

On a Mean Interpolating the Logarithmic and Identric Means

L. Matejíčka

Faculty of Industrial Technologies in Púchov,
Trenčín University of Alexander Dubček in Trenčín,
I. Krasku 491/30, 02001 Púchov, Slovakia
e-mail:ladislav.matejicka@tnuni.sk

Abstract

In this paper, we give a positive answer for an open problem posed by Raïssouli about a new mean defined in terms of the parameterized logarithmic mean, [1].

Keywords: *Means, Parameterized Means.*

1 Introduction

The following

$$L(a, b) = \frac{b - a}{\log b - \log a}, \quad a, b > 0, \quad a \neq b, \quad L(a, a) = a \quad (1)$$

and,

$$I(a, b) = \frac{1}{e} \left(\frac{b^b}{a^a} \right)^{\frac{1}{b-a}}, \quad a, b > 0, \quad a \neq b, \quad I(a, a) = a \quad (2)$$

are known in the literature as the Logarithmic mean and Identric mean, respectively. In [1], the next mean has been introduced

$$E(a, b) = \int_0^1 L_t(a, b) dt, \quad a, b > 0, \quad (3)$$

where

$$L_t(a, b) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left((1-t)a^{\frac{1}{2^n}} + tb^{\frac{1}{2^n}} \right), \quad a, b > 0, \quad a \neq b, \quad t \in [0, 1], \quad (4)$$

stands for the parameterized logarithmic mean [1].

We recall that a parameterized mean $m_\alpha(a, b)$, $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, is a binary map between positive real numbers a, b satisfying:

- $m_\alpha(a, a) = a$ for $a > 0$, $\alpha \in [0, 1]$;
- $m_\alpha(ta, tb) = tm_\alpha(a, b)$ for $a, b, t > 0$, $\alpha \in [0, 1]$;
- $m_\alpha(a, b)$ is increasing in a (and in b), for each $\alpha \in [0, 1]$;
- $m_\alpha(a, b) = m_{1-\alpha}(b, a)$ for $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, $a, b > 0$;
- $m_{\frac{1}{2}}(a, b) = m(a, b)$ for $a, b > 0$ where $m(a, b)$ is a mean.

For some other details about parameterized means, see [1] and the related references cited there in.

The next open problem has been stated by M. Raïssouli in [1].

Open Problem. Prove or disprove that the means E and I are different. We conjecture that E interpolates L and I , i. e. $L < E < I$,

As already pointed before, our aim in this paper is to give a positive answer for the above problem.

2 Main results

The proof of our result will be based on the Hermite-Hadamard Inequality and Lemma 2.2 which we will state below.

First, we recall the Hermite-Hadamard Inequality [2]

Theorem 2.1 *If $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a convex function, then*

$$f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x)dx \leq \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}.$$

If f is strictly convex then the above inequalities are strict.

Second, we prove the next lemma.

Lemma 2.2 *Let $g_s(t) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left((1-t) + ts^{\frac{1}{2^n}} \right)$ for $t \in [0, 1]$, $s \in (0, 1)$. Then $g_s(t)$ is a strict convex function on $[0, 1]$.*

Proof. From

$$g_s(t) = e^{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \ln \left(1 - t + ts^{\frac{1}{2^n}} \right)}$$

we have

$$g'_s(t) = g_s(t) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{s^{\frac{1}{2^n}} - 1}{1 - t + ts^{\frac{1}{2^n}}},$$

$$g''_s(t) = g_s(t) \left(\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{s^{\frac{1}{2^n}} - 1}{1 - t + ts^{\frac{1}{2^n}}} \right)^2 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(s^{\frac{1}{2^n}} - 1 \right)^2}{\left(1 - t + ts^{\frac{1}{2^n}} \right)^2} \right).$$

Because $g''_s(t) > 0$, $t \in [0, 1]$ we get $g_s(t)$ is a strict convex function in the argument t for fixed s .

Now we are in position to state our main result.

Theorem 2.3 *Let $a, b > 0$, $a \neq b$. With the above, the next double inequality holds*

$$L(a, b) < E(a, b) < I(a, b). \quad (5)$$

Proof. We note that the inequality $L(a, b) < E(a, b)$ was proved in [1] (see Proposition 3.1, p. 867). By using Hermite-Hadamard Inequality (Theorem 2.1) a more simple proof can be obtained. Really, we have

$$\int_0^1 g_s(t) dt > g_s \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = L(1, s).$$

By the homogeneity of E and L the inequality $L(a, b) < E(a, b)$ follows.

