on  $H$ ) in Theorem 3.1, we obtain the following result:

**Corollary 3.2** *Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed subset of a separable Hilbert space  $H$ . Let  $E, F, S$  and  $T : \Omega \times C \rightarrow C$  be four random mappings satisfying the following conditions:*

$$E(w, H) \subseteq T(w, H), \quad F(w, H) \subseteq S(w, H). \quad (23)$$

*The pairs  $(E, S)$  and  $(F, T)$  are weakly compatible.* (24)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|E(w, x) - F(w, y)\|^2 &\leq \alpha(w) \max\{\|S(w, x) - T(w, y)\|^2, \|S(w, x) - E(w, x)\|^2 \\
 &\quad, \|T(w, y) - F(w, y)\|^2 \\
 &\quad, \frac{\|S(w, x) - F(w, y)\|^2 + \|T(w, y) - E(w, x)\|^2}{2}\} \\
 &+ \beta(w) \max\{\|S(w, x) - E(w, x)\|^2, \|T(w, y) - F(w, y)\|^2\} \\
 &+ \gamma(w) [\|S(w, x) - F(w, y)\|^2 + \|T(w, y) - E(w, x)\|^2], \quad (25)
 \end{aligned}$$

for  $x, y \in H$  and  $w \in \Omega$ , where  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma : \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1)$  are measurable mappings such that for all  $w \in \Omega$ ,

$$2\alpha(w) + \beta(w) + 4\gamma(w) < 1.$$

Then  $E, F, S$  and  $T$  have a unique common random fixed point.

If  $S = T$  and  $E = F$  in corollary 3.2, we have the following result:

**Corollary 3.3** *Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed subset of a separable Hilbert space  $H$ . Let  $E$  and  $S : \Omega \times C \rightarrow C$  be two random mappings satisfying the following conditions:*

$$E(w, H) \subseteq S(w, H). \quad (26)$$

$$\text{The pair } (E, S) \text{ is weakly compatible.} \quad (27)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|E(w, x) - E(w, y)\|^2 &\leq \alpha(w) \max\{\|S(w, x) - S(w, y)\|^2, \|S(w, x) - E(w, x)\|^2 \\ &\quad, \|S(w, y) - E(w, y)\|^2 \\ &\quad, \frac{\|S(w, x) - E(w, y)\|^2 + \|S(w, y) - E(w, x)\|^2}{2}\} \\ &\quad + \beta(w) \max\{\|S(w, x) - E(w, x)\|^2, \|S(w, y) - E(w, y)\|^2\} \\ &\quad + \gamma(w) [\|S(w, x) - E(w, y)\|^2 + \|S(w, y) - E(w, x)\|^2], \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

for  $x, y \in H$  and  $w \in \Omega$ , where  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma : \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1)$  are measurable mappings such that for all  $w \in \Omega$ ,

$$2\alpha(w) + \beta(w) + 4\gamma(w) < 1.$$

Then  $E$  and  $S$  have a unique common random fixed point.

## 4 Open Problems

- (1) Is Theorem 3.1 true in a Polish metric space?
- (2) Is Theorem 3.1 can be extended to more general contraction mappings?

## References

- [1] I. Beg, Random fixed points of random operators satisfying semicontractivity conditions, *Math. Japan.* **46** (1997) 151-155
- [2] I. Beg, Approximaton of random fixed points in normed spaces, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **51** (2002) 1363-1372.

- [3] I. Beg, Random Coincidence and fixed points for weakly compatible mappings in convex metric spaces, *Asian-European Journal of Mathematics*, **2** (2009) 171-182.
- [4] I. Beg, M. Abbas, Common random fixed points of compatible random operators, *Int. J. Math. and Mathematical Sciences*, **2006** (2006) 1-15
- [5] I. Beg, N. Shahzad, Random fixed point theorems for nonepansive and contractive type random operators on Banach spaces, *J. Appl. Math. Stochastic Anal.*, **7** (1994) 569-580.
- [6] I. Beg, N. Shahzad, Random fixed point theorems on product spaces, *J. Appl. Math. Stochastic Anal.* **6** (1993) 95-106.
- [7] A.T. Bharucha-Reid, Fixed point theorems in probabilistic analysis, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **82** (1976) 641-657.
- [8] L. B. Ćirić, On some nonexpansive type mappings and fixed points, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **24**(3) (1993) 145-149.
- [9] O. Hans, Reduzierende zulliallige transformaten, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* **7** (1957) 154-158.
- [10] O. Hans, Random operator equations, *Proceedings of the fourth Berkeley Symposium on Math. Statistics and Probability II*, PartI (1961) 85-202.
- [11] S. Itoh, Random fixed point theorems with an application to random differential equations in Banach spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **67** (1979) 261-273.
- [12] G. Jungck, Compatible mappings and common fixed points. *Int. J. Math. Sci.* **9** (1986) 771-779.
- [13] G. Jungck, Common fixed points of commuting and compatible maps on compacta, *Proc. Amer. Soc.* **103** (1988) 977-983.
- [14] G. Jungck, B. Rhoades, some fixed point theorems for compatible maps, *Int. J. Math. Sci.* **16** (1993) 417-428.
- [15] G. Jungck, B. Rhoades, Fixed points for set valued functions without continuity. *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **29** (1998) 227-238.
- [16] S. M. Kang, Y. J. Cho, G. Jungck, Common fixed points of compatible mappings, *Int. J. Math. Sci.* **13** (1990) 61-66.
- [17] A. Mukherjee, Random transformations of Banach spaces, *Ph. D. Dissertation, Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan* (1986).

- [18] R. A. Rashwan, A common fixed point theorem in uniformly convex Banach spaces, *Italian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **3** (1998) 117-126.
- [19] B. E. Rhoades, K. Tiwary, G. N. Singh, A common fixed point theorem for compatible mappings, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **26** (1995) 403-409.
- [20] S. Sessa, On a weak commutativity condition of mappings in fixed point considerations. *Publ Inst Math.* **32** (1982) 149-153.
- [21] S. Sessa, B. Rhoades, M. S. Khan, On common fixed points of compatible mappings, *Int. J. Math. Sci.* **11** (1988) 375-392.
- [22] A. Spacek, Zufällige gleichungen, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* **5** (1955) 462-466.
- [23] K. K. Tan, X.Z. Yuan, Random fixed-point theorems and approximation in cones, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **185**(2) (1994) 378-390.