Now we show the inequality $E(a, b) < I(a, b)$. The right inequality in (5) can be rewritten as

$$\int_0^1 \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left((1-t)a^{\frac{1}{2^n}} + tb^{\frac{1}{2^n}} \right) dt < \frac{1}{e} \left(\frac{b^b}{a^a} \right)^{\frac{1}{b-a}}. \quad (6)$$

Since E and I are homogeneous means we can, without loss the generality, assume that $0 < b < a$. Let us set $s = b/a$, then $0 < s < 1$. Inequality (6) is then reduced to

$$\int_0^1 \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - t + ts^{\frac{1}{2^n}}\right) dt = \int_0^1 g_s(t) dt < e^{\frac{s \ln s}{s-1} - 1}.$$

Denote

$$F(s) = e^{\frac{s \ln s}{s-1} - 1} - \int_0^1 \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - t + ts^{\frac{1}{2^n}}\right) dt.$$

If we show $F(s) > 0$ for $0 < s < 1$, then the proof will be complete. Lemma 2.2 and Hermite-Hadamard Inequality (Theorem 2.1.) imply

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 g_s(t) dt &= \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} g_s(t) dt + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 g_s(t) dt < \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1 + \frac{s-1}{\ln s}}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\frac{s-1}{\ln s} + s}{2} \right) = \\ &= \frac{1+s}{4} + \frac{s-1}{2 \ln s}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used $g_s(1/2) = (s-1)/\ln s$ and $L(a, b) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a^{1/2^n} + b^{1/2^n}}{2}$, see [1].

Because

$$F(s) > e^{\frac{s \ln s}{s-1} - 1} - \left(\frac{1+s}{4} + \frac{s-1}{2 \ln s} \right),$$

it suffices to show that

$$\varphi(s) = \frac{s \ln s}{s-1} - 1 - \ln \left(\frac{1+s}{4} + \frac{s-1}{2 \ln s} \right) > 0 \quad \text{for } 0 < s < 1.$$

Simple computation gives

$$\varphi(1) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi'(s) = \frac{s - \ln s - 1}{(s-1)^2} - \frac{s \ln^2 s + 2s \ln s - 2s + 2}{s \ln s (\ln s + s \ln s + 2s - 2)}$$

for $0 < s < 1$.

If we show that $\varphi'(s) < 0$, the proof will be complete.

Denote $v(s) = \ln s + s \ln s + 2s - 2$. From $v(1) = 0$, $v'(s) = \frac{1}{s} + \ln s + 3$, $v'(1) = 4$, $v''(s) = \frac{-1+s}{s^2} < 0$ we have $v(s) < 0$. It implies $\varphi'(s) < 0$ is equivalent to

$$\varphi\varphi(s) = \ln^3 s - \frac{2(s-1)^3}{s(1+s)} > 0$$

and to

$$\varphi\varphi\varphi(s) = \ln s + \frac{\sqrt[3]{2}(1-s)}{\sqrt[3]{s(1+s)}} > 0.$$

Because $\varphi\varphi\varphi(1) = 0$ it suffices to show that $\varphi\varphi\varphi'(s) < 0$. Simple computation gives

$$\varphi\varphi\varphi'(s) = \frac{1}{s} + \sqrt[3]{2} \left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{s(1+s)}} - \frac{1-s}{3} \left(\frac{1}{s\sqrt[3]{s(1+s)}} + \frac{1}{(1+s)\sqrt[3]{s(1+s)}} \right) \right).$$

$\varphi\varphi\varphi'(s) < 0$ is equivalent to

$$(1+s)\sqrt[3]{s(1+s)} < \sqrt[3]{2} \left(s(1+s) + \frac{(1-s)(1+2s)}{3} \right).$$

It can be rewriting as

$$27s(1+s)^4 < 2(s^2 + 4s + 1)^3.$$

Some computation gives that this inequality is

$$2(1+s)^6 - 15s(1+s)^4 + 24s^2(1+s)^2 + 16s^3 > 0.$$

This can be rewriting as

$$vv(s) = 2(1+s)^6 - 15(1+s)^5 + 39(1+s)^4 - 32(1+s)^3 - 24(1+s)^2 + 48(1+s) - 16 > 0$$

for $s \in (0, 1)$.

But this is evident because of

$$vv(s) = 2(u-2)^4(u+1) \left(u - \frac{1}{2} \right) = 2(s-1)^4(s+2) \left(s + \frac{1}{2} \right), \quad u = 1+s.$$

The proof is complete.

Acknowledgements.

The author thanks M. Raïssouli and anonymous referee for useful and valuable comments which corrected and greatly improved this paper.

References

- [1] M. Raïssouli, *Parameterized Logarithmic Mean*, Int. Journal of Math. Analysis, 6 (2012), no. 18, 863–864.
- [2] L. Matejíčka, *Elementary Proof Of The Left Multidimensional Hermite-Hadamard Inequality On Certain Convex Sets*, Journal of Math. Inequalities, 4 (2010), no. 2, 259-270